## Conceptualizing the electronic word-of-mouth process:

# What we know and need to know about eWOM creation, exposure, and evaluation

#### October 2019

Forthcoming in the Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science

Ana Babić Rosario

Kristine de Valck

Francesca Sotgiu

Ana Babić Rosario is Assistant Professor of Marketing at the University of Denver, tel: 00 1 30 38 71 36 45, email: ana.babic-rosario@du.edu. Kristine de Valck is Associate Professor of Marketing a HEC Paris, tel: 00 33 1 39 67 73 13, email: devalck@hec.fr. Francesca Sotgiu is Associate Professor of Marketing at Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, tel: 00 31 20 59 84 48 9, email: f.sotgiu@vu.nl. Some of the research was conducted when Ana Babić Rosario was a doctoral student at the Department of Marketing at HEC Paris, and an earlier version of this article is part of Ana Babić Rosario's doctoral dissertation. The authors thank Michael Haenlein, Ko de Ruyter, Donald Bacon, and the participants of the 2017 INFORMS Marketing Science, 2018 BI-JAMS Thought Leaders', and 2019 AMA Winter conferences for their constructive feedback on earlier versions of this work, as well as Daniel Zheng and Stephanie Panozzo for valuable research assistance. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the HEC Foundation (F1307), Labex ECODEC (Investissements d'Avenir ANR-11-IDEX-0003/ Labex Ecodec/ ANR-11-LABX-0047), and the University of Denver's Internationalization Office (grants 86587-150401 and 86849-150401). The authors also thank the JAMS review team for their very constructive comments. Address correspondence to Ana Babić Rosario.

**Conceptualizing the electronic word-of-mouth process:** What we know and need to know about eWOM creation, exposure, and evaluation

Abstract

Electronic word of mouth (eWOM) is a prevalent consumer practice that has undeniable effects

on the company bottom line; yet it remains an over-labeled and under-theorized concept. Thus,

marketers could benefit from a practical, science-based roadmap to maximize its business value.

Building on the consumer motivation-opportunity-ability framework, this study conceptualizes

three distinct stages in the eWOM process: eWOM creation, eWOM exposure, and eWOM

evaluation. For each stage, we adopt a dual lens—from the perspective of the consumer (who

sends and receives eWOM) and that of the marketer (who amplifies and manages eWOM for

business results)—to synthesize key research insights and propose a research agenda based on a

multi-disciplinary systematic review of 1,050 academic publications on eWOM published

between 1996 and 2019. We conclude with a discussion of the future of eWOM research and

practice.

**Keywords:** Electronic word of mouth (eWOM); motivation, opportunity, ability (MOA)

framework; eWOM process; eWOM creation; eWOM exposure; eWOM evaluation; systematic

review; research agenda

#### Introduction

More than 60 years after its introduction to the literature (Brooks 1957), word of mouth (WOM) has been revitalized and given new significance by means of the Internet (Dellarocas 2003). The proliferation of digital technologies has enabled consumers to share their *consumption*-related opinions, thereby creating electronic WOM (eWOM)—a "statement made by potential, actual, or former customers about a product or company, which is made available to a multitude of people and institutions via the Internet" (Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004, p. 39). These technologies have further amplified the importance of WOM as a buying influence. On Yelp alone, for example, 186 million people post nearly 150 million business reviews each month on which 90% of consumers rely for buying decisions (Capoccia 2018). Yet marketers are still struggling to maximize the business value of eWOM (Liousas 2018).

Despite the vast increase of eWOM research in the past two decades (see Lamberton and Stephen 2016), insights have accumulated in different directions, providing fragmented evidence on the meaning and market implications of this phenomenon. Why are eWOM conceptualization and assessment so challenging? To begin with, eWOM has been used to denote different online phenomena, as evidenced in the proliferation of *conceptual labels* across academic studies and among marketing practitioners. Depending on the research perspective, scholars have used different labels, including "sentiment," for work on consumer attitudes, and "user-generated content (UGC)," in the information systems literature. In addition, certain aspects of eWOM are emphasized with specific conceptual labels, such as consumer knowledge (e.g., "amateur rating"), the facilitator role of marketers (e.g., Amazon.com "Like"), the consumption aspect (e.g., "product review"), or contextual characteristics (e.g., "tweet," "brand community"). These

different labels highlight a fragmented academic discourse and the need to distinguish eWOM from related concepts that may be mislabeled as eWOM.

Further complicating the academic debate on eWOM is consumers' *dual role* in the eWOM exchange. Consumers may progress along the eWOM communication process, moving from the eWOM *creation*, to *exposure*, to *evaluation stages*, acting at times as senders and at other times receivers of eWOM and shifting between these stages and roles in a non-linear way (Kannan and Li 2017). To date, most research has focused on one of these roles at a time and on consumers' motivations behind sending and receiving eWOM. However, the impact of any communication process, including that of eWOM, depends not only on consumers' *motivations* but also on their *opportunities* and *abilities* (MOA; Batra and Keller 2016; MacInnis et al. 1991). A framework that integrates consumers' dual role in the eWOM process and their MOA along this process can help marketers understand (1) when and how to facilitate consumers' MOA (e.g., by incentivizing the creation of eWOM) and (2) how to shape the outcome of the MOA on both eWOM senders (e.g., eWOM volume, valence, credibility) and eWOM receivers (e.g., buying influence, business value).

Marketing research needs a unifying effort to organize and discuss key research insights, emerging trends, and avenues for further research. The current study takes a step in this direction. First, we reflect on the different definitions and labels of eWOM to clarify what eWOM is and what it is not. Second, we propose an organizing framework that accounts for the dual role of consumers (senders, receivers) and their MOA in the eWOM process. Building on the vast body of eWOM literature, for each stage we summarize consumers' MOA and identify the most effective strategies for marketers. We are guided by four research questions: (1) What is eWOM? (2) What do consumers experience in the eWOM process? (3) How can marketers

support the consumer and amplify the business value of eWOM? and (4) What remains to be known about the process, antecedents, and consequences of eWOM?

To address these questions and develop a research agenda on eWOM, we take stock of academic articles published between 1996 and 2019 and survey the main research findings on consumers' MOAs in terms of creating, being exposed to, and evaluating eWOM as well as the implications of these findings for marketing practice. In the remainder of the article, we detail the methodology, the eWOM concept, and the organizing framework. We then present what we know and need to know along the three-stage eWOM process, providing recommendations for scholars and marketers.

### Methodology

We searched for published studies on eWOM in scientific databases (e.g., Business Source Premier, Google Scholar, JSTOR) using keywords, including "buzz," "consumer-generated content," "electronic word of mouth," "online review," "online word of mouth," "social earned media," and "user-generated content." We included publications across several fields of research and applied a snowballing procedure by examining publications' references to find additional studies. Finally, we searched for articles that use netnographic data (even if they do not refer to eWOM explicitly), as this method helps investigate consumer-to-consumer interactions in online communities (Kozinets 2016). We focused on research that (1) specifically investigates eWOM (e.g., antecedents, consequences), (2) employs eWOM as a crucial part of data collection (e.g., investigation of fashion blogs), (3) discusses technological or methodological advances that enable the study of eWOM (e.g., netnography), and (4) focuses on consumption-related, consumer-generated online content. Thus, we excluded articles that investigate purely marketer-generated online communication (e.g., online advertising); offline interactions such as offline

WOM, complaints, and face-to-face brand communities; recommendations by critics, experts, and celebrity endorsers; and phenomena not related to consumption (e.g., general conversation topics, social media usage). Our final sample consists of 1,050 articles published between 1996 and 2019 in 86 different publication outlets (see Web Appendices 1 and 2), 59% of which pertain to marketing and consumer research, 23% to information systems and computer science, 11.5% to economics and management, and 6.5% to tourism.

We performed a content analysis (Webster and Watson 2002). In addition to the descriptive information for each article (e.g., year of publication, research discipline), we coded the conceptual labels and definitions of eWOM used by authors, key theoretical approaches, research methodology, consumer MOAs in terms of participating in the eWOM exchange (as either senders or receivers), stage of the eWOM process (creation, exposure, or evaluation), characteristics of the eWOM exchange (source, message, channel, and audience), and key findings and implications.

### The concept and theoretical underpinnings of eWOM

The literature provides a plethora of definitions and theorizations of eWOM that differ in scope and reference to particular elements of the eWOM exchange, i.e., the message, source, receiver, and channel (see Table 1). Liu's (2006) definition of buzz, for example, stresses eWOM participants, but not the digital context; Dhar and Chang's (2009) definition of UGC emphasizes the opposite. The different facets of the eWOM exchange are reflected not only in the many eWOM definitions but also in the 390 eWOM conceptual labels used, such as buzz, UGC, online reviews, and consumer-to-consumer know-how exchange (for an overview of the research evolution and major milestones in eWOM research, see Web Appendix 3; for the complete list of labels, see Web Appendix 4).

### Lack of clarity on the meaning of eWOM

As evidenced in Table 1, eWOM is sometimes implied at the mere mention of certain platforms (e.g., virtual communities; Kozinets 1999), actions (e.g., virality, diffusion, online sharing), and data collection methods (e.g., netnography). When explicitly mentioned, eWOM is often used outside the marketing and consumer context to denote a general way of sharing information from person to person, rather than any consumer-generated content with commercial implications (e.g., Daugherty et al. 2008). We argue that any online consumer-generated content about products, even if far from a direct recommendation to other consumers, should be recognized as eWOM. To advance the holistic understanding of the phenomenon, we contend that "eWOM" can serve as an umbrella term to denote *online consumer-generated content*.

However, it is necessary to first clarify the concept of eWOM by distinguishing its essential properties from those of related concepts: (1) sharing general information, (2) offline WOM, (3) critics' reviews, (4) advertising, (5) UGC, (6) electronic recommendation systems, (7) online search rankings, and (8) observational learning. Confusing eWOM with any of these concepts or using them interchangeably may impair the retrieval and comparison of findings across publications and hinder progressive knowledge building (MacInnis 2011).

eWOM is not a form of sharing general information. eWOM is more specific than a broadly conceptualized channel for content transmission, which may, but does not need to, have *commercial* implications. Prior work has examined mechanisms such as email transmission (Rapp et al. 2013) and controversial conversation topics (Chen and Berger 2013). While insights from these studies may have implications for the eWOM phenomenon, the content investigated therein should not be equated with eWOM.

eWOM is not offline WOM. The differences between eWOM and traditional WOM have been extensively discussed (e.g., Berger 2014; Hennig-Thurau et al. 2015; Lovett et al. 2013) and can be summarized along four elements. First, the *communication network* in eWOM is larger than that of traditional WOM because eWOM extends its reach via the Internet. Second, eWOM eliminates the restrictions on *time and location*, as asynchronous information is usually kept online for some time (Hoffman and Novak 1996). This has expanded the scope of eWOM communication from consumer-to-*consumer* exchanges to a broader phenomenon that includes online consumer-generated communication *directed* at marketers but *visible* to other consumers (Kim and Slotegraaf 2015). Third, whereas traditional WOM refers to mostly spoken or written formats, eWOM takes place in *many other formats*, and this has implications for information processing and adoption (Schweidel and Moe 2014). Fourth, eWOM is embedded in an *online context* whose idiosyncrasies shape its credibility and effectiveness (Babić Rosario et al. 2016).

**eWOM** is not critics' reviews. It is important to distinguish between eWOM and critics' reviews. Simply put, consumers generate eWOM, whereas critics' reviews are largely provided by independent, third-party experts. The recognized expertise of critics amplifies the credibility of their message, leading to a significantly greater impact than that of eWOM on consumers' purchase decision (Floyd et al. 2014). Some confusion may arise from using terminology typical of consumer-generated information (e.g., "online buzz," "online reviews") for critics' and experts' reviews. In addition, the rise of influencer marketing is blurring the distinction between regular consumers and critics as some influencers turn their hobby into a business generating income by professionally reviewing. We contend that paid influencers' reviews should be considered a form of advertising (see below) rather than eWOM.

**eWOM** is not advertising. While marketer-generated messages and paid advertisements can spark eWOM (Dichter 1966), they are conceptually different from eWOM because they are not originally generated by consumers and are commercial in nature (Tellis et al. 2019). When consumers share advertisements, these may "go viral," that is, be shared by a large number of others (Akpinar and Berger 2017). In general, this act of sharing, liking, or commenting on an advertisement can be considered part of the eWOM phenomenon.

**eWOM is not UGC**. UGC is a broad concept that refers to any content created by users and primarily distributed on the Internet (Daugherty et al. 2008). By contrast, eWOM is necessarily *consumption-related*. For example, eWOM does not include communication that merely reflects people's moods or expressions that are not related to products, brands, companies, or consumption experiences. This is important because some research investigating the effects of UGC (e.g., Lee and Workman 2014) or, as discussed earlier, marketer-generated content (e.g., Thorson and Rodgers 2006) has used the term "eWOM," making it more difficult to identify and progressively build on existing knowledge on this topic.

eWOM is not electronic recommendation systems. eWOM is a mechanism characterized by human social interaction and thus is quite different from electronic recommendation agents that "assist consumers in making product decisions by generating rank-ordered alternative lists based on consumer preferences" (Aksoy et al. 2006, p. 297). We acknowledge that eWOM may be "fed into" such recommendation systems via proprietary algorithms that may represent consumer opinions (Piramuthu et al. 2012); nonetheless, because the resulting recommendation may be significantly altered by the marketer and based on additional business intelligence, we consider this information *marketer-generated* and thus distinct from eWOM.

eWOM is not online search rankings. We further distinguish eWOM from online activities that yield no recorded content. For example, Karniouchina (2011, p. 63) defines buzz—a common alias for eWOM—as "consumer excitement, interest, and communication around a [movie] project or a participating star that is capable of increasing their visibility with both moviegoers and movie industry participants." This "buzz," however, is measured by the intensity of Internet searches rather than actual consumer-generated content. In other words, it does not convey consumer opinions but rather levels of public awareness and/or interest. In that respect, these rankings resemble marketer-facilitated observational learning, in which others' search behavior becomes visible to the public in aggregate form.

eWOM is more than observational learning. Prior research has clearly distinguished between WOM and observational learning (Chen et al. 2011b; Godes and Silva 2012; Libai et al. 2010; Ludwig et al. 2013). Compared with eWOM, which often reveals consumers' motivations behind an opinion or a recommendation, observational learning contains less information—it reveals the actions of other consumers, but not the reasons behind them (Bikhchandani et al. 1998). Online, observational learning assumes marketer facilitation. Consider, for example, the electronic recommendation systems employed by online retailers, which use algorithms to aggregate and report consumer behavior (e.g., "people who bought X also bought Y"). Increasingly popular are the so-called social contexts—online advertisements linked to snippets of text that show which friends have "liked" a page, event, or application (Li et al. 2014). Pauwels et al. (2016, p. 640) state that "eWOM includes observing the actions of peers ... [as this is part of] informal communications directed at other consumers about the ownership, usage, or characteristics of particular goods and services or their sellers." Other scholars (e.g., Risselada et al. 2018) theorize observational learning as an *underlying mechanism* for eWOM, in which

certain metrics (e.g., eWOM volume) signal the actions of others (e.g., eWOM volume implies the number of products sold) and therefore can be used for judgment. In summary, while some studies include observational learning in their scope of (e)WOM investigations (e.g., Pauwels et al. 2016), eWOM and observational learning are conceptually different—consumers' online *actions* may become visible through no action of their own but from the explicit effort on the side of the marketer. Therefore, we propose that eWOM is a broader phenomenon that has traditionally included explicit recommendations and mere mentions of products and brands and has expanded to non-textual mentions, implicit recommendations, and other online consumer actions (e.g., products featured in YouTube tutorials).

## Revised definition of eWOM

As outlined, eWOM is conceptually distinct from other related online phenomena, and it is differentially shaped by consumers' circumstances and technological affordances. Furthermore, in light of the dynamic changes in the marketplace and the digital context, the prevailing views on eWOM have become outdated. For example, the most frequently used eWOM definition to date has the word "statement" at its core (see Table 1), which evokes mainly textual postings while ignoring other available formats. Similarly, eWOM may be directed to non-consumer audiences, such as company customer service representatives on specialized Twitter accounts, and still be visible to other consumers, due to the open nature of many platforms. Consequently, we recognize the need to revise the definition to reflect these and future changes. Thus, to facilitate consistent use of the eWOM construct and progressive knowledge building on this topic, we offer the following revised definition: eWOM is consumer-generated, consumption-related communication that employs digital tools and is directed primarily to other consumers.

<sup>1</sup> We thank one of our anonymous reviewers for valuable feedback regarding this revised definition.

This proposed definition of eWOM parsimoniously addresses the prevailing confusion about this concept. In addition, it allows us to delineate the key components for theory development: the source (i.e., consumers as senders of eWOM), the message (i.e., consumption-related content), the channel (i.e., digital conversation tools), and the receiver (i.e., primarily other consumers), in line with the source–message–channel–audience model of communication (Berlo 1960). For purposes of theory development, these elements continue to be "the key components [that] still represent a valuable starting point" (Yadav and Pavlou 2014, p. 32). To complete the discussion of the eWOM concept, we next address its theoretical foundations.

### Theoretical underpinnings of eWOM

To date, many researchers have referred to *the* WOM theory to explain eWOM (e.g., Abrantes et al. 2013; Steffes and Burgee 2009). Less clear, however, is what such an overarching theory entails. Typically, three classic, enduring frameworks are evoked as (e)WOM theory: (1) Katz and Lazarsfeld's (1955) two-step flow theory, according to which information trickles down from mass media to opinion leaders and then to the general public; (2) Dichter's (1966) theory of involvement and motivations to engage in (e)WOM; and (3) Brown and Reingen's (1987) theory of strong and homophilous ties among (e)WOM participants. Common among all these early theories is their focus on influential consumers and their role in spreading information.

With the evolution of eWOM, other scholars have departed from this influence model. For example, Kozinets et al. (2010) critique the one-to-one interpersonal WOM theory and propose a revised, many-to-many network model made available by web-based technologies. Von Wangenheim (2005, p. 68) posits that there is "no single or consistent WOM theory that explains why and when [e]WOM is given [but rather that there are several] ... theories." Relatedly, in their summary of early eWOM research, Cheung and Thadani (2012) distinguish

between 13 theoretical approaches to eWOM; however, some of these approaches represent literature streams rather than particular theories (e.g., impression formation literature, trust literature, negativity bias). In line with these authors, we acknowledge a *multiplicity of theories* in extant eWOM scholarship. Over time, a range of economics, communication, information systems, psychological, and sociological theories have been invoked to explain the eWOM process. In particular, the number of psychological theories may seem overwhelming and lead us to conclude that the majority of knowledge on eWOM pertains to individual-level, underlying psychological processes; however, we find that as many as 767 studies (73% of our sample) use field data (including surveys, quasi-experiments, and real-market data) to investigate eWOM and 239 of them (23%) use lab data.<sup>2</sup> Thus, a large part of extant eWOM research has drawn from real-life phenomena (for an overview of key theories and methodologies used per stage of the eWOM process, see Web Appendix 5). In the remainder of this article, we develop an organizing framework in which we describe how eWOM informs *consumer* decision making and how *marketers* can use it to support and influence consumer decision making.

# Organizing framework: The eWOM process

Our central research focus is on identifying the enduring principles of eWOM (i.e., organize insights from extant research and trends related to eWOM) and highlighting outstanding debates and research avenues. Our organizing framework builds on the established MOA framework.

#### Consumer MOA

To organize extant eWOM research, we draw on the MOA framework and its underlying theory, which implies that the degree to which people process information is based on three factors: motivation, opportunity, and ability (MacInnis et al. 1991). Early work understood *motivation* as

<sup>2</sup> Some studies use both field and lab data. The sum is not 100% because the remaining studies use a purely conceptual, meta-analytic, or simulated analytical approach.

"goal-directed arousal [or] ... the desire or readiness to process ... information" (MacInnis et al. 1991, p. 34); *opportunity* as "the extent to which distractions or limited exposure time affect consumers' attention to ... information" (MacInnis et al. 1991, p. 34); and *ability* as "the extent to which consumers have the necessary resources (e.g., knowledge, intelligence, money) to make an outcome happen" (Peters et al. 2013, p. 286). In the eWOM domain, research has used the MOA framework to explore how eWOM senders *participate* in discussion forums or in social media (e.g., Ashley and Tuten 2015; Lee et al. 2008), as well as how eWOM receivers *process* eWOM (e.g., Park et al. 2007; Tang et al. 2014). We extend these efforts and use MOA as a theoretical lens to synthesize extant literature from both consumers' (i.e., eWOM senders and receivers) *and* marketers' perspectives. In doing so, we map the research findings and gaps in this domain onto the three stages in the eWOM process: creation, exposure, and evaluation.

# Three-stage eWOM process

As illustrated in Fig. 1, we conceptualize a three-stage process of eWOM that captures distinct consumer needs and behaviors, and we parallel marketers' needs and actions with respect to this phenomenon. This process is inspired by new conceptualizations of the consumer journey (e.g., Hamilton and Price 2019; Lemon and Verhoef 2016). For example, a common path is for consumers first to be exposed to eWOM before purchase and then to create eWOM after purchase; however, technological affordances now allow different paths. Thus, we propose that this process is *non-linear*, as consumers may create eWOM in the form of pre-purchase buzz but never proceed to the eWOM exposure or evaluation stages for the same product category, and *recursive* (i.e., repeating on an individual level), in which consumers may re-experience the first stage (eWOM creation) as part of the decision process for another product. Throughout this process, consumers shift roles from (potential) eWOM receivers to (potential) eWOM senders,

and vice versa. Moreover, they may skip stages, compress them, or extend them. This reality, however, does not negate the usefulness of conceptualizing the eWOM process as consisting of different stages that have a distinct nature and different goals and influences. For simplicity, we begin with a description of the eWOM process from the creation stage, as this stage is necessary for the subsequent stages of exposure and evaluation. Tables 2–4 summarize the key insights for the three stages.

--- Insert Figure 1 and Tables 2–4 about here ---

# Stage 1: eWOM creation

eWOM creation includes consumer contributions of original content—either in a short-term fashion through one-time product reviews or through long-term engagement such as prolonged participation in online communities—and sharing other consumers' or companies' content such as re-tweeting (Gong et al. 2017). In turn, the marketer can support this creation by encouraging eWOM participation and designing benefits for the consumer (eWOM sender).

eWOM creation from a consumer perspective. In the past two decades, scholars have devoted significant attention to understanding consumers' *motivations* to create eWOM. The primary motivations identified in the literature are altruism toward other consumers or the company (Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004); social value from community interaction (Kozinets 1999; Peters et al. 2013); hedonic benefits, such as personal enjoyment and gratification (Kozinets 2016; McGraw et al. 2015; Motyka et al. 2018); impression management and identity formation (Belk 2013; Berger 2014; Moe and Schweidel 2012); balance restoration, venting, and retribution (Anderson and Simester 2014; Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004); and economic incentives (Ahrens et al. 2013; Godes and Mayzlin 2009). Researchers have also identified product characteristics that may prompt eWOM creation; for example, hyper-differentiated and niche

products (e.g., craft beer [Clemons et al. 2006], limited-edition sneakers [Berger 2014]) may attract eWOM senders because impression management encourages consumers to talk about high-status, distinctive products and experiences. Overall, these motivations differently influence consumers' propensity to create eWOM and their specific eWOM content (e.g., negative opinions to signal expertise; Schlosser 2005). However, over time, eWOM senders' motivations have changed owing to technological and social developments.

Three classic works illustrate these changes and the debate on the primary motivation to create eWOM: Kozinets (1999), Hennig-Thurau et al. (2004), and Berger (2014). In the early 1980s, consumers gathered in online communities of consumption in the form of email lists, Usenet newsgroups, bulletin board systems, and chat rooms (Okleshen and Grossbart 1998). Kozinets (1999, p. 254) highlights the prevalence of eWOM in these communities by defining them as "affiliative groups whose online interactions are based upon shared enthusiasm for, and knowledge of, a specific consumption activity or related group of activities." Online communities, which attract consumers because of their mix of "social" and "topical" (i.e., product-related) benefits, are the cradle of eWOM. In the early 2000s, eWOM creation expanded with the introduction of dedicated online opinion platforms. Hennig-Thurau et al. (2004) developed a motivation-based segmentation of eWOM creators on these platforms that distinguishes among (1) self-interested helpers driven by economic incentives, (2) consumer advocates who act out of concern for other consumers, (3) altruists who want to help other consumers and companies, and (4) multiple-motive consumers. A decade later, Berger (2014) challenged the rationale that consumers can hold truly altruistic motives to create eWOM and posited that they engage in this behavior primarily out of self-interest (e.g., impression management, status). Berger's (2014) assessment is in line with academic discourse that

identifies an evolution of online communication and consumer culture brought about by changes in the platforms and devices that consumers use to connect. Thus, while consumers' motivations are still multiple, as they are shaped by the platforms and communities in which they occur, they may be less social and altruistic than they were in the early days of the Internet.

In addition to motivation, consumers' creation of eWOM depends on their opportunity to access the Internet (e.g., device, connectivity; Mariani et al. 2019) and a platform on which to post eWOM. Greatly expanding this opportunity was the introduction of 3G, 4G, and 5G networks; widespread Wi-Fi; lower connectivity costs; and the global adoption of smartphones. As a consequence, eWOM has become more instantaneous (Berger 2014), and consumers can more immediately create eWOM throughout their decision-making journey (Liu et al. 2013). For example, they can check into a store and announce their intent to purchase, they can rate the service provider while enjoying a meal in a restaurant, and they can post a video of unpacking a product. However, consumers' opportunities to create eWOM are often restricted (e.g., connection availability; Gruen et al. 2006). In this direction, research has examined the role of posting costs (e.g., eWOM senders may need to purchase the product or register as a member to post a review; Yadav et al. 2013). Another important technological development extending consumers' opportunity to create eWOM is the proliferation of eWOM formats (Berger 2014): text, ratings, images, videos, "Likes," tags, and audios. Each format differentially affects eWOM effectiveness and its persuasiveness (Schweidel and Moe 2014).

Finally, eWOM creation is shaped by consumers' *ability* to access necessary resources (knowledge, expertise, skill) to create eWOM. To engage in eWOM communication, consumers must be familiar with the product (Lovett et al. 2013). Whereas the ability to create offline WOM may not have varied much among consumers, the increasingly complex technological

environment causes considerable differences in consumers' abilities to create eWOM (Gruen et al. 2006). For example, more skill is required to create a video and post it on social media than to click on a star rating (Eisingerich et al. 2015). Despite the clear implications of consumers' abilities to create eWOM, this area remains under-theorized.

**eWOM** creation from a marketer perspective. Marketers have long attempted to stimulate eWOM—more of it, specific kinds of it, specific timing of it, or directed at a specific audience—by leveraging consumer *motivations* to create it through communication, incentives, and community building. Specifically, marketers often use short-term prompts or nudges (e.g., post-purchase Q&A, requests to share top-of-mind brand experiences; Eelen et al. 2017). For example, Amazon.com, eBay, Sephora, and TripAdvisor have all introduced a consumer "questions and answers" feature (Hamilton et al. 2017; Kozinets 2016). By inviting verified purchasers to answer other consumers' questions about a product, these companies are appealing to altruism (Schulze et al. 2014). This information may prevent product returns by reducing uncertainty for other consumers; research has shown that products with more answered questions are indeed less likely to be returned (Minnema et al. 2016). Marketers have leveraged other consumer motivations to create eWOM, such as social value from interaction, by engaging with consumers in firm-owned or third-party communities. In these communities, eWOM creation can be stimulated, for example, through product co-development challenges (Beckers et al. 2018) or user testimonies, such as Harley Owners Group's riding stories. Marketers sometimes resort to subtler approaches centered on self-presentation (Berger 2014). Consider, for example, firm investments in designing picture-perfect settings such as the Paul Smith pink wall in Los Angeles (Bean et al. 2018). These investments leverage consumers' interest in creating eWOM (e.g., the perfect pink wall pictures on Instagram) and are tied to their self-interested impression

management motivations. Extant research in this area indicates that providing social status markers on a platform, such as reviewer badges (e.g., Baek et al. 2012) and helpfulness scores (e.g., Hong et al. 2017), motivates consumers to create eWOM to increase their status, which may even shape the valence of their opinions (e.g., make them less extreme; Schuckert et al. 2015).

In addition to these firm-to-consumer communication efforts to stimulate eWOM, marketers can offer incentives to eWOM senders, which may include economic rewards (Du Plessis et al. 2014). For example, the cosmetics brand Jane Iredale offers loyalty points when registered members post online reviews on the brand's platform. Marketing research documents reasons marketers should (and should not) incentivize eWOM creation. First, offering economic benefits is more effective than offering none or offering only social benefits (Ahrens et al. 2013; Dose et al. 2019). Yet caution is warranted: extrinsic rewards may weaken the relationship between loyal consumers and the brand (Godes and Mayzlin 2009), as well as decrease their referral behavior (Dose et al. 2019). Second, research also documents that monetary rewards motivate passive members (Garnefeld et al. 2012) and those with few social connections (Sun et al. 2017) but demotivate active and well-connected members (for whom normative incentives and status markers may be more effective; Garnefeld et al. 2012). Finally, a caveat regarding extrinsic rewards lies in the resulting valence of eWOM and a long-term change in senders' attitudes—incentives may increase negative eWOM (Poch and Martin 2015) and bias senders' attitudes toward the product (Kim et al. 2016).

The marketer's role in eWOM creation has been further complicated by regulatory changes. Incentivizing eWOM may have gone undisclosed for a long time—making the incentives a purer individual benefit driver—but today eWOM senders are often legally

obligated to disclose any benefits (see, e.g., Federal Trade Commission's [2017] "Endorsement Guidelines"). Through such disclosures, eWOM senders' benefits become visible to others and may acquire social status (e.g., "She has a professional connection to Louis Vuitton"), thereby creating positive externalities, such as increased credibility and subsequent eWOM, that may affect future consumers' attitudes and purchasing behaviors (Carr and Hayes 2014). By contrast, such disclosures may discredit eWOM senders in the eyes of their audiences (e.g., "She is selling out to Louis Vuitton"; Ashley and Leonard 2009; Kozinets et al. 2010) and lower eWOM receivers' product quality expectations (Du Plessis et al. 2014).

In addition to triggering consumers' motivation to create eWOM, marketers at times get involved in eWOM creation by *demotivating* consumers from eWOM creation (e.g., by inviting negative feedback to be sent directly to the firm, instead of being shared publicly). Some firms are actively trying to combat this marketer practice; for example, Amazon.com provides guidelines that help regulate buyer–seller messaging (i.e., discourage sellers from diverting buyers' dissatisfaction from public to private channels or from requesting that the consumer alter an unfavorable review following webcare interventions). While such guidelines prohibit deceptive eWOM conduct, some marketer efforts to encourage eWOM creation remain unethical and, at times, unlawful. Scholars have assessed the impact of incentivizing fake positive reviews (Mayzlin et al. 2014) and "injecting" competitors with negative eWOM (Lappas et al. 2016). Broadly, marketers' manipulations decrease eWOM usefulness and value (Mayzlin et al. 2014).

Marketers' involvement with eWOM creation actually begins by securing the necessary opportunities for consumers' contributions, such as designing a web page on which consumers can leave textual reviews. eWOM creation is further shaped by technological and platform affordances, which marketers can control. On some platforms, consumers have the opportunity

to access a platform (e.g., no barriers to enter; Gruner et al. 2014), reach and form ties with others (e.g., Stephen and Lehmann 2016), and create eWOM (e.g., posting a review following a verified purchase on Expedia.com vs. posting unrestrictedly on TripAdvisor.com; Mayzlin et al. 2014). At times, platforms try to minimize the manipulation of eWOM creation to ensure high-quality information (e.g., GameSpot allows only one review per consumer per game; Zhu and Zhang 2010). Another important factor is consumers' opportunities to self-present via status markers (e.g., VIP badge; Hanson et al. 2019), and this may support eWOM creation.

Marketers can also benefit from building online communities. Schau et al. (2009) describe community practices that marketers can support to increase value co-creation. Many of these practices directly relate to eWOM; consider milestoning, for example, which is the practice of noting landmark events in brand ownership and consumption, such as Saab drivers relaying tales of their cars' odometers hitting 100,000 miles (Schau et al. 2009, p. 44). Marketers can also support community members in their evolution from mere lurkers to active contributors, thus increasing the pool of members who create eWOM (De Valck et al. 2009; Kozinets 1999).

Marketers can further shape eWOM creation by prescribing the eWOM format, such as the length of text (e.g., 140–280 characters on Twitter, six-second videos on Vine; Schweidel and Moe 2014), and other features, such as the color and size of online rating scales (Jiang and Guo 2015) or the "Like" button, which allows users to show support for specific online content (e.g., comments, images). Research indicates that these opportunities influence eWOM creation and that consumers respond differently to the varying elements of communication. For example, in their study on online product reviews, Chen and Godes (2012) show that consumers report higher eWOM creation intentions when rating on a 5- versus a 100-point scale, presumably because of "rating certainty" (i.e., the extent to which an online context allows consumers to rate

in a way that accurately captures their underlying utility). Smith et al. (2012) demonstrate that the volume, valence, and content of eWOM—even for the same brand—can all differ across platforms because of consumers' varying opportunities for expression (e.g., brands are more central in eWOM on Twitter than on YouTube or Facebook). Furthermore, the mere number of opportunities to create eWOM may change (i.e., bias) the eWOM sender's attitude (Kim et al. 2016). Many marketers have experimented with these elements to influence eWOM creation (e.g., Facebook's multiple changes to its ratings format since 2011).

Finally, in addition to leveraging motivations and opportunities, marketers can support eWOM creation by increasing consumers' *abilities*—skills, proficiencies, and competencies to engage in eWOM exchange with other consumers (Gruen et al. 2006)—thereby helping them mitigate the risks associated with using certain platforms (Eisingerich et al. 2015). Without the necessary cognitive resources, even a motivated consumer will not create eWOM (Gruen et al. 2006). Marketers may assist consumers with the complex aspects of eWOM creation; for example, Sephora provides detailed guidelines for rating and reviewing products to educate consumers on how to compose and submit eWOM.

### Research gaps for eWOM creation.

The first goal of this article was to provide a multidisciplinary overview of extant knowledge on eWOM. To complement knowledge development efforts in this area, we provide a research agenda for each stage in the eWOM process, which we hope will stimulate future research. Here, we first discuss the research gaps identified for eWOM creation.

1. Settle debates on incentivizing eWOM creation. As discussed previously, debate is ongoing about the benefits and optimal structure of eWOM incentive programs. This debate raises questions such as *whom* to incentivize (loyal consumers vs. others), *how* to incentivize

them (with economic or non-economic benefits), and whether and how to *disclose* the incentive to others. In addition, how do incentives and rewards affect *eWOM senders* (e.g., motivation to post, brand perceptions, consumer engagement, loyalty) and *the nature of eWOM* (positive or negative)? A promising avenue for future research lies in the overlap of eWOM and consumer loyalty program design, as marketers increasingly offer loyalty points to spark eWOM creation. Recently, Breugelmans et al. (2015) called for research on the cost and reward structure of loyalty programs because it is unclear how redemption of loyalty points affects consumers and firms. We argue that, in light of incentivization-related debates in the eWOM literature, the question of redemption would be especially relevant when consumers receive points to create eWOM. For example, Jane Iredale rewards consumers with 20 points for each written online review. It is plausible that loyalty point redemption may negatively affect consumer engagement, brand attitudes, and firm profitability.

2. Explore eWOM senders' abilities. Prior research shows that differential levels of consumer ability influence eWOM (Gruen et al. 2006). However, it is not clear specifically how eWOM senders' ability influences eWOM creation and how that, in turn, shapes the subsequent stages of the eWOM process (i.e., on eWOM receivers' side). For example, Internet proficiency and past experience with eWOM may help shape consumers' eWOM contributions (in terms of information formats, metrics, and so on). On the marketer side, how effective are practices to structure eWOM, as well as to educate and guide consumers through eWOM creation? How does this affect eWOM content and eWOM valence? For example, if consumer abilities are high and perceived level of difficulty to create eWOM is low, will eWOM be more balanced as a result? If perceived as difficult, will consumers engage in more extreme eWOM? In which case is eWOM more persuasive?

- 3. Explore eWOM and privacy issues for unsought products. What are the consequences of the identified trends in eWOM creation? If consumers indeed hold more self-oriented motivations, will they ever be interested in sending eWOM about products that have less identity-signaling value or that threaten identity preservation? Will there be an unbalanced representation of the types of products referenced by eWOM? Extant research has not yet captured the contexts of unsought products. For example, an Amazon.com product page for a #1 best-selling hemorrhoid cream shows merely 183 reviews (despite more than 10 million annual cases in the United States, according to the Mayo Clinic<sup>3</sup>). By contrast, best-sellers in other Health & Personal Care categories receive significantly more eWOM (e.g., more than 3,400 reviews for top brands of allergy medicine). Consequently, it is important to explore how to motivate consumers to create eWOM for unsought products and increase public self-consciousness (Townsend et al. 2019).
- 4. Explore the helpfulness of altruistic eWOM creation. Because the market for eWOM has professionalized with the rise of pay-per-post arrangements, influencer marketing, and other marketer-driven tactics geared toward boosting the creation of eWOM, the weight of altruistic eWOM has declined in favor of incentivized eWOM providing individual-oriented benefits, such as recognitions through badges. At the same time, research on the effectiveness of altruistic eWOM creation encouraged by the marketer is lacking. For example, how helpful is eWOM that results from a firm's invitation to participate in Q&A about a purchased product? Anecdotal evidence suggests that altruistic eWOM creation is not always helpful or useful, as consumers sometimes reply to a question by stating "I do not know about this feature."

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mayo Clinic. (2016, May 13). Retrieved May 20, 2019, from https://www.mayoclinic.org/medical-professionals/digestive-diseases/news/hemorrhoidal-disease-diagnosis-and-management/mac-20430067#targetText=Diagnosis,so% 20they% 20suffer% 20in% 20silence.

5. Investigate the types of online communities in which eWOM creation is most valuable for both consumers and marketers. Generating and maintaining engagement in online communities is critical for marketers to enhance relationships and gain customer loyalty (Hanson et al. 2019; Kozinets 1999). Marketers can invest in a firm-owned brand community or collaborate with third-party or consumer-initiated communities. However, online communities vary in ownership and governance structure (Sibai et al. 2015), platform characteristics and affordances (Dholakia et al. 2004), community culture (Kozinets et al. 2010), and purpose (e.g., fan vs. activist communities; Kozinets and Handelman 2004). Although much is known about consumer participation in online communities and its effect on consumer decision making (e.g., Adjei et al. 2010; Relling et al. 2016; Wiertz and De Ruyter 2007), little is known about how eWOM creation differs (e.g., in volume) across these different types of communities. A better understanding of how community governance, affordances, and culture influence eWOM creation will help marketers make strategically informed decisions about which communities to target. For example, when is it appropriate to support a brand public versus a brand community? How should marketers deal with negative eWOM in consumer activist communities?

### Stage 2: eWOM exposure

After eWOM is created (by eWOM senders), other consumers (eWOM receivers) take note of it. This awareness may be the result of either an active search or consumers' accidental exposure, and it may be supported by marketer actions. In this stage, marketers may try to facilitate this exposure by maintaining online platforms on which eWOM receivers can access eWOM, as well as through online tactics such as search engine optimization. Further understanding of "best practices in capturing exposures across platforms" is important, to enable a holistic view of the consumer (Marketing Science Institute 2018).

eWOM exposure from a consumer perspective. What do we know about consumers' MOAs with regard to eWOM? In a recent survey, 63% of respondents reported that reading reviews before buying an unfamiliar product or selecting a new service provider is highly important (Worldpay 2017). In general, consumers' motivations to seek eWOM are shaped by individual traits (e.g., need for cognition [Gupta and Harris 2010], perceived expertise, market mavenism [Adjei et al. 2010]) and goals throughout their decision-making journey. One important goal is to reduce pre-purchase uncertainty and the perception of risk (Moe and Trusov 2011). Products with attributes that are difficult to observe, predict, verify, or control are associated with higher levels of risk (Lee and Bell 2013), which in turn may motivate consumers to seek eWOM. For example, consumers may search for eWOM when they perceive high functional risk (e.g., new products whose performance is unknown; Ho-Dac et al. 2013), high financial risk (e.g., long-term investments; Grewal et al. 2004), and/or high social risk (e.g., publicly consumed products; You et al. 2015). Finally, consumers seek eWOM after purchase to reduce cognitive dissonance (Bailey 2005) or to problem-solve (Mathwick et al. 2008).

Whereas these motivations for seeking eWOM are primarily utility-driven, recent research has also identified consumers search for eWOM as a leisure activity (Goldsmith and Horowitz 2006). This trend may be related to the proliferation of humorous eWOM (McGraw et al. 2015) and the prevalence of online influencers. Online influencers exert a greater-than-average social influence through eWOM (Kozinets et al. 2010; Kupfer et al. 2018), due to their large audience, authority, and/or trustworthiness (Algesheimer et al. 2005). Consumers are motivated to follow influencers—and are exposed to their eWOM—because they find their posts entertaining, interesting, and inspirational (Gong and Li 2017). The decision of an influencer to recommend a brand—and, thus, the probability of consumers to be exposed to eWOM—is a

function of the influencer's social network (e.g., size) and recipient type (e.g., platform member vs. non-member). Notably, long-term influencers recommend brands less frequently than new influencers, but their recommendations have higher conversion rates (e.g., receivers are more likely to act on their recommendation to visit a website; Chatterjee 2011).

Consumers gain exposure to eWOM not only from their active search behavior related to purchasing but also from accidental exposure to eWOM (Hildebrand and Schlager 2019). This happens when consumers spend time on social media, watch online videos, or surf the Internet (Chen and Berger 2016). In effect, every time consumers create eWOM, they are exposing their first-circle connections to it (Lipsman et al. 2012). Given the penetration of certain platforms (e.g., 26% of the world's population uses Facebook; Internet World Stats 2017), such accidental exposure to eWOM is significant (Moran et al. 2014). We also argue that such exposure has increased over time from FOMO (fear of missing out), as this makes consumers exceptionally attentive to messages from those in their social circle (Beyens et al. 2016).

Consumers' *opportunity* to be exposed to eWOM is determined by contextual factors, such as Internet penetration, available time, platform characteristics, and network-related factors. For example, when consumers participate in online communities of consumption, they are more likely to be exposed to eWOM because they linger at reservoirs of consumer knowledge (De Valck et al. 2009). In addition, prior research has shown that eWOM exchange is shaped by specific periods including holidays (Bruce et al. 2012) and days of the week because of Internet searching patterns (e.g., increased search on the weekend; Rutz and Bucklin 2011).

Furthermore, eWOM receivers may incur platform and/or eWOM access costs, such that they must overcome certain entry barriers or complete steps (e.g., registration) before they can gain access to eWOM (Gruen et al. 2006). By contrast, some platforms expose visitors to eWOM

immediately on arrival (Schau et al. 2009). Considering access costs, we distinguish between restricted and open-access platforms (Gruner et al. 2014). A recent study finds that open-access and more "loosely knit" sharing environments, such as Twitter, are particularly effective for viral dissemination and may significantly increase exposure to eWOM (Hayes et al. 2016). Other platform affordances also allow consumers to access more eWOM than ever before: for example, a Google search for a restaurant returns average ratings from different sites (e.g., Facebook, Foursquare, OpenTable), thereby exposing consumers to unsolicited eWOM. This may be more common for products with high signaling value (e.g., high-end restaurants), as these types of products are typically featured on people's social accounts (Moran et al. 2014).

Building on the strength-of-weak-ties theory (Granovetter 1973), prior research also demonstrates that larger consumer networks (Peters et al. 2013), higher in-degree centrality of the consumer in those networks (Lu et al. 2013), and boundary-spanner positions with high betweenness centrality (Schulze et al. 2014) all increase the probability of exposure to eWOM. In addition, research on eWOM contagion and diffusion finds that more consumers get exposed to eWOM as a function of (1) the depth of influence (e.g., the number of social connections that an eWOM message jumped [Kumar et al. 2013], the proportion of the population reached by the message [Langley et al. 2014]), (2) the velocity or speed of contagion (Kumar et al. 2013), and (3) the uniformity of direction (i.e., the existence of a dominant opinion shared among eWOM senders; Langley et al. 2014).

Finally, we posit that consumers' exposure to eWOM is shaped by their *abilities*, which are driven by the particular consumption context and individual characteristics, such as age, literacy, language and Internet proficiency, and also the capabilities to join eWOM platforms and navigate different eWOM formats (e.g., online reviews, social media posts). Prior research finds

that higher Internet proficiency lowers online search costs and subsequently increases consumers' likelihood of using eWOM to expand product knowledge (Zhu and Zhang 2010).

**eWOM exposure from a marketer perspective**. In this section, we explore what is known about marketers' role in consumers' exposure to eWOM. For example, what can Amazon.com do to effectively facilitate consumers' active search for or accidental exposure to eWOM? Primarily, the traditional marketing-mix elements such as product design and advertising (e.g., more complex, risky, and controversially advertised products) can *motivate* consumers to search for additional product information (Schmidt and Spreng 1996). In addition, marketers can more directly invite consumers to complement their internal knowledge and reduce uncertainty through eWOM (e.g., to follow a brand on Twitter [Rui et al. 2013], to check other shoppers' opinions [Aldo 2017]).

Marketers can also increase consumers' *opportunities* to be exposed to eWOM. For example, they can make eWOM more visible and more searchable. eWOM that is indexed and displayed by search engines and social media platforms holds large potential for worldwide exposure (Moran et al. 2014). Marketers may also feature eWOM in their owned media, such as in store (e.g., Hansen and Sia 2015), in promotional materials and newsletters, as well as through search engine advertising (e.g., star ratings displayed in Google ads). Similarly, when searching for brands or companies on Facebook, consumers can see average ratings and recommendations; they may also see when network members are seeking recommendations (e.g., on Facebook), effectively stumbling upon incidental eWOM. This accidental exposure to eWOM may happen more on social media and online community platforms, which are inherently designed to support social interaction rather than retailer services.

In addition, marketers may help consumers' search for eWOM by building a sorting feature (e.g., by date) in the platform and by allowing customized eWOM search (e.g., checking a box to ensure similar skin type via Beauty Matches on Sephora.com). Some platforms also offer partial, on-demand displays of eWOM, such that users can search for specific content or sort eWOM by, for example, favorability (Ghose et al. 2014), recency (e.g., "sort by newest" on Travelocity.com), their own preferences (e.g., eye color on Sephora.com), or eWOM sender characteristics (e.g., family status on HolidayCheck.com; Brandes et al. 2011). Finally, to expose consumers to eWOM, marketers can reduce the signal-to-noise ratio on their platforms, that is, minimize the distracting "information [within eWOM] with little or no relevance to specific products and brands" (Tirunillai and Tellis 2012, p. 199).

As part of the strategy in this stage of the eWOM process, however, marketers may also want to restrict exposure to eWOM for strategic and brand preservation reasons. This primarily occurs through controlled displays of eWOM in owned media. Prior research documents many examples of this practice; on some platforms, eWOM is displayed only after a minimum volume threshold has been reached (e.g., four ratings per restaurant [Lu et al. 2013], 10 reviews per eWOM sender [Clemons et al. 2006]). In addition, marketers can control the exposure to eWOM by determining the amount of eWOM displayed per page (e.g., five reviews per page on Travelocity, 10 per page on TripAdvisor; Ghose et al. 2012). Overall, platform design may limit consumers' opportunities to be exposed to eWOM and may even result in eWOM bias (e.g., because some consumers only seek eWOM on the first page of a site; Ghose et al. 2012).

Finally, to expose consumers to eWOM, marketers can influence their *ability*. To this end, they can lower consumers' platform access costs and eWOM search costs and educate them on searching through large amounts of eWOM (e.g., how to use the hashtag to retrieve tweets on

a topic or to sort reviews on a retailer platform). Consider the case of Amazon.com in 2003, when consumers needed to invest significant search efforts to find eWOM on a product page (Babić Rosario et al. 2016); today, eWOM is immediately visible. Ultimately, today's consumers do not need to be particularly skilled to find eWOM on most platforms.

### Research gaps for eWOM exposure.

In the eWOM literature, researchers have mainly considered how consumers search for eWOM.

The following initial insights regarding eWOM exposure still need further exploration.

- 1. Investigate maximizing versus satisficing eWOM search behaviors. Prior studies confirm that eWOM is a risk-reducing mechanism (Lovett et al. 2013). However, what specific behaviors do consumers engage in to mitigate risk? For example, what are the effects of searching for eWOM on one versus multiple platforms, maximizing versus satisficing eWOM search behavior, or soliciting versus not soliciting eWOM to reduce risk? It is possible that consumers faced with many alternatives may engage in satisficing eWOM searches (You et al. 2015). While maximizing and satisficing strategies in online information seeking are widely available in the information systems literature, eWOM scholars have not yet investigated the so-called cognitive economy (Warwick et al. 2009). Relatedly, in light of emerging technologies, consumers are using augmented reality (e.g., viewing a couch in own room using a smartphone camera) and other sampling opportunities (e.g., "look inside" a book on Amazon.com). So, what will be the role of eWOM relative to this new risk reduction?
- 2. Investigate curated and altered eWOM. As discussed previously, extant research indicates that marketers' actions shape consumers' opportunities to be exposed to eWOM (e.g., by controlling its display online; Brandes et al. 2011). Scholarly attention in this area has focused on digital environments, even though the modern consumer engages in an omni-channel journey.

Elements of digital communication are seeping into people's analog lives, as marketers are including eWOM in their offline settings (Hansen and Sia 2015). Examples include the cardboard Instaframe cutouts used at social events and Amazon.com's recent opening of "4-star" stores, stocking four-star-and-above-rated products from various categories and featuring electronic price tags that show average star ratings updated several times a day (Goldberg 2018).

Consumer behavior across offline and online environments has been the subject of several recent investigations. For example, Pauwels et al. (2011) show that consumers exposed to offline marketing further browse online for convenience, and vice versa. Kushwaha and Shankar (2013) demonstrate that consumers who shop for hedonic products across the two environments spend more money than those who use just one channel. De Haan et al. (2018) show that consumers who switch between channels (e.g., from a smartphone to a desktop computer) exhibit a higher conversion rate. Lacking in extant research, however, is knowledge about the effectiveness of cross-channel or omni-channel marketers' curated displays of eWOM. Specifically, academic attention has largely neglected marketers' use of eWOM for promotional purposes in owned media, such as quoting consumers' online reviews in official newsletters, instore product description labels, and mass promotion. This marketer practice is prevalent and interesting because it contains elements of—while remaining conceptually distinct from testimonials and eWOM. On the one hand, it is possible that these hybrid forms of marketrelevant information will replace both advertising and eWOM. On the other hand, eWOM senders' original intentions and disassociation from commercial interest (Dichter 1966) may be questioned, potentially reducing the credibility of the message (see Thompson and Malaviya 2013) and activating consumers' persuasion knowledge. Further research could address the

question: How does the embeddedness of eWOM in marketing messages alter its meaning and effectiveness?

- 3. Investigate optimal platform design in high-social-risk contexts. Perceived social risk tends to be higher for hedonic and high-status products because of their symbolic value for social groups (Miller et al. 1993). This necessitates reliance on reference groups and implies higher susceptibility to peer-generated information such as eWOM (Childers and Rao 1992). Thus, marketers need to ensure that eWOM is available when and where consumers need it. However, marketer actions may not be intuitive in this particular consumption context, as eWOM may signal messages incongruent with the brand. For example, a high volume of online reviews signals wide adoption of the product (Babić Rosario et al. 2016), which is counterintuitive to luxury marketing in which scarcity and unavailability are considered dominant appeals. While several studies have explored the role of eWOM for luxury hotels (e.g., Dinçer and Alrawadieh 2017), restaurants (Hoffman and Daugherty 2013), and fashion (e.g., Kim and Ko 2012), research in this area has been scant overall. Consequently, it is pertinent to explore ways to reduce uncertainty with eWOM while preserving the brand in contexts with high social risk.
- 4. Distinguish eWOM as a proxy from eWOM as a market influence. Future research should better distinguish between eWOM metrics that are visible to consumers (e.g., average rating) and econometrically derived metrics researchers and practitioners use to approximate underlying issues in the market (e.g., variance, "incremental" rating). More insight is necessary into the way consumers respond to eWOM that they have actually read, seen, or heard versus eWOM that was merely present on a platform but never seen (Cadario 2015). Thus, we call for research to employ eye-tracking methodology to advance understanding of consumers' processing of eWOM.

### Stage 3: eWOM evaluation

Consumers (eWOM receivers) evaluate eWOM to inform their decisions. Marketers manage eWOM to ensure its relevance for consumers and perform webcare to preserve brand image.

**eWOM evaluation from a consumer perspective**. *Motivation* in this stage refers to consumer readiness, interest, desire, or willingness to process eWOM (Tang et al. 2014). Prior research suggests several motivational drivers among the characteristics of (1) eWOM receivers, (2) senders, (3) message characteristics, and (4) other contextual characteristics.

Primarily, the motivation to process information is shaped by eWOM receivers' psychological characteristics, such as their susceptibility to interpersonal influence (Naylor et al. 2012), innate desire to think about information (i.e., need for cognition; Gupta and Harris 2010), and psychological need for uniqueness (Wang et al. 2012). For example, consumers scoring high on need for uniqueness tend to resist majority influence, which makes them less susceptible to certain eWOM signals such as the high volume of messages (Wang et al. 2012). Early studies on eWOM evaluation also highlight gender as an important factor, with men finding eWOM to be of higher quality than women (Awad and Ragowsky 2008). Cultural characteristics such as uncertainty avoidance, individualism, and power distance also help explain which consumers value peer information for reducing consumption risk (Kübler et al. 2018).

Furthermore, prior research notes a strong relationship between involvement and eWOM processing: as involvement increases, consumers are more motivated to comprehend salient information (Lee et al. 2008). Otherwise, as involvement to process eWOM decreases, consumers want to reduce pre-purchase evaluation efforts (King et al. 2014) and tend to use other people's opinions as a decision heuristic (Risselada et al. 2018). For example, consumers are presumably more involved when they are members of an online community of consumption

and when they actively search for eWOM (vs. being exposed to it accidentally); here, their motivation to evaluate eWOM is generally already high, and they are likely to engage in more effortful information processing (Lu et al. 2013). However, when involvement is low, consumers rely on peripheral cues such as sender credibility, expertise, trustworthiness, and personal similarity (Lee et al. 2008). In general, eWOM from credible senders is more valuable and more influential (Mayzlin 2006). Similarly, a credible eWOM message—one that is similar to the eWOM receiver's own product evaluation (Zhao et al. 2013), complete and detailed (Jiménez and Mendoza 2013), objective (Kusumasondjaja et al. 2012), or rated as helpful (Ghose and Ipeirotis 2011)—exerts more influence on consumer behavior (Jiménez and Mendoza 2013).

Research highlights other message characteristics that affect consumers' motivation to evaluate eWOM. Overall, consumers prefer simple eWOM information to complicated content (Dillard et al. 2007) and more neutral to valenced eWOM (Tang et al. 2014). Yet an important finding in the literature is that not all neutral messages are created equal. Neutral eWOM, which contains mixed information (with both positive and negative valence), can stimulate consumers' curiosity to evaluate additional eWOM (Tang et al. 2014). However, when neutral eWOM lacks both positive and negative assessments, consumers' motivation to further evaluate eWOM decreases, as they consider indifferent messages less interesting (Tang et al. 2014).

With regard to consumers' *opportunities* to evaluate eWOM, research is in disagreement. Gruen et al. (2006) find that eWOM receivers' opportunity does not influence their perceived value of eWOM (while *motivation* and *ability* do). However, subsequent studies demonstrate that consumers may evaluate eWOM differently depending on the opportunity provided, in terms of devices, format, length, or order of presentation. For example, receivers may absorb emotion that is expressed in textual eWOM (e.g., anger; Fox et al. 2018) and perceive longer eWOM

messages as more accurate and informative (Risselada et al. 2018); at the same time, they may perceive longer eWOM messages as more complex and requiring additional cognitive resources (Ghose and Ipeirotis 2011).

Consumers' abilities to evaluate eWOM refer to their cognitive resources, skills, or "proficiency in interpreting information given prior knowledge" (Peters et al. 2013, p. 286). If consumers' abilities to evaluate eWOM are inadequate, the resulting processing of information will be superficial and/or partial (Mafael et al. 2016), leading to impoverished persuasion and attenuated bottom-line effects (Kuo and Nakhata 2019; Tang et al. 2014). Research also finds that as eWOM proliferates, consumers filter information on the basis of certain characteristics, as they are not able to evaluate all of it at once (De Langhe et al. 2016; Risselada et al. 2018). For example, sorting reviews by helpfulness may simplify consumers' evaluation. By contrast, consumers may adopt a more advanced cognitive elaboration of eWOM (Kozinets 2016; Simonson 2016); in particular, experienced eWOM receivers have learned to integrate disparate product claims and to infer unstated product attributes (Tang et al. 2014). Similarly, consumers often adopt a systematic processing strategy when eWOM is complex (e.g., it contains both positive and negative information; Tang et al. 2014).

eWOM evaluation from a marketer perspective. How can marketers leverage consumers' MOA to evaluate eWOM? Furthermore, how can they evaluate and moderate eWOM on their end to ensure the preservation of their brand image? Scholars have been debating whether marketers can truly influence consumers' *motivations* and *abilities* to evaluate eWOM. Research in this area is limited, but some studies show that providing monetary compensation to increase eWOM receivers' involvement results in differing eWOM evaluations and increased usage of central cues, such that the message is more heavily elaborated by the

consumers (Ahrens et al. 2013). Risselada et al. (2018) find that structured eWOM (e.g., highlighting pros and cons of a product or displaying a bulleted list) is easier to comprehend and ultimately evaluated as more helpful than unstructured eWOM. On some platforms, marketers use color to guide consumers and increase their ability to spot outdated content (e.g., older eWOM is brighter; Brandes et al. 2011).

Importantly, by shaping the technological affordances of the platforms, marketers provide consumers with *opportunities* to evaluate eWOM. For example, they may include information known to affect credibility assessments (e.g., status markers such as "top reviewer" badges, social cues such as helpfulness votes; Baek et al. 2012), determine the format of eWOM, and make managerial responses observable or not (Wang and Chaudhry 2018); these activities can substantively influence consumer perceptions and purchase intentions (Babić Rosario et al. 2016). In their study on online reviews, Xu et al. (2015) demonstrate that text, image, and video formats differ in perceived credibility and persuasiveness, ultimately resulting in differential intentions to purchase the product. Other marketer-afforded opportunities to evaluate eWOM include supporting eWOM creation by adding a spelling checker and specifying the length of a review (i.e., the maximum number of words); in supplying these features, marketers do not affect actual eWOM content but influence how consumers process the information.

In addition to leveraging consumers' MOA to shape their eWOM evaluation, marketers need to evaluate eWOM for themselves. We identify three key guidelines on the basis of extant research. First, marketers should track eWOM across different platforms because their inherent characteristics have implications for the nature and scope of the eWOM exchange. Research has shown that "listening in" on just one (type of) platform may lead to erroneous estimations of the scope and consequences of eWOM because platforms "are different and can show varied

patterns of [e]WOM" (Lovett et al. 2013, p. 442). However, "little attention has been given to [platform] differences, [which] is particularly troubling" (Schweidel and Moe 2014, p. 388). Second, when listening in, marketers should understand and use advanced methodological approaches developed to collect eWOM and information about its senders and receivers. One of the most acclaimed methods in this area is netnography (Kozinets 2002). In addition, it is important to account for the dynamic and endogenous nature<sup>4</sup> of eWOM. In comparing methodologies, several recent studies (e.g., Babić Rosario et al. 2016) have found that relying on simpler regression techniques instead of statistically more robust methods may lead to serious overestimations of eWOM effectiveness. Marketers should also be sensitive to the time necessary for eWOM to yield measurable marketplace effects. For example, Tirunillai and Tellis (2012) observe that eWOM might take from a few days to weeks to be fully reflected in business performance. Other methodologies, adapted for eWOM processing and analysis, include natural language processing, sentiment analysis, stylometric analysis, and advanced text classification (e.g., Lee and Bradlow 2011). Third, following their own evaluation of eWOM, marketers can (and should) engage in webcare (e.g., by directly responding to eWOM senders). Recent research suggests that such communication should be personalized (Schamari and Schaefers 2015), moderately frequent (Homburg et al. 2015), and observable to subsequent eWOM senders (Wang and Chaudhry 2018).

# Research gaps for eWOM evaluation.

We see much room for additional research on eWOM evaluation. There is a strong need to examine the robustness of consumer MOA in an increasingly complex digital environment.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The endogenous nature of eWOM signifies that eWOM is not only a driver of firm performance (e.g., sales measures), but it could also be its outcome (e.g., high-selling products attract more eWOM) (Chintagunta et al. 2010).

- 1. Explore the role of devices used to evaluate eWOM. A fruitful area for further research is the role of the device consumers use to access the platform and evaluate eWOM, such as desktop computers, touch-based devices (e.g., smartphones), or touchless devices (e.g., wearables, Microsoft Kinect) (Yadav and Pavlou 2014). We know that consumers tend to self-identify with mobile devices (Liu et al. 2013), and these devices can act as influential agents that deliver eWOM and meet consumers' expectations of staying connected, informed, and entertained (De Haan et al. 2018). These factors may, consequently, influence the way consumers evaluate eWOM. Academic research finds that certain devices differently affect the other two stages of the eWOM process (i.e., eWOM creation and exposure) (Mariani et al. 2019; Melumad et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2015). Some managerial studies find that consumers prefer to research products on personal computers over smartphones (Shannon-Missal 2013), suggesting that devices may differentially affect eWOM evaluation as well. However, to the best of our knowledge, marketing scholars have not fully explored this notion (notable exceptions include recent studies by Grewal and Stephen [2019] and März et al. [2017]).
- 2. Explore the consequences of facilitated eWOM creation on eWOM evaluation. As discussed previously, marketers aim to facilitate consumer engagement by making eWOM more searchable. For example, they create hashtags to allow consumers to more easily locate mentions of products. Such social tagging systems have become prevalent; "images are tagged and shared on Pinterest and Facebook, videos are tagged on YouTube, and Tweets are tagged (using hashtags) on Twitter" (Nam and Kannan 2014, p. 21). Yet, while hashtags can increase eWOM exposure, it is unknown how they affect evaluation and downstream consequences. Because eWOM senders can (ab)use hashtags, eWOM receivers plausibly find multiple perspectives but not a guaranteed, true sense of community (Arvidsson and Caliandro 2016). Consider the failure

of McDonald's #McDStories Twitter campaign, which resulted in an online firestorm (Pfeffer et al. 2014). While prior research offers a marketer perspective on the value of tagging systems (Nam and Kannan 2014), little is known about how consumers evaluate such eWOM and how it influences their engagement and choice.

- 3. Explore the role of other eWOM formats (e.g., visual). It is time to consider formats other than text and numerical ratings, as well as new, rapidly growing visual platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, Pinterest, and Snapchat. We echo recent calls for additional research on visual eWOM (e.g., King et al. 2014) and urge marketing researchers to develop robust methodologies for in-depth analysis of visual content and for parsing the manifested from latent content. While visual analysis of eWOM may be cumbersome, due to high context dependence and the vast amount of information to be analyzed, it may yield high rewards. Farace et al. (2017) recently described sharing visual content as a global phenomenon, and consumers and marketing practitioners are increasingly expressing interest in this format. For example, Xu et al. (2015) find that consumers perceive visual information as more credible, helpful, and persuasive than textual eWOM. However, methodological tools and issues, as well as broader implications of non-textual eWOM on the marketplace and consumer culture, have not yet been discussed.
- 4. Explore the impact of heuristic eWOM evaluation. As noted previously, consumers often rely on peripheral eWOM cues, such as review helpfulness votes (Ghose and Ipeirotis 2011). Knowledge has accumulated in the past decade on consumers' motivations (e.g., low involvement) for heuristic eWOM evaluation and reliance on others' judgment; however, little is known about its consequences. Limited research in this area shows that such online heuristics can reinforce consumer biases, ultimately hindering their decision making (Risselada et al. 2018). Future research could explore whether consumers are more satisfied with their product

choice when they rely on more helpful reviews. Do consumers believe they are making better, less risky decisions in such cases? In turn, does such reliance on eWOM helpfulness votes lead to greater product satisfaction and fewer product returns?

# Conclusion

In the past two decades, eWOM has remained a stable point of interest and inquiry. Perhaps because of its unwaning popularity as a research topic fueled by continuous evolution of the phenomenon due to technological, social, and cultural developments, academic literature became fragmented in light of eWOM definitions and conceptual labels. Moreover, the multi-faceted nature of eWOM complicates the integration of findings, which affects future research. This article discusses these complexities through a "conceptual–empirical blend" (MacInnis 2011) that provides (1) an updated view of eWOM, (2) key research findings organized in a three-stage eWOM process, and (3) a research agenda made timely by the many expansions of eWOM in the fast-evolving digital environment. We organized prior work into a framework structured around consumer MOAs that we investigate along a three-stage non-linear eWOM process. For each stage, we describe key findings, identify relevant research gaps, and provide a roadmap for marketers to support consumers' MOA to (1) create, (2) be exposed to, and (3) evaluate eWOM.

In addressing the research gaps outlined herein, scholars should consider both the evolution of the eWOM phenomenon and eWOM research per se. *Technological* developments will continue to affect all three stages of the eWOM process, and eWOM formats will continue to change. For example, TechHive (2019) predicts that Bose's augmented reality audio sunglasses will present eWOM in audial form, possibly affecting eWOM creation, exposure, and evaluation. Artificial intelligence is already influencing the exposure and evaluation of eWOM, making it more automated and data-driven.

In addition to technological developments, from an *economic* perspective, eWOM is taking a central part in platform economics, from the news industry in which eWOM facilitates interactions between readers and journalists and guides further news consumption, to the sharing economy (e.g., HomeExchange) in which eWOM is at the heart of the business model (Dellaert 2019). In contexts in which eWOM has become big business, marketers are increasingly incentivized to influence, manage, and alter consumption-related communication among consumers. Thus, we wonder how much space there will be for organic eWOM. Will it change the heuristics that consumers use to seek out and evaluate eWOM? Will it lead them to view eWOM as persuasion attempts? In short, which new MOAs will emerge?

Finally, researchers should consider the impact of *regulations* on the eWOM phenomenon. Recent regulatory trends in business practices (e.g., the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation 2016/679<sup>5</sup>) mandate a revision of marketers' approaches to collecting and analyzing eWOM. This trend is also visible in research (e.g., INFORMS journals' data provenance and web-scraping restriction; Simchi-Levi 2019), thus affecting academic practice. Specifically, the ethics of tracking consumers' digital footprints represent a disruption to the collection and research of eWOM. In addition, consumers can now own and trade their own data in the data-driven economy (e.g., HAT—Hub of All Things; Ng and Wakenshaw 2017). Going forward, marketing scholars may be challenged for scraping the web for eWOM because of these privacy and ethics issues. The question of who owns online consumer data is quickly becoming a relevant one. In conclusion, eWOM is a dynamic phenomenon offering a rich well of research opportunities that is not likely to dry up soon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council of the EU. Retrieved from https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/3e485e15-11bd-11e6-ba9a-01aa75ed71a1/language-en.

### References

- Abrantes, J.L., Seabra, C., Lages, C.R., & Jayawardhena, C. (2013). Drivers of in-group and out-of-group electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM). *European Journal of Marketing*, 47(7), 1067–1088.
- Adjei, M.T., Noble, S.M., & Noble, C.H. (2010). The influence of C2C communications in online brand communities on customer purchase behavior. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *38*(5), 634–653.
- Ahrens, J., Coyle, J.R., & Strahilevitz, M.A. (2013). Electronic word of mouth: The effects of incentives on e-referrals by senders and receivers. *European Journal of Marketing*, 47(7), 1034–1051.
- Akpinar, E., & Berger, J. (2017). Valuable virality. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 54(2), 318–330.
- Aksoy, L., Bloom, P.N., Lurie, N.H., & Cooil, B. (2006). Should recommendation agents think like people? *Journal of Service Research*, 8(4), 297–315.
- Aldo. (2017, June 20). "ê ê ê ê ê " said YOU + Sale: Up to 50% off. E-mail newsletter.
- Algesheimer, R., Dholakia, U.M., & Herrmann, A. (2005). The social influence of brand community: Evidence from European car clubs. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 19–34.
- Anderson, E.T., & Simester, D.I. (2014). Reviews without a purchase: Low ratings, loyal customers, and deception. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *51*(3), 249–269.
- Anderson, M., & Magruder, J. (2012). Learning from the crowd: Regression discontinuity estimates of the effects of an online review database. *The Economic Journal*, 122(563), 957–989.
- Arvidsson, A., & Caliandro, A. (2016). Brand public. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(5), 727–748.
- Ashley, C., & Leonard, H.A. (2009). Betrayed by the buzz? Covert content and consumer-brand relationships. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 28(2), 212–220.
- Ashley, C., & Tuten, T. (2015). Creative strategies in social media marketing: An exploratory study of branded social content and consumer engagement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32(1), 15–27.
- Awad, N.F., & Ragowsky, A. (2008). Establishing trust in electronic commerce through online word of mouth: An examination across genders. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 24(4), 101–121.
- Babić Rosario, A., Sotgiu, F., De Valck, K., & Bijmolt, T.H.A. (2016). The effect of electronic word of mouth on sales: A meta-analytic review of platform, product, and metric factors. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 53(3), 297–318.
- Baek, H., Ahn, J., & Choi, Y. (2012). Helpfulness of online consumer reviews: Readers' objectives and review cues. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 17(2), 99–126.
- Bailey, A.A. (2005). Consumer awareness and use of product review websites. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 6(1), 68–81.
- Batra, R., & Keller, K.L. (2016). Integrating marketing communications: New findings, new lessons, and new ideas. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 122–145.
- Bean, J., Khorramian, A., & O'Donnell, K. (2018). Kinfolk magazine: Anchoring a taste regime. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 21(1), 82–92.
- Beckers, S.F., Van Doorn, J., & Verhoef, P.C. (2018). Good, better, engaged? The effect of company-initiated customer engagement behavior on shareholder value. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 46(3), 366-383.
- Belk, R.W. (2013). Extended self in a digital world. Journal of Consumer Research, 40(3), 477–500.
- Berger, J. (2014). Word of mouth and interpersonal communication: A review and directions for future research. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 24(4), 586–607.
- Berlo, D.K. (1960). *The process of communication: An introduction to theory and practice.* New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.

- Berthon, P., Pitt, L., & Campbell, C. (2008). Ad lib: When customers create the ad. *California Management Review*, 50(4), 6–30.
- Beyens, I., Frison, E., & Eggermont, S. (2016). "I don't want to miss a thing": Adolescents' fear of missing out and its relationship to adolescents' social needs, Facebook use, and Facebook related stress. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 64(November), 1–8.
- Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1998). Learning from the behavior of others: Conformity, fads, and informational cascades. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 12(3), 151–170.
- Brandes, L., Nolte, I., & Nolte, S. (2011). "Where do the Joneses go on vacation? Social distance and the influence of online reviews on product sales." Working paper, University of Lucerne.
- Breugelmans, E., Bijmolt, T.H.A., Zhang, J., Basso, L.J., Dorotic, M., Kopalle, P., Minnema, A., Mijnlieff, W.J., & Wünderlich, N.V. (2015). Advancing research on loyalty programs: A future research agenda. *Marketing Letters*, 26(2), 127–139.
- Bronner, F., & De Hoog, R. (2011). Vacationers and eWOM: Who posts, and why, where, and what? *Journal of Travel Research*, 50(1), 15–26.
- Brooks Jr., R.C. (1957). "Word-of-mouth" advertising in selling new products. *Journal of Marketing*, 22(2), 154–161.
- Brown, J.J., & Reingen, P.H. (1987). Social ties and word-of-mouth referral behavior. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 14(3), 350–362.
- Bruce, N.I., Foutz, N.Z., & Kolsarici, C. (2012). Dynamic effectiveness of advertising and word of mouth in sequential distribution of new products. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 49(4), 469–486
- Cadario, R. (2015). The impact of online word-of-mouth on television show viewership: An inverted u-shaped temporal dynamic. *Marketing Letters*, 26(4), 411–422.
- Capoccia, C. (2018). Online reviews are the best thing that ever happened to small businesses. *Forbes*. April 11. Retrieved February 2, 2019 from https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2018/04/11/online-reviews-are-the-best-thing-that-ever-happened-to-small-businesses/.
- Carr, C.T., & Hayes, R.A. (2014). The effect of disclosure of third-party influence on an opinion leader's credibility and electronic word of mouth in two-step flow. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 14(1), 38–50.
- Chatterjee, P. (2011). Drivers of new product recommending and referral behaviour on social network sites. *International Journal of Advertising*, 30(1), 77–101.
- Chen, Z., & Berger, J. (2016). How content acquisition method affects word of mouth. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43(1), 86–102.
- Chen, Y., Fay, S., & Wang, Q. (2011a). The role of marketing in social media: How online consumer reviews evolve. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 25(2), 85–94.
- Chen, Y., Wang, Q., & Xie, J. (2011b). Online social interactions: A natural experiment on word of mouth versus observational learning. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(2), 238–254.
- Chen, Y.-J., & Godes, D. (2012). Rating with confidence: Rating certainty and word-of-mouth behavior. In Z. Gürhan-Canli, C. Otnes, & R. (Juliet) Zhu (Eds.), *Advances in consumer research* (Vol. 40, pp. 228–231). Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research.
- Chen, Z., & Berger, J. (2013). When, why, and how controversy causes conversation. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 40(3), 580–593.
- Cheung, C.M.K., & Thadani, D.R. (2012). The impact of electronic word-of-mouth communication: A literature analysis and integrative model. *Decision Support Systems*, *54*(1), 461–470.
- Childers, T.L., & Rao, A.R. (1992). The influence of familial and peer-based reference groups on consumer decisions. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 19(2), 198–211.

- Chintagunta, P.K., Gopinath, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2010). The effects of online user reviews on movie box office performance: Accounting for sequential rollout and aggregation across local markets. *Marketing Science*, 29(5), 944–957.
- Choi, J., Bell, D.R., & Lodish, L.M. (2012). Traditional and IS-enabled customer acquisition on the Internet. *Management Science*, *58*(4), 754–769.
- Clemons, E.K., Gao, G.G., & Hitt, L.M. (2006). When online reviews meet hyperdifferentiation: A study of the craft beer industry. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 23(2), 149–171.
- Dambrin, C., & De Valck, K. (2007). Look who's talking! Technology-supported impression formation in virtual communities. In G. Fitzsimons & V. Morwitz (Eds.), *Advances in consumer research* (Vol. 34, pp. 450–453). Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research.
- Daugherty, T., Eastin, M.S., & Bright, L. (2008). Exploring consumer motivations for creating user-generated content. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 8(2), 1–24.
- De Haan, E., Kannan, P.K., Verhoef, P.C., & Wiesel, T. (2018). Device switching in online purchasing: Examining the strategic contingencies. *Journal of Marketing*, 82(5), 1–19.
- De Valck, K., Van Bruggen, G.H., & Wierenga, B. (2009). Virtual communities: A marketing perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 47(3), 185–203.
- Dellaert, B.G. (2019). The consumer production journey: Marketing to consumers as co-producers in the sharing economy. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(2), 238–254.
- Dellarocas, C. (2003). The digitization of word of mouth: Promise and challenges of online feedback mechanisms. *Management Science*, 49(10), 1407–1424.
- Dhar, V., & Chang, E.A. (2009). Does chatter matter? The impact of user-generated content on music sales. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 23(4), 300–307.
- Dholakia, U.M., Bagozzi, R.P., & Pearo, L.K. (2004). A social influence model of consumer participation in network- and small-group-based virtual communities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 21(3), 241–263.
- Dichter, E. (1966). How word-of-mouth advertising works. *Harvard Business Review*, 44(6), 147–166.
- Dillard, J.P., Shen, L., & Vail, R.G. (2007). Does perceived message effectiveness cause persuasion or vice versa? 17 consistent answers. *Human Communication Research*, 33(4), 467–488.
- Dinçer, M.Z., & Alrawadieh, Z. (2017). Negative word of mouse in the hotel industry: A content analysis of online reviews on luxury hotels in Jordan. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 26(8), 785–804.
- Dose, D.B., Walsh, G., Beatty, S.E., & Elsner, R. (2019). Unintended reward costs: The effectiveness of customer referral reward programs for innovative products and services. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(3), 438-459.
- Du Plessis, C., Stephen, A.T., Bart, Y., & Goncalves, D. (2014). When paying does (not) pay off: Incentivized consumer-generated product reviews and avoiding disclosure-induced backlash. Working paper, INSEAD.
- Eelen, J., Özturan, P., & Verlegh, P.W. (2017). The differential impact of brand loyalty on traditional and online word of mouth: The moderating roles of self-brand connection and the desire to help the brand. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(4), 872–891.
- Eisingerich, A.B., Chun, H.H., Liu, Y., Jia, H., & Bell, S.J. (2015). Why recommend a brand face-to-face but not on Facebook? How word-of-mouth on online social sites differs from traditional word-of-mouth. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 25(1), 120–28.
- Farace, S., Van Laer, T., De Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2017). Assessing the effect of narrative transportation, portrayed action, and photographic style on the likelihood to comment on posted selfies. *European Journal of Marketing*, *51*(11/12), 1961-1979.

- Federal Trade Commission. (2017, September 7). The FTC's endorsement guides: What people are asking. Retrieved March 3, 2019, from https://www.ftc.gov/tips-advice/business-center/guidance/ftcs-endorsement-guides-what-people-are-asking.
- Filieri, R. (2015). What makes online reviews helpful? A diagnosticity-adoption framework to explain informational and normative influences in e-WOM. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(6), 1261–1270.
- Floyd, K., Freling, F., Alhogail, S., Cho, H.Y., & Freling, T. (2014). How online product reviews affect retail sales: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Retailing*, 90(2), 217–232.
- Fong, J., & Burton, S. (2008). A cross-cultural comparison of electronic word-of-mouth and country-of-origin effects. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(3), 233–242.
- Forman, C., Ghose, A., & Wiesenfeld, B. (2008). Examining the relationship between reviews and sales: The role of reviewer identity disclosure in electronic markets. *Information Systems Research*, 19(3), 291–313.
- Fox, A. K., Deitz, G. D., Royne, M. B., & Fox, J. D. (2018). The face of contagion: Consumer response to service failure depiction in online reviews. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(1/2), 39–65.
- Garnefeld, I., Iseke, A., & Krebs, A. (2012). Explicit incentives in online communities: Boon or bane? *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 17(1), 11–38.
- Ghose, A., & Ipeirotis, P.Q. (2011). Estimating the helpfulness and economic impact of product reviews: Mining text and reviewer characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 23(10), 1498–1512.
- Ghose, A., Ipeirotis, P.G., & Li, B. (2012). Designing ranking systems for hotels on travel search engines by mining user-generated and crowdsourced content. *Marketing Science*, *31*(3), 493–520.
- Ghose, A., Ipeirotis, P.G., & Li, B. (2014). Examining the impact of ranking on consumer behavior and search engine revenue. *Management Science*, 60(7), 1632–1654.
- Godes, D., & Mayzlin, D. (2009). Firm-created word-of-mouth communication: Evidence from a field test. *Marketing Science*, 28(4), 721–739.
- Godes, D., & Silva, J.C. (2012). Sequential and temporal dynamics of online opinion. *Marketing Science*, 31(3), 448–473.
- Goldberg, J. (2018, October 3). What competitors are missing about Amazon's new 4-star retail concept. *Forbes*. Retrieved November 14, 2018, from https://www.forbes.com/sites/jasongoldberg/2018/10/03/what-competitors-are-missing-about-amazons-new-4-star-retail-concept/.
- Goldsmith, R.E., & Horowitz, D. (2006). Measuring motivations for online opinion seeking. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 6(2), 2–14.
- Gong, W., & Li, X. (2017). Engaging fans on microblog: the synthetic influence of parasocial interaction and source characteristics on celebrity endorsement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 34(7), 720–732.
- Gong, S., Zhang, J., Zhao, P., & Jiang, X. (2017). Tweeting as a marketing tool: A field experiment in the TV industry. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *54*(6), 833–850.
- Granovetter, M.S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380.
- Grewal, L., & Stephen, A. T. (2019). In mobile we trust: The effects of mobile versus nonmobile reviews on consumer purchase intentions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, https://doi.org/10.1177/0022243719834514.
- Grewal, R., Mehta, R., & Kardes, F.R. (2004). The timing of repeat purchases of consumer durable goods: The role of functional bases of consumer attitudes. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 41(1), 101–115.

- Gruen, T.W., Osmonbekov, T., & Czaplewski, A.J. (2006). eWOM: The impact of customer-to-customer online know-how exchange on customer value and loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(4), 449–456.
- Gruner, R.L., Homburg, C., & Lukas, B.A. (2014). Firm-hosted online brand communities and new product success. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 42(1), 29–48.
- Gupta, P., & Harris, J. (2010). How e-WOM recommendations influence product consideration and quality of choice: A motivation to process information perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(9–10), 1041–1049.
- Gursoy, D. (2019). A critical review of determinants of information search behavior and utilization of online reviews in decision making process. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 76, 53–60.
- Hamilton, R.W., & Price, L.L. (2019). Consumer journeys: Developing consumer-based strategy. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(2), 187–191.
- Hamilton, R.W., Schlosser, A., & Chen, Y.-J. (2017). Who's driving this conversation? Systematic biases in the content of online consumer discussions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 54(August), 540-555.
- Hansen, R., & Sia, S.K. (2015). Hummel's digital transformation toward omnichannel retailing: Key lessons learned. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, *14*(2), 51–66.
- Hanson, S., Jiang, L., & Dahl, D. (2019). Enhancing consumer engagement in an online brand community via user reputation signals: A multi-method analysis. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(2), 349–367.
- Hayes, J.L., King, K.W., & Ramirez Jr., A. (2016). Brands, friends, & viral advertising: A social exchange perspective on the ad referral processes. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 36(November), 31–45.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K.P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D.D. (2004). Electronic word of mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), 38–52.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Wiertz, C., & Feldhaus, F. (2015). Does Twitter matter? The impact of microblogging word of mouth on consumers' adoption of new movies. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(3), 375–394.
- Hildebrand, C. & Schlager, T. (2019). Focusing on others before you shop: Exposure to Facebook promotes conventional product configurations. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 47(2), 291–307.
- Ho-Dac, N.N., Carson, S.J., & Moore, W. (2013). The effects of positive and negative online customer reviews: Do brand strength and category maturity matter? *Journal of Marketing*, 77(6), 37–53.
- Hoffman, E., & Daugherty, T. (2013). Is a picture always worth a thousand words? Attention to structural elements of eWOM for consumer brands within social media. In S. Botti & A. Labroo (Eds.), *Advances in consumer research*, 41(1), 326–331. Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research.
- Hoffman, D.L., & Novak, T.P. (1996). Marketing in hypermedia computer-mediated environments: Conceptual foundations. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(3), 50–68.
- Homburg, C., Ehm, L., & Artz, M. (2015). Measuring and managing consumer sentiment in an online community environment. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 52(5), 629–641.
- Hong, H., Xu, D., Wang, G.A., & Fan, W. (2017). Understanding the determinants of online review helpfulness: A meta-analytic investigation. *Decision Support Systems*, 102(October), 1–11.
- Internet World Stats. (2017). Facebook World Stats and Penetration in the World. Retrieved January 24, 2019, from http://www.internetworldstats.com/facebook.htm.

- Jiang, Y., & Guo, H. (2015). Design of consumer review systems and product pricing. *Information Systems Research*, 26(4), 714–730.
- Jiménez, F.R., & Mendoza, N.A. (2013). Too popular to ignore: The influence of online reviews on purchase intentions of search and experience products. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(3), 226–235.
- Kannan, P.K., & Li, H. (A.) (2017). Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(1), 22–45.
- Karniouchina, E. V. (2011). Impact of star and movie buzz on motion picture distribution and box office revenue. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 28(1), 62–74.
- Katz, E. & Lazarsfeld, P.F. (1955). *Personal influence: The part played by people in the flow of mass communications*. Glencoe, IL: The Free Press.
- Keller, E., & Fay, B. (2012). Word-of-mouth advocacy: A new key to advertising effectiveness. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 52(4), 459–464.
- Kim, A.J., & Ko, E. (2012). Do social media marketing activities enhance customer equity? An empirical study of luxury fashion brand. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(10), 1480–1486.
- Kim, J., Naylor, G., Sivadas, E., & Sugumaran, V. (2016). The unrealized value of incentivized eWOM recommendations. *Marketing Letters*, 27(3), 411–421.
- Kim, Y., & Slotegraaf, R.J. (2015). Brand-embedded interaction: A dynamic and personalized interaction for co-creation. *Marketing Letters*, 27(1), 183–93.
- King, R.A., Racherla, P., & Bush, V.D. (2014). What we know and don't know about online word-of-mouth: A review and synthesis of the literature. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(3), 167–183.
- Kozinets, R.V. (1999). E-tribalized marketing? The strategic implications of virtual communities of consumption. *European Management Journal*, 17(3), 252-264.
- Kozinets, R.V. (2002). The field behind the screen: Using netnography for marketing research in online communities. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 39(1), 61–72.
- Kozinets, R.V. (2016). Amazonian forests and trees: Multiplicity and objectivity in studies of online consumer-generated ratings and reviews, a commentary on De Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(April), 834–839.
- Kozinets, R.V., De Valck, K., Wojnicki, A.C., & Wilner, S.J. (2010). Networked narratives: Understanding word-of-mouth marketing in online communities. *Journal of Marketing*, 74(2), 71–89.
- Kozinets, R.V., & Handelman, J.M. (2004). Adversaries of consumption: Consumer movements, activism, and ideology. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 31(3), 691–704.
- Kübler, R., Pauwels, K., Yildirim, G., & Fandrich, T. (2018). App popularity: Where in the world are consumers most sensitive to price and user ratings? *Journal of Marketing*, 82(5), 20–44.
- Kumar, V., Bhaskaran, V., Mirchandani, R., & Shah, M. (2013). Creating a measurable social media marketing strategy: Increasing the value and ROI of intangibles and tangibles for hokey pokey. *Marketing Science*, 32(2), 194–212.
- Kuo, H.-C., & Nakhata, C. (2019). The impact of electronic word-of-mouth on customer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 27(3), 331–348.
- Kupfer, A.-K., Pähler vor der Holte, N., Kübler, R.V., & Hennig-Thurau, T. (2018). The role of the partner brand's social media power in brand alliances. *Journal of Marketing*, 82(3), 25–44.
- Kushwaha, T., & Shankar, V. (2013). Are multichannel customers really more valuable? The moderating role of product category characteristics. *Journal of Marketing*, 77(4), 67–85.
- Kusumasondjaja, S., Shanka, T., & Marchegiani, C. (2012). Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 18(3), 185–195.

- Lamberton, C.P., & Stephen, A.T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing research's evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146-172.
- Langan, R., Besharat, A., & Varki, S. (2017). The effect of review valence and variance on product evaluations: An examination of intrinsic and extrinsic cues. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(2), 414–429.
- Langley, D.J., Hoeve, M.C., Ortt, J., Pals, N., & Van Der Vecht, B. (2014). Patterns of herding and their occurrence in an online setting. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(1), 16–25.
- Lappas, T., Sabnis, G., & Valkanas, G. (2016). The impact of fake reviews on online visibility: A vulnerability assessment of the hotel industry. *Information Systems Research*, 27(4), 940–961.
- Lee, J.Y., & Bell, D.R. (2013). Neighborhood social capital and social learning for experience attributes of products. *Marketing Science*, 32(6), 960–976.
- Lee, J., Park, D.-H., & Han, I. (2008). The effect of negative online consumer reviews on product attitude: An information processing view. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 7(3), 341–352.
- Lee, S.-H., & Workman, J.E. (2014). Gossip, self-monitoring and fashion leadership: Comparison of US and South Korean consumers. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *31*(6/7), 452–463.
- Lee, T.Y., & Bradlow, E.T. (2011). Automated marketing research using online customer reviews. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(5), 881–894.
- Lemon, K.N., & Verhoef, P.C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69–96.
- Li, Y.-M., Lin, L., & Chiu, S.-W. (2014). Enhancing targeted advertising with social context endorsement. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 19(1), 99–128.
- Libai, B., Bolton, R., Bügel, M.S., De Ruyter, K., Götz, O., Risselada, H., & Stephen, A.T. (2010). Customer-to-customer interactions: Broadening the scope of word of mouth research. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 267–282.
- Liousas, E.A. (2018). Ratings & reviews: What's old should be new again. *Forrester Research Blog*. March 16. Retrieved December 12, 2018 from https://go.forrester.com/blogs/whats-old-should-be-new-again/.
- Lipsman, A., Mudd, G., Rich, M., & Bruich, S. (2012). The power of "Like": How brands reach (and influence) fans through social-media marketing. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 52(1), 40–52.
- Litvin, S.W., Goldsmith, R.E., & Pan, B. (2008). Electronic word-of-mouth in hospitality and tourism management. *Tourism Management*, 29(3), 458–468.
- Liu, Y. (2006). Word of mouth for movies: Its dynamics and impact on box office revenue. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(3), 74–89.
- Liu, H., Lurie, N., & Ransbotham, S. (2013). The content and impact of mobile versus desktop reviews. In S. Botti & A. Labroo (Eds), *Advances in consumer research* (Vol. 41, p. 117). Duluth, MN: Association for Consumer Research.
- Lovett, M.J., Peres, R., & Shachar, R. (2013). On brands and word of mouth. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 50(4), 427–444.
- Lu, Y., Jerath, K., & Singh, P.V. (2013). The emergence of opinion leaders in a networked online community: A dyadic model with time dynamics and a heuristic for fast estimation. *Management Science*, 59(8), 1783–1799.
- Ludwig, S., De Ruyter, K., Friedman, M., Brüggen, E.C., Wetzels, M., & Pfann, G. (2013). More than words: The influence of affective content and linguistic style matches in online reviews on conversion rates. *Journal of Marketing*, 77(1), 87–103.
- MacInnis, D.J. (2011). A framework for conceptual contributions in marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(4), 136–154.

- MacInnis, D.J., Moorman, C., & Jaworski, B.J. (1991). Enhancing and measuring consumers' motivation, opportunity, and ability to process brand information from ads. *Journal of Marketing*, 55(4), 32–53.
- Mafael, A., Gottschalk, S.A., & Kreis, H. (2016). Examining biased assimilation of brand-related online reviews. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, *36*, 91–106.
- Marchand, A., Hennig-Thurau, T., & Wiertz, C. (2017). Not all digital word of mouth is created equal: Understanding the respective impact of consumer reviews and microblogs on new product success. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(2), 336–354.
- Mariani, M.M., Borghi, M., & Gretzel, U. (2019). Online reviews: Differences by submission device. *Tourism Management*, 70(February), 295–298.
- Marketing Science Institute. (2018). 2018-2020 Research Priorities: Marketers' strategic imperatives. Retrieved January 13, 2019, from https://www.msi.org/articles/marketers-top-challenges-2018-2020-research-priorities.
- März, A., Schubach, S., & Schumann, J.H. (2017). "Why would I read a mobile review?" Device compatibility perceptions and effects on perceived helpfulness. *Psychology & Marketing*, 34(2), 119–137.
- Mathwick, C., Wiertz, C., & De Ruyter, K. (2008). Social capital production in a virtual P3 community. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *34*(6), 832–849.
- Mayzlin, D. (2006). Promotional chat on the Internet. *Marketing Science*, 25(2), 155–163.
- Mayzlin, D., Dover, Y., & Chevalier, J. (2014). Promotional reviews: An empirical investigation of online review manipulation. *American Economic Review*, 104(8), 2421–2455.
- McAlexander, J.H., Schouten, J.W., & Koenig, H.F. (2002). Building brand community. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(1), 38–54.
- McQuarrie, E. F., Miller, J., & Phillips, B. J. (2013). The megaphone effect: Taste and audience in fashion blogging. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 40(1), 136–158.
- McGraw, A.P., Warren, C., & Kan, C. (2015). Humorous complaining. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 41(5), 1153–1171.
- Melumad, S., Inman, J.J., & Pham, M.T. (2019). Selectively emotional: How smartphone use changes user-generated content. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *56*(2), 259–275.
- Miller, C.M., McIntyre, S.H., & Mantrala, M.K. (1993). Toward formalizing fashion theory. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30(2), 142–157.
- Minnema, A., Bijmolt, T.H.A., Gensler, S., & Wiesel, T. (2016). To keep or not to keep: Effects of online customer reviews on product returns. *Journal of Retailing*, 92(3), 253-267.
- Mix.com. (2019). Curate the best of the Internet. Retrieved May 21, 2019, from http://mix.com.
- Moe, W.W., & Schweidel, D.A. (2012). Online product opinions: Incidence, evaluation, and evolution. *Marketing Science*, 31(3), 372–386.
- Moe, W.W., & Trusov, M. (2011). The value of social dynamics in online product ratings forums. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(3), 444–456.
- Moran, G., Muzellec, L., & Nolan, E. (2014). Consumer moments of truth in the digital context: How "search" and "e-word of mouth" can fuel consumer decision making. *Journal of Advertising Research*, *54*(2), 200–204.
- Motyka, S., Grewal, D., Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., De Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2018). The emotional review–reward effect: How do reviews increase impulsivity? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 46(6), 1032–1051.
- Mudambi, S.M., & Schuff, D. (2010). What makes a helpful online review? A study of customer reviews on Amazon.com. *MIS Quarterly*, *34*(1), 185–200.
- Nam, H., & Kannan, P.K. (2014). The informational value of social tagging networks. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(4), 21–40.

- Naylor, R.W., Lamberton, C.P., & West, P.M. (2012). Beyond the "Like" button: The impact of mere virtual presence on brand evaluations and purchase intentions in social media settings. *Journal of Marketing*, 76(6), 105–120.
- Ng, I.C.L., & Wakenshaw, S.Y.L. (2017). The Internet-of-Things: Review and research directions. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *34*(1), 3–21.
- Okleshen, C., & Grossbart, S. (1998). Usenet groups, virtual community and consumer behaviors. In J.W. Alba & J.W. Hutchinson (Eds.), *Advances in consumer research* (Vol. 25, pp. 276–282). Provo, UT: Association for Consumer Research.
- Palmatier, R.W., Dant, R.P., Grewal, D., & Evans, K.R. (2006). Factors influencing the effectiveness of relationship marketing: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 136–153.
- Park, H.H., & Jeon, J. O. (2018). The impact of mixed eWOM sequence on brand attitude change: Cross-cultural differences. *International Marketing Review*, *35*(3), 390–411.
- Park, D.-H., Lee, J., & Han, I. (2007). The effect of on-line consumer reviews on consumer purchasing intention: The moderating role of involvement. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 11(4), 125–148.
- Pauwels, K., Aksehirli, Z., & Lackman, A. (2016). Like the ad or the brand? Marketing stimulates different electronic word-of-mouth content to drive online and offline performance. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(3), 639-655.
- Pauwels, K., Leeflang, P.S., Teerling, M.L., & Huizingh, K.E. (2011). Does online information drive offline revenues? Only for specific products and consumer segments! *Journal of Retailing*, 87(1), 1–17.
- Peters, K., Chen, Y., Kaplan, A.M., Ognibeni, B., & Pauwels, K. (2013). Social media metrics: A framework and guidelines for managing social media. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 281–298.
- Pfeffer, J., Zorbach, T., & Carley, K.M. (2014). Understanding online firestorms: Negative word-of-mouth dynamics in social media networks. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 20(1–2), 117–128.
- Pinch, T. & Kesler, F. (2011). How aunt Amy gets her free lunch: A study of the top-thousand customer reviewers at Amazon.com. In B. Czarniawska & O. Lofgren (Eds.), *Managing Overflow in Affluent Societies*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Piramuthu, S., Kapoor, G., Zhou, W., & Mauw, S. (2012). Input online review data and related bias in recommender systems. *Decision Support Systems*, *53*(3), 418–424.
- Poch, R., & Martin, B. (2015). Effects of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation on user-generated content. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 23(4), 305–317.
- Pühringer, S., & Taylor, A. (2008). A practitioner's report on blogs as a potential source of destination marketing intelligence. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 14(2), 177–187.
- Rapp, A., Beitelspacher, L.S., Grewal, D., & Hughes, D.E. (2013). Understanding social media effects across seller, retailer, and consumer interactions. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 41(5), 547–566.
- Relling, M., Schnittka, O., Sattler, H., & Johnen, M. (2016). Each can help or hurt: Negative and positive word of mouth in social network brand communities. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(1), 42–58.
- Risselada, H., De Vries, L., & Verstappen, M. (2018). The impact of social influence on the perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(3/4), 619–636.
- Rui, H., Liu, Y., & Whinston, A.B. (2013). Whose and what chatter matters? The impact of tweets on movie sales. *Decision Support Systems*, 55(4), 863–870.
- Rutz, O.J., & Bucklin, R.E. (2011). From generic to branded: A model of spillover in paid search advertising. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(1), 87–102.

- Salwen, M. & Sacks, D.W. (2008). An integrated approach to communication theory and research. Mahwah, NJ: Routledge.
- Schamari, J., & Schaefers, T. (2015). Leaving the home turf: How brands can use webcare on consumer-generated platforms to increase positive consumer engagement. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 30, 20–33.
- Schau, H.J., Muñiz, A.M., & Arnould, E.J. (2009). How brand community practices create value. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(5), 30–51.
- Schlosser, A.E. (2005). Posting versus lurking: Communicating in a multiple audience context. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(2), 260–265.
- Schmidt, J.B., & Spreng, R.A. (1996). A proposed model of external consumer information search. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 24(3), 246–256.
- Schuckert, M., Liu, X., & Law, R. (2015). Hospitality and tourism online reviews: Recent trends and future directions. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 32(5), 608–621.
- Schulze, C., Schöler, L., & Skiera, B. (2014). Not all fun and games: Viral marketing for utilitarian products. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(1), 1–19.
- Schweidel, D.A., & Moe, W.W. (2014). Listening in on social media: A joint model of sentiment and venue format choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *51*(4), 387–402.
- Shannon-Missal, L. (2013, January 3). Different priorities in smartphone vs. computer use, but some common ground. CISION PR Newswire, Retrieved April 27, 2019, from https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/different-priorities-in-smartphone-vs-computer-use-but-some-common-ground-185534492.html.
- Sibai, O., De Valck, K., Farrell, A.M., & Rudd, J.M. (2015). Social control in online communities of consumption: A framework for community management. *Psychology & Marketing*, *32*(3), 250–264.
- Simchi-Levi, D. (2019, January 18). From the Editor. Retrieved February 16, 2019, from https://www.informs.org/Blogs/ManSci-Blogs/From-the-Editor/From-the-Editor-January-2019.
- Simonson, I. (2016). Imperfect progress: An objective quality assessment of the role of user reviews in consumer decision making, a commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 42(6), 840–845.
- Smith, A.N., Fischer, E., & Yongjian, C. (2012). How does brand-related user-generated content differ across YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(2), 102–113.
- Steffes, E.M., & Burgee, L.E. (2009). Social ties and online word of mouth. *Internet Research*, 19(1), 42–59.
- Stephen, A.T., & Lehmann, D.R. (2016). How word-of-mouth transmission encouragement affects consumers' transmission decisions, receiver selection, and diffusion speed. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 33(4), 755–766.
- Sun, Y., Dong, X., & McIntyre, S. (2017). Motivation of user-generated content: Social connectedness moderates the effects of monetary rewards. *Marketing Science*, *36*(3), 329–337.
- Tang, T., Fang, E., & Wang, F. (2014). Is neutral really neutral? The effects of neutral user-generated content on product sales. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(4), 41–58.
- TechHive. (2019, February 1). Bose Frames review: Made in the shades. Retrieved March 15, 2019 from https://www.techhive.com/article/3337530/bose-frames-review.html.
- Tellis, G.J., MacInnis, D.J., Tirunillai, S., & Zhang, Y. (2019). What drives virality (sharing) of online digital content? The critical role of information, emotion, and brand prominence. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(4), 1–20.
- Thompson, D.V., & Malaviya, P. (2013). Consumer-generated ads: Does awareness of advertising co-creation help or hurt persuasion? *Journal of Marketing*, 77(3), 33–47.

- Thorson, K.S., & Rodgers, S. (2006). Relationships between blogs as eWOM and interactivity, perceived interactivity, and parasocial interaction. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, 6(2), 34–44.
- Tirunillai, S., & Tellis, G.J. (2012). Does chatter really matter? Dynamics of user-generated content and stock performance. *Marketing Science*, *31*(2), 198–215.
- Townsend, C., Neal, D.T., & Morgan, C. (2019). The impact of the mere presence of social media share icons on product interest and valuation. *Journal of Business Research*, 100(July), 245–254.
- Trusov, M., Bucklin, R.E., & Pauwels, K. (2009). Effects of word-of-mouth versus traditional marketing: Findings from an internet social networking site. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(5), 90–102.
- Von Wangenheim, F.V. (2005). Postswitching negative word of mouth. *Journal of Service Research*, 8(1), 67–78.
- Wang, X., Yu, C., & Wei, Y. (2012). Social media peer communication and impacts on purchase intentions: A consumer socialization framework. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(4), 198–208
- Wang, Y., & Chaudhry, A. (2018). When and how managers' responses to online reviews affect subsequent reviews. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 55(2), 163–177.
- Warwick, C., Rimmer, J., Blandford, A., Gow, J., & Buchanan, G. (2009). Cognitive economy and satisficing in information seeking: A longitudinal study of undergraduate information behavior. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 60(12), 2402–2415.
- Webster, J., & Watson, R.T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13–23.
- Wiertz, C., & De Ruyter, K. (2007). Beyond the call of duty: Why customers contribute to firm-hosted commercial online communities. *Organization Studies*, 28(3), 347–376.
- Worldpay. (2017). For each of the following circumstances, how important is it to read online reviews before purchasing a product or selecting a service provider? Retrieved January 10, 2019, from http://www-statista-com.du.idm.oclc.org/statistics/713258/online-review-importance-circumstances-usa/.
- Xu, P., Chen, L., & Santhanam, R. (2015). Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews? Presentation format and the role of product type. *Decision Support Systems*, 73(May), 85–96.
- Yadav, M.S., De Valck, K., Hennig-Thurau, T., Hoffman, D.L., & Spann, M. (2013). Social commerce: A contingency framework for assessing marketing potential. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 311–323.
- Yadav, M.S., & Pavlou, P.A. (2014). Marketing in computer-mediated environments: Research synthesis and new directions. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(1), 20–40.
- You, Y., Vadakkepatt, G.G., & Joshi, A.M. (2015). A meta-analysis of electronic word-of-mouth elasticity. *Journal of Marketing*, 79(2), 19–39.
- Zhao, Y., Yang, S., Narayan, V., & Zhao, Y. (2013). Modeling consumer learning from online product reviews. *Marketing Science*, 32(1), 153–169.
- Zhu, F., & Zhang, X. (2010). Impact of online consumer reviews on sales: The moderating role of product and consumer characteristics. *Journal of Marketing*, 74(2), 133–148.

**Table 1** What eWOM is and is not: Definition of eWOM and related phenomena

Original			Con	sumer		Is
Conceptual Label	Definition	Consumption	Sender	Receiver	Digital	eWOM?
	1. "eWOM communication [is] any positive or negative statement made by potential, actual, or former customers about a product or company, which is made available to a multitude of people and institutions via the Internet [and which] can take place in many ways (e.g., Web-based opinion platforms, discussion forums, boycott Web sites, news groups)" (Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004, p. 39).	X	X	X	X	Yes
	2. eWOM referral is an invitation to others to join the social network using easy-to-use tools such as "import your address book" (Trusov et al. 2009).				X	
eWOM	3. eWOM is "positive or negative information about [a] product obtain[ed] from fellow consumers (Forman et al. 2008, p. 291).	X	X	X		Yes
	4. "eWOM can be defined as all informal communications directed at consumers through Internet-based technology related to the usage or characteristics of particular goods and services, or their sellers" (Litvin et al. 2008, p. 461).	X	X	X	X	Yes
	5. "eWOM involves consumers' comments about products and services posted on the Internet; for example, the rating on a 10-point scale of a hotel and textual comments on the service and location" (Bronner and De Hoog 2011, p. 15).	X	X		X	Yes
	6. "Online WOM includes referrals through online message boards, blogs, and online communities" (Choi et al. 2012, p. 758).		X		X	
	1. "[O]nline reviews, now often called simply "word of mouse" are available for virtually every imaginable [product] category. While some of them are prepared by expert reviewers, increasingly reviews are prepared and posted by individuals who have been profoundly delighted, or truly appalled, by an individual product or service experience" (Clemons et al. 2006, p. 151).	X	X		X	Yes
Reviews	2. "Online consumer reviews (OCRs), which are the electronic version of word of mouth, are enabling consumers to share their experiences, opinions, and feedback regarding products, services, or brands for other consumers" (Filieri 2015, p. 1261).	X	X	X	X	Yes
	3. "Online customer reviews can be defined as peer-generated product evaluations posted on company or third-party websites" (Mudambi and Schuff 2010, p. 186).	X	X	X	X	Yes
	1. UGC refers to "the conjunction of blogs and social networking sites" (Dhar and Chang 2009, p. 300).				X	
UGC	2. UGC "refers to media content created or produced by the general public rather than by paid professionals and primarily distributed on the Internet" (Daugherty et al. 2008, p. 16).		X		X	
Consumer-	1. Consumer-generated ads are "any publicly disseminated, consumer-generated advertising messages whose subject is a collectively recognized brand" (Berthon et al. 2008, p.8).	X	X			
generated ads	2. "Consumer-generated advertising is defined to include any user-generated brand-related content, in the form of online brand testimonials, product reviews, and user-generated commercials" (Salwen and Sacks 2008, p. 199).	X	X		X	Yes
	1. Buzz "involves informal communication among consumers about products and services" (Liu 2006, p. 74).	X	X	X		
	2. Social voice is "online brand mentions and conversations among consumers" (Keller and Fay 2012, p. 462).		X	X	X	
Other	3. Consumer-to-consumer know-how exchange is "the interactions among individuals that serve as an information source that enhances competency and knowledge" (Gruen et al. 2006, p. 451).		X	X		
Guiei	4. Travel blogs are "individual entries which relate to planned, current or past travel [and are] commonly written by tourists to report back to friends and families about activities and experiences during trips" (Pühringer and Taylor 2008, p. 179).	X	X	X	X	Yes
	5. Virtual communities of consumption are "affiliative groups whose online interactions are based upon shared enthusiasm for, and knowledge of, a specific consumption activity or related group of activities" (Kozinets 1999, p. 254).	X		X	X	Yes
Revised definition	eWOM is consumer-generated, consumption-related communication that employs digital tools and is directed primarily to other consumers.	X	X	X	X	Yes

Note: Hennig-Thurau et al.'s (2004) definition (in italics) is the one most commonly used in extant eWOM research. The revised definition of eWOM proposed in this article is in bold.

 Table 2 Stage 1: eWOM creation

		Why and how do consumers create eWOM?	How can marketers facilitate eWOM creation? (Examples from practice)
=		Altruism, e.g., helping others (Dubois et al. 2016; Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004)	Amazon.com, Bed Bath & Beyond and Sephora appeal to altruism by inviting verified purchasers via email to answer other consumers' product questions (e.g., "A customer just posted the following question about [product] that you bought. Would you be able to help out with an answer? Thank you so much in advance for sharing your firsthand knowledge and helping a fellow customer!").
		Social value from community interaction (Kozinets 1999; Peters et al. 2013)	Develop and maintain brand communities for listening, product co-development, and problem-solving.
		Hedonic benefits, e.g., enjoyment and gratification (McGraw et al. 2015; Motyka et al. 2018)	Consumers engage in humorous complaining (e.g., amusing Amazon.com reviews for Sugarless Haribo Gummy Bears titled "Gastrointestinal Armageddon" or reviews on the existential hangover produced by a cable's speedy music data transfer, Kozinets 2016).
	Motivation	Impression management and identity formation (Belk 2013; Berger 2014; Hollenbeck and Kaikati 2012)	Sephora's Speak Your Truth messaging encourages eWOM creation; the Paul Smith pink wall in Los Angeles allows idealized content creation; Arby's instantaneous reaction to Pharrell Williams's hat (which resembles Arby's logo) at the 2014 Grammy Awards exemplifies real-time marketing initiatives to engage in conversation; status markers (badges, helpfulness scores) invite participation.
Insights		Balance restoration, venting, retribution (Anderson and Simester 2014; Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004)	The electronics brand Anker delivers a two-sided leaflet with its product: if "Happy", consumers are encouraged to create a positive review; if "Not Happy," consumers are referred to customer service (and demotivated from creating negative eWOM). However, Amazon.com sellers are discouraged from diverting buyers' dissatisfaction from public to private channels or requesting that the consumer alters an unfavorable review following webcare interventions.
Key Research Insights		Monetary and non-monetary incentives, e.g., rewards (Ahrens et al. 2013; Godes and Mayzlin 2009)	85% of the "top 1,000" reviewers on Amazon.com are incentivized, such as with free books from publishers (Pinch and Kesler 2011). Jane Iredale offers registered members loyalty points for reviews on the brand's website. Be mindful of disclosure policies (Federal Trade Commission 2017).
<b>X</b> -		Access to device, Internet, eWOM platform (Berger 2014; Mariani et al. 2019)	Provide free Wi-Fi (e.g., Disneyland offers multiple wireless hotspots throughout their parks). Encourage consumers to elaborate on eWOM when creating on mobile devices (Mariani et al. 2019) and design device-specific response strategies to negative eWOM.
	Opportunity	eWOM posting costs, e.g., time, connection availability (Gruen et al. 2006; Yadav et al. 2013)	Define posting costs (e.g., purchase required on Expedia.com but not on TripAdvisor.com); reduce hurdles for creating eWOM (e.g., White House Black Market uses email information from recent purchase orders to generate an online username, so the consumer can create eWOM immediately and easily); balance censorship (negative impact of few reviews/low volume) with immediate access to eWOM creation and avoid eWOM manipulation (GameSpot allows only one review per consumer).
	Ю	eWOM format, e.g., text, rating, image, rating scale, "Like" (Berger 2014; Jiang and Guo 2015; Riedl et al. 2013)	Recognize restrictions of 140-280 characters on Twitter; six-second videos on Vine; Like button, etc. Facebook changed the feature of its reviews and ratings from 5- to 10-point scale and most recently replaced it with a recommendation request feature (binary yes/no + qualitative feedback) in an attempt to counter fake eWOM, provide more context of poor firm performance, and encourage consumers to interact with local businesses.
-	Ability	Knowledge and expertise, e.g., product familiarity (Lovett et al. 2013)	Stimulate eWOM through marketing communication aimed at increasing brand awareness and familiarity. As complex products receive less eWOM than they do offline WOM, marketers could offer detailed product descriptions that reduce complexity (Lovett et al. 2013).
	A	Skills (Eisingerich et al. 2015; Gruen et al. 2006)	Offer guidelines on how to create useful and valuable eWOM to improve skill to review a product and the usability of eWOM (e.g., Sephora).
		Settle debates on incentivizing eWOM creation	Whom to incentivize (loyal consumers vs. others); how to incentivize them (with economic or non-economic benefits); whether to disclose the incentive to others. A promising avenue for future research lies in the overlap of eWOM and consumer loyalty program design, as marketers increasingly offer loyalty points to increase eWOM creation.
h Gaps		Explore eWOM senders' abilities	How effective are marketers' practices to structure eWOM, as well as educate and guide consumers in the eWOM process? How does this affect the creation of eWOM content and eWOM valence? In which case is eWOM more persuasive for the receiver?
Key Research Gaps		Explore eWOM and privacy issues for unsought products	If consumers hold more self-oriented motivations, will they be interested in sending eWOM about products that have less identity signaling value or threaten identity preservation? Will there be an unbalanced representation of the types of products referenced in eWOM?
Ķ		Explore the helpfulness of altruistic eWOM creation	How effective is altruistic eWOM and should marketers encourage it? For example, how helpful is eWOM that results from a firm's invitation to participate in Q&A about a purchased product?
		Investigate most valuable types of online communities	Better understanding of how community governance, affordances, and culture influence eWOM creation will help marketers more strategically target communities. For example, when is it more appropriate for marketers to support a brand public versus a brand community?

 Table 3 Stage 2: eWOM exposure

		Why and how do consumers	How can marketers facilitate eWOM exposure?
		search for and get exposed to eWOM?	(Examples from practice)
		Individual traits, e.g., high need for cognition (Gupta and Harris 2010), perceived expertise, market mavenism (Adjei et al. 2010)	Invite consumers to check other shoppers' opinions (e.g., the shoe retailer Aldo [2017] recently stated in its newsletter: "Don't just take our word for it, see what shoppers are saying about these summer styles").
	2	Reduction of uncertainty and perceived risk (Fong and Burton 2008; Hennig-Thurau et al. 2004; Moe and Trusov 2011).	Some platforms, such as StumbleUpon and Mix, attract consumers by showing them "interesting content selected by friends and like-minded people" (Mix.com 2019).  Marketers should be aware that eWOM seeking may be culturally determined (e.g., higher in China than U.S.; Fong and Burton 2008).
	Motivation	Reduction of cognitive dissonance in the post-purchase stage (Bailey 2005), problem solve (Mathwick et al. 2008)	Dell has pioneered with offering discussion forums on their website that give customers access to peer support in addition to employee-staffed helpdesks and after-sales services.
	N	Leisure (Goldmsith and Horrowitz 2006; McGraw et al. 2015)	Marketers may work with influencers who combine a large audience, authority, and trustworthiness in the product category with an entertaining, interesting, and inspirational posting style.
		Accidental exposure (Beyens et al. 2016; Chen and Berger 2016; Goldmsith and Horrowitz 2006)	Marketers may feature eWOM in their promotional materials, from newsletters to search engine advertising (e.g., star ratings displayed in Google ads). On social media platforms, consumers may see when others in their network are seeking recommendations (e.g., on Facebook).
Key Research Insights	Opportunity	Visibility (Anderson and Magruder 2012; Clemons et al. 2006; Hansen and Sia 2015; Lu et al. 2013)	Open platform vs. restricted; sort feature (e.g., by date, similarity–family status, consumption goals as done by Sephora, Travelocity, HolidayCheck); eWOM display (show only after a threshold has been reached, e.g., 4 ratings per restaurant or 10 reviews per eWOM sender); amount of eWOM displayed per page (e.g., 5 reviews per page on Travelocity, 10 per page on TripAdvisor, Ghose et al. 2012); censorship, rounding off numerical ratings to the nearest half-star (e.g., on Yelp, an average rating of 3.24 displays a 3-star average).  For example, in Hummel (sportswear brand) stores, customers can upload pictures of themselves or of products via the Instagram hashtag #hummelsport which is then displayed on a live screen in store and on Hummel's global website. This way, visual eWOM is showcased instantaneously across digital channels (Hansen and Sia 2015).
	Oppoonup O	Network characteristics (strength-of-weak- ties theory [Granovetter 1973], Dubois et al. 2016; network size, Peters et al. 2013, Stephen and Lehmann 2016; network centrality, Lu et al. 2013, Schulze et al. 2014)	By enhancing eWOM visibility, specifically of eWOM created by friends in the eWOM receiver's network, marketers can leverage network characteristics and increase contagion (e.g., "interesting content selected by friends and like-minded people," Mix.com 2019).
		Contagion characteristics, e.g., depth of influence, velocity, uniformity of direction/dominant opinion (Kumar et al. 2013; Langley et al. 2014)	Exploit the spreadability of marketer-generated communication efforts (e.g., buzz / guerilla marketing, hashtag hijakcing). Oreo's Dunking in the Dark tweet during the 2013 Superbowl power outage set a new standard for real-time marketing that leverages intense moments where consumers use relevant fodder to keep a conversation going. The Oreo tweet was retweeted 15,000 times and reached thousands of consumers.
	Ability	Individual characteristics, e.g., age, literacy, language and Internet proficiency, ability to join a social network and navigate eWOM formats (Zhu and Zhang 2010)	Educate consumers on searching through large amounts of eWOM (e.g., how to use the hashtag to retrieve tweets on a certain topic or how to sort online reviews on a retailer platform).
sdı		Investigate maximizing vs. satisficing eWOM search behaviors	What behaviors do consumers engage in to search for eWOM to reduce risk? What choices do they make in terms of searching eWOM on one versus multiple platforms? What is the role of eWOM in light of new risk-reducing options other than eWOM?
Key Research Gaps		Investigate curated and altered eWOM	What is the effectiveness of cross-channel or omni-channel marketers' curated displays of eWOM?
ey Rese		Investigate optimal platform design in social-risk contexts	How to reduce uncertainty with eWOM for luxury products in which high eWOM volume may signal accessibility and eWOM content may deteriorate brand image?
 X		Distinguish eWOM as a proxy vs. as a market influence	More insight is necessary into how consumers respond to eWOM that they have actually read, seen, or heard versus eWOM that was merely present on a platform but never seen.

 Table 4 Stage 3: eWOM evaluation

		Why and how do consumers evaluate eWOM?	How can marketers facilitate eWOM evaluation? (Examples from practice)
		Individual traits, e.g., high need for cognition (Gupta and Harris 2010), susceptibility to interpersonal influence (Naylor et al. 2012), need for uniqueness (Wang et al. 2012)	Facilitate or hinder majority influence, depending on consumers' susceptibility to influence. To facilitate it, consider reducing evaluation efforts and use others' opinions (e.g., review helpfulness score) as a decision heuristic.
		Cultural characteristics, e.g., uncertainty avoidance, individualism, power distance (Kübler et al. 2018), holistic vs. analytical thinking style (Park and Jeon 2018)  Involvement, e.g., low involvement increases	Because consumers are more sensitive to certain eWOM metrics (e.g., rating valence in countries with higher individualism and uncertainty avoidance; eWOM volume in countries with higher power distance), marketers should develop culturally specific eWOM listening and webcare tactics.  Recognize differences in consumers' involvement to evaluate eWOM, e.g., eWOM
	Motivation	consumers' reliance on peripheral cues (sender credibility and similarity, expertise, trustworthiness, helpfulness; King et al. 2014; Lee et al. 2008; Risselada et al. 2018)	processing is biased depending on receivers' attitude towards that brand (Mafael et al. 2016). Allow credibility assessment, e.g., by including drop-down menus for geographic, demographic, or other bases for similarity evaluations to the eWOM receiver (e.g., Beauty Match on Sephora.com, Families vs. Couples travelers on TripAdvisor.com, Verified Purchaser on Target.com). For more involved consumers, allow a search feature (e.g., 'search review' on TripAdvisor.com).
Key Research Insights		Message characteristics, e.g., credibility, completeness, simplicity, objectivity (Dillard et al. 2007; Tang et al. 2014)	Allow eWOM assessment by reporting details, e.g. date it was created; cater to consumers' differential information processing preferences, e.g. by offering simple, summarized eWOM (e.g., highlighted pros and cons, average rating) as well as expanded eWOM (e.g., in freestyle, textual format). For example, Target offers a multidimensional summary of eWOM that includes consumer images, average rating, number of ratings, % of consumers recommending the product and number of recommendations, date of review, and a series of category-relevant ratings (e.g., age appeal and length of play for Monopoly). Because eWOM receivers may experience emotional contagion (e.g., becoming angry after reading an angry textual review; Fox et al. 2018), marketers should consider public webcare versus redirecting the communication to private channels.
•	Opportunity	Devices, eWOM platform characteristics (Grewal and Stephen 2019; März et al. 2017; Melumad et al. 2019; Okazaki 2009; Xu et al. 2015; Wang and Chaudhry 2018)	Recognize consumers' preference to research products on personal computers over smartphones (Shannon-Missal 2013) and their differential effect on eWOM evaluations (e.g., consumers find eWOM created on mobile devices more effortful; Grewal and Stephen 2019). Marketers should recognize and manage platform characteristics such as the observability of their responses to eWOM (e.g., observable on TripAdvisor.com vs. not on Expedia.com) as these can buffer negative eWOM and influence subsequent eWOM (Wang and Chaudhry 2018).
	to	Format, length, order of eWOM, spell-checker (Ghose and Ipeirotis 2011; Park and Jeon 2018; Risselada et al. 2018)	Sorting features and structured display of eWOM simplifies eWOM evaluation, so marketers could provide structured eWOM to facilitate information processing, such as highlighting pros and cons (e.g., Amazon.com), providing bulleted lists (e.g., BestBuy.com), or helping consumers easily find more (vs. less) recent
	Ability	Cognitive capacity, e.g., confusion, information overload, fake eWOM (Anderson and Simester 2014; Gursoy 2019; Kuo and Nakhata 2019; Mafael et al. 2016; Risselada et al. 2018)	eWOM (e.g., Target.com). Also, consumers who consider eWOM metrics (e.g., review valence or variance) in isolation are prone to making incorrect inferences (Langan et al. 2017; Pavlou and Dimoka 2006), so marketers should display multiple metrics. Marketers should facilitate the identification of fake eWOM.
		Explore the role of devices used to evaluate eWOM	Does the device (e.g., mobile vs. personal computer) influence consumers' processing of eWOM? Do they pay attention to different eWOM elements or aspects (e.g., rating vs. review, like vs. post) on different devices?
rch Gaps		Explore the consequences of facilitated eWOM creation on eWOM evaluation	How do consumers evaluate eWOM through hashtags? How does hashtagged eWOM affect their engagement and choice?
Key Research Gaps		Explore the role of other eWOM formats (e.g., visual)	How do consumers evaluate visual eWOM versus other eWOM formats? What is the effect of manifest visual content (e.g., central picture of product) versus latent content (e.g., showing a product in the background of a YouTube video)?
		Explore the impact of heuristic eWOM evaluation	Do helpfulness scores of eWOM help consumers make better decisions? Are they more satisfied with their purchases decisions when evaluating more helpful (vs. less helpful) eWOM?

Fig. 1 Organizing framework

# Creation of eWOM [S] Exposure to eWOM [R] Evaluation of eWOM [R]

### CONSUMERS: MOA

### Why and how do consumers create eWOM?

### We Know:

Consumers create original and share existing content; are motivated to create eWOM by altruism, social value from interaction, hedonic benefits, impression management and identity formation (e.g., high-status products), balance restoration, venting, retribution, economic incentives; have opportunity to create based on instant internet access, low posting costs, variety of eWOM formats; able to create based on product knowledge, tech skill, proficiencies, competencies.

### We Need to Know:

How consumers' abilities impact their eWOM creation.

### Why and how do consumers get exposed to eWOM?

### We Know:

Consumers are motivated to actively seek exposure to eWOM to increase cognition, reduce prepurchase evaluation effort, reduce perceived risk (e.g., new or expensive products, unobservable attributes), reduce cognitive dissonance, as a leisure activity (e.g., humorous reviews); can also be accidentally exposed to eWOM (e.g., influencers, FOMO); exposure depends on opportunities such as Internet access, available time, platform characteristics, network effects; consumers are exposed to eWOM when able to search, navigate eWOM formats (age, language, Internet proficiency).

### We Need to Know:

How consumers search for eWOM to mitigate risk (maximizing vs. satisficing search); how product characteristics shape eWOM search behavior; how consumers respond to eWOM they've read vs. eWOM that was sent but not received.

### Why and how do consumers evaluate eWOM?

### We Know:

Consumers' motivations to evaluate eWOM (readiness, interest, desire, willingness to process eWOM) are influenced by receiver (susceptibility to interpersonal influence, need for uniqueness, gender, culture, involvement), sender (credibility, similarity), message characteristics (credibility, similarity, detail, objectivity, helpfulness, simplicity); opportunities determined by context (access device, eWOM format, length, order of presentation); ability to evaluate determined by cognitive resources, skills, Internet and eWOM proficiency, prior knowledge.

### We Need to Know:

How consumers use devices to evaluate eWOM; how consumers evaluate facilitated eWOM (e.g., (ab)used tagging systems), non-textual and non-numerical eWOM; explore consequences of more helpful reviews for both consumers and marketers.

### MARKETERS: LEVERAGING MOA

### How can marketers facilitate eWOM creation?

### We Know:

Marketers can motivate consumers with communication (e.g., nudges, Q&A), community building (e.g., product co-development), (non)monetary incentives; demotivate consumers from creating negative eWOM; provide opportunities for eWOM creation such as platform affordances, barriers to entry, community, prescribing eWOM format (e.g., text length, rating scale); educate consumers on eWOM creation to increase their ability.

### We Need to Know:

How incentives impact eWOM senders; how to motivate consumers to create eWOM for unsought products; how effective is marketer-encouraged altruistic eWOM creation; which types of online communities are most valuable.

### How can marketers facilitate eWOM exposure?

### We Know:

Marketers can motivate consumers to seek eWOM through traditional marketing mix and direct invitation; increase opportunities for exposure by making eWOM more visible and searchable (e.g., online indexing, display, owned media, search engine advertising, sort function, reduce signal-to-noise ration on own platform); restrict exposure to certain eWOM (e.g., minimum threshold, display per page); facilitate access educate consumers on eWOM search to increase their ability.

### We Need to Know:

How effective are marketers' curated and altered displays of eWOM (e.g., in newsletters and ads); how to reduce eWOM uncertainty while preserving brand where consumers perceive high social risk (e.g., optimal online review interface for luxury).

### How can marketers facilitate eWOM evaluation?

### We Know:

Marketers can motivate consumers to evaluate eWOM through incentives; increase opportunities by shaping platform's technological affordances (include status markers, social cues, spell checker), prescribing eWOM format (evaluation different for text, image, video), length; increase abilities by simplifying evaluation (e.g., structure eWOM, allow sorting by helpfulness). Marketers should use advanced methodologies to evaluate eWOM across platforms, respond and provide webcare.

### We Need to Know:

How can marketers facilitate eWOM processing through structure, highlights, lists, etc. How will this influence consumers' attitudes toward eWOM and their willingness to use eWOM in their decision-making.

Notes: [S] denotes eWOM sender; [R] denotes eWOM receiver.

# Web Appendix 1

# Publication outlets represented in this systematic review on eWOM

# A: Marketing & Consumer Research

# **Publication Outlet**

Academy of Marketing Studies Journal

Advances in Consumer Research

Consumer Tribes

Consumption, Markets and Culture

European Journal of Marketing

Industrial Marketing Management

International Journal of Advertising

International Journal of Marketing Research

International Journal of Research in Marketing

International Marketing Review

Irish Marketing Review

Journal of Advertising

Journal of Advertising Research

Journal of Applied Psychology

Journal of Brand Management

Journal of Consumer Behavior

Journal of Consumer Culture

Journal of Consumer Marketing

Journal of Consumer Policy

Journal of Consumer Psychology

Journal of Consumer Research

Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice

Journal of Interactive Advertising

Journal of Interactive Marketing

Journal of Macromarketing Journal of Marketing

Journal of Marketing Communications

Journal of Marketing Management Journal of Marketing Research

Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice

Journal of Product Innovation Management

Journal of Product and Brand Management

Journal of Public Policy and Marketing

Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing

Journal of Retailing

Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services

Journal of Service Research

Journal of Services Marketing

Journal of Strategic Marketing

Journal of Vacation Marketing

Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science

Marketing Letters

Marketing Science

Mercati e Competitività

Psychology and Marketing

Research in Consumer Behavior

The Marketing Review

# **B:** Economics & Management

# **Publication Outlet**

Academy of Management Journal

American Economic Review

California Management Review

Corporate Communications: An International Journal

European Management Journal

Harvard Business Review

Journal of Business Ethics

Journal of Business Research

MIS Quarterly

MIS Quarterly Executive

MIT Sloan

Management Science

Strategic Management Journal

# C: IS & Computer Science

### **Publication Outlet**

Computers in Human Behavior

**Decision Support Systems** 

Electronic Commerce Research

Electronic Commerce Research and Applications

Electronic Markets

**Expert Systems with Applications** 

IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering

Information Systems Research

International Journal of Electronic Commerce

International Journal of Information Management

Internet Research

Journal of Computer-Mediated Communications

Journal of Management Information Systems

# **D:** Tourism

### **Publication Outlet**

Annals of Tourism Research

Current Issues in Tourism

International Journal of Culture, Tourism, and Hospitality Res.

International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Man.

International Journal of Hospitality Management

International Journal of Tourism Research

Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management

Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research

Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology

Journal of Travel Research

Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing

Tourism Management

Tourism Management Perspectives

# Web Appendix 2

# Articles represented in this systematic review on eWOM

Authors	Year	Title	Publication outlet
Laughlin and MacDonald	2010	Identifying market mavens online by their social behaviors in community-generated media	Academy of Marketing Studies Journal
Ballantine and Martin	2005	Forming Parasocial Relationships in Online Communities	Advances in Consumer Research
Chakravarty, Liu, and Mazumdar	2009	Persuasive Influences of Online Word of Mouth and Professional Reviews	Advances in Consumer Research
Chatterjee	2001	Online Reviews: Do Consumers Use Them?	Advances in Consumer Research
Coker	2012	Seeking the Opinions of Others Online: Evidence of Evaluation Overshoot	Advances in Consumer Research
Dambrin and De Valck	2007	Look Who's Talking! Technology-Supported Impression Formation in Virtual Communities	Advances in Consumer Research
Domma, Morschett, Schramm-Klein, and Zentes	2012	The Effect of an Integrated Virtual Community on The Evaluation of an Online Store: Findings from an Internet Experiment	Advances in Consumer Research
Faraji-Rad and Dimitriu	2011	The Impact of Negative Online Reviews: When Does Reviewer Similarity Make a Difference?	Advances in Consumer Research
Granitz and Ward	1996	Virtual Community: A Sociocognitive Analysis	Advances in Consumer Research
Hoffman and Daugherty	2013	Is a Picture Always Worth a Thousand Words? Attention to Structural Elements of eWOM for Consumer Brands within Social Media	Advances in Consumer Research
Kim, Bickart, and Brunel	2011	Too Much Information? How Expertise Disclosures Affect the	Advances in Consumer
Kozinets	1997	Persuasiveness of Online Consumer Reviews "I Want to Believe": A Netnography of The X-Philes' Subculture of	Research Advances in Consumer
Kozinets	1998	Consumption On Netnography: Initial Reflections on Consumer Research	Research Advances in Consumer
Kozinets and Handelman	1998	Investigations of Cyberculture Ensouling Consumption: A Netnographic Exploration of The Meaning	Research Advances in Consumer
Li and Hung	2006	of Boycotting Behavior Netnographic Study of a Community of Beauty Product Enthusiasts in	Research Advances in Consumer
Liu, Lurie, and Ransbotham	2013	China: Consumer Reflexivity and Social Concerns  The Contest and Impact of Makila Versus Position Parising	Research Advances in Consumer
Liu, Lurie, and Ransbotham	2013	The Content and Impact of Mobile Versus Desktop Reviews  The Relationship between Online Brand Community Participation and	Research
Madupu and Krishnan	2008	Consciousness of Kind, Moral Responsibility, and Shared Rituals and Traditions	Advances in Consumer Research
Mochon and Schwartz	2014	The Individual Dynamics of Online Reviews	Advances in Consumer Research
Oberhofer, Füller, and Hofmann	2014	Tryvertising - What Makes Consumers Share Product Innovations with Others?	Advances in Consumer Research
Okleshen and Grossbart	1998	Usenet Groups, Virtual Community and Consumer Behaviors	Advances in Consumer Research
Park and Park	2008	The Multiple Source Effect of Online Consumer Reviews on Brand Evaluations: Test of the Risk Diversification Hypothesis	Advances in Consumer Research
Park, Yoon, and Lee	2009	The Effect of Gender and Product Categories on Consumer Online Information Search	Advances in Consumer Research
Schau and Muniz	2002	Brand Communities and Personal Identities: Negotiations in Cyberspace	Advances in Consumer Research
Schlosser	2005	Source Perceptions and the Persuasiveness of Internet Word-of-Mouth Communication	Advances in Consumer Research
Schneider and Kozinets	2011	Beyond Enemy Lines: Sociality in Consumer Activism	Advances in Consumer Research
Schwob	2010	For a Deeper Understanding of the Sociality that Emanates from Virtual Communities of Consumption	Advances in Consumer Research
Sen	2009	Gendered Differences in the Trust of e-Word-of-Mouth from Virtual Reviewers	Advances in Consumer Research
Sen	2012	Trust of the Virtual eWOM Reviewer and the Role of Gendered Self- Construal	Advances in Consumer Research
Siddiqui, Turley, and Rifai	2008	Cries from the Goblin Market: Consumer Narratives in the	Advances in Consumer Research
Sussan, Gould, and Weisfeld-Spolter	2006	Marketplace Location, Location: The Relative Roles of Virtual Location, Online Word-of-Mouth (eWOM) and Advertising in the New-Product Adoption Process	Advances in Consumer Research
Thompson and Ward	2008	The Evolution of New Product Rumors in Online Consumer Communities: Social Identity or Social Impact?	Advances in Consumer Research
Willemsen, Neijens, and Bronner	2001	The Effects of Expertise Claims and Expertise Warrants on Attitude	Advances in Consumer Research
Yin, Bond, and Zhang	2012	towards Online Product Reviews Are Bad Reviews Stronger than Good? Asymmetric Negativity Biases	Advances in Consumer
De Valck	2007	in the Formation of Online Consumer Trust  The war of the eTribes: online conflicts and communal consumption	Research Consumer Tribes
Pace, Fratocchi, and Cocciola	2007	Internationalization of a craft enterprise through a virtual tribe: 'Le	Consumer Tribes  Consumer Tribes
Deep Means with a 1000 H	2010	Nuvole' and the pipe-smoker tribe	Consumption, Markets and
Bean, Khorramian, and O'Donnell	2018	Kinfolk magazine: Anchoring a taste regime	Culture

Sengisson, Christey, and Apoliugado.  2014 From Registon backs to blogs: exploring food-claind antidigan in the Continuous Charles and Zinkham  2010 Exploring the cultural countrictions of medical tourism.  2010 Exploring the cultural countrictions of medical tourism.  2011 Exploring the cultural countrictions of medical tourism.  2012 Exploring the cultural countrictions of medical tourism.  2013 Derivers of its group and one of group electronic world of month liquid programs.  2013 Derivers of its group and one of group electronic world of month liquid programs.  2013 Derivers of its group and one of group electronic world of month liquid programs.  2013 Derivers of its group and one of group electronic world of month liquid programs.  2015 Inspired of every perival on the feets of incentives on a enternal by enternal by eacher and receivers.  2015 Inspired of every perival on the feets of incentives on a enternal by eacher and receivers.  2016 Inspired for every perival on the feets of incentives on a enternal by eacher and receivers.  2017 Agreement, consumer reactions to accide around murdering.  2018 Organization of the exploring consumer reactions to accide around murdering.  2019 Consumeration differences in every derivation of the exploring consumer reactions on accide around murdering.  2010 Consumeration differences in every Month influence.  2011 Consumeration differences in every Month influence.  2012 Consumeration differences in every Month influence.  2013 Influence of consumer's defense of companies reactions for the internal perivalent.  2014 The fact of consumer's defense of companies reactions for the internal perivalent.  2015 Annual Levy De Ruyer, and  2016 Maniery out of marries transportations, portugues and a district of consumer's defense of companies reactions.  2017 The strength of not internal related with off World Influence.  2018 The strength of not internal related with off World Influence.  2019 The strength of not internal related with off World Influence.  2019 The strength o		1	Prisoners in Paradise: Subcultural Resistance to the Marketization of	Consumption, Markets and
Holluberke and Zinkham 2010 Extrained grocess. The case of Walk-Mart Perfetto and Dholakia 2010 Extrained grocess. The case of Walk-Mart Abrantes, Seabwa, Lages, and 2011 Extrained grocess. The case of Walk-Mart Abrantes, Seabwa, Lages, and 2013 Extrained communities of group electronic word of month Abrantes, Seabwa, Lages, and 2013 Extrained of marketing of the control of group electronic word of month Abrantes, Seabwa, Lages, and 2013 Extrained of the control of group electronic word of month Abrantes, Seabwa, Lages, and 2013 Extrained word of month: The effects of incentives on e-referrals by 2013 Extrained of the control of the control behavior on 2013 Extrained of the control of the control behavior on 2014 Extrained of the control of the c	Bengtsson, Ostberg, and Kjeldgaard	2005	Tattooing	Culture
sentimented and clinician    Supplementation   S	Holak	2014	Russian diaspora	Culture
Perfect on Unotation   2010   Supporting the collimitary contracticution of medical fourners   Cultimore   Cultimo	Hollenbeck and Zinkhan	2010		Culture
Jayawanthema 2013   GewOM   Electronia word of mouth. The effects of incentives on e-referable policy enders and receivers   Competition of Marching enders and receivers   Competition of Marching Competition of	Perfetto and Dholakia	2010	Exploring the cultural contradictions of medical tourism	1 1
senders and receivors  Figures, Rechert, and Myseyres  2013  Segmenting consumer reserviors to social network marketing  Empress and Sanda (Sanda (Sa		2013		European Journal of Marketing
Campelell, Fernror, and Sands   2014   Segmenting consumer reactions to social network marketing   European Journal of Marketing   Discoverage in Proceedings   Discoverage in Proceedings   Discoverage in Control of Marketing   Discoverage in Proceedings   Discoverage   Discoverage in Discoverage in Proceedings   Discoverage in Disc	Ahrens, Coyle, and Strahilevitz	2013		European Journal of Marketing
Cime and Huang   2013   An insestigation into online reviewes' behavior   European Journal of Marketing Christodoulides, Michaelidou, and 2014   2012   Cross-andoul differences in e-WOM infliences   European Journal of Marketing Christodoulides, Michaelidou, and 2012   Cross-andoul differences in e-WOM inflience   European Journal of Marketing Christodoulides, Michaelidou, and 2013   Trash talk rebuilful; community of convenience products; new forms of customer communities of the c			Impact of service pricing on referral behaviour	1 5
Sowball in avalanche: Understanding the different predictors of the function to propagate colline maketing inessages.   European Journal of Marketing Argyrion   2012   Cross national differences in e-WOM influence   European Journal of Marketing   Euro				1 5
Cours and France, 150, 150, and 80 and 15 an	Chen and Huang	2013	Ü	European Journal of Marketing
Aggroto 2012 Consentational conferences in e-VOM influence Collander and Wien 2013 and incommunities Consumers defense of companies criticized in confine communities Cova and Pace 2006 Furace, Van Laer, De Ruyter, and 2016 Furace, Van Laer, De Ruyter, and 2017 Fox, Deltz, Royne, and Fox 2018 Furace, Van Laer, De Ruyter, and 2017 Fox, Deltz, Royne, and Fox 2018 Furace, Van Laer, De Ruyter, and 2019 Furace, Van		2014	· ·	European Journal of Marketing
Coma and Pace 2006 Brand commanities and wend 2015 Against commanities and pace 2006 Brand commanity of convenience products: new forms of customer empowerment — the case "my Nutella The Community" and photographic style on the likelihood to comment on posted selfies by Devize (or narrative transposers to service faultied epiction in colline reviews.  See Suppose and Zhou 2017 Brand commanity of convenience products: new forms of customer empowerment — the case "my Nutella The Community" and photographic style on the likelihood to comment on posted selfies by Mortiner, Deckinson, and Walter 2012 Adding your orions were leaded to the convenience of the		2012		European Journal of Marketing
Farace, Van Leer, De Ruyter, and Wetzels Farace, Van Leer, De Ruyter, and Pool Special Part of the Michigologic of humanitation o	Colliander and Wien	2013		European Journal of Marketing
Proc. Deliz, Royne, and Fox   2018   The face of consignor consumer reviews   European Journal of Marketing   European Journ	Cova and Pace	2006		European Journal of Marketing
Huang, Cal, Tsang, and Zhou 2011 Making your online voice loud: The critical role of WOM information European Journal of Marketing Kerr, Mortimer, Dickinson, and Waller Aller 2012 Making your online voice loud: The critical role of WOM information Buy, boycott or blog: Exploring online consumer power to share, discuss and distribute controversial advertising messages  Koo 2015 The strength of not icrelationship ian online recommendation: Focused on interactional effects of valence, its strength, and type of service  Lopez and Sicilia  2013 Lopez and Sicilia  2014 Lopez and Sicilia  2015 Lopez and Sicilia  2016 Conspicuous political brand interactions on social network sites European Journal of Marketing Competitive communication strategies  European Journal of Marketing European Journa		2017	photographic style on the likelihood to comment on posted selfies	European Journal of Marketing
Haung, Cai, Tsang, and Zhou   2012   Making, your online voice loud: The critical role of WOM information   European Journal of Marketing	Fox, Deitz, Royne, and Fox	2018	in online reviews	European Journal of Marketing
kEnh and Vorg 2014 discuss and distribute controversial advertising messages European Journal of Marketing Virality over Vorflube: An empirical analysis European Journal of Marketing Competitive Communications Focused on intenentional effects of valence, its strength, and type of service  Lopez and Sicilia 2013 How WOM marketing contributes to new product adoption: Testing Competitive Communication strategies Communication communication communication communication communication communication communication consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth in advertising Product review	Huang, Cai, Tsang, and Zhou	2011		European Journal of Marketing
Roo   2015   Virality over YouTube: An empirical analysis   European Journal of Marketing   February   Febru	Kerr, Mortimer, Dickinson, and Waller	2012	Buy, boycott or blog: Exploring online consumer power to share,	European Journal of Marketing
Lopez and Sicilia   2013   How WOM marketing contributes to new product adoption: Testing competitive communication strategies   European Journal of Marketing competitive communication strategies   European Journal of Marketing Confliander   European Journal of Marketing Competitive communication strategies   European Journal of Marketing Competitive communication of the instantion of the instanti	Khan and Vong	2014	Virality over YouTube: An empirical analysis	European Journal of Marketing
Adverting Archant, Archer-Brown, and Colliander 2014 Conspicuous political brand interactions on social network sites European Journal of Marketing Moon, Park, and Kim 2014 The impact of text product reviews on sales European Journal of Marketing Prest, Saridakis, and Hartmans 2014 The impact of text product reviews on sales European Journal of Marketing Qiu and Leszeve 2016 Send-for-review decisions, brand equity, and pricing European Journal of Marketing Implications of online social activities for e-tailers' business European Journal of Marketing Prest, Saridakis, and Hartmans 2013 Implications of online social activities for e-tailers' business European Journal of Marketing Marketing Marketing Marketing European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Month Marketing European Journal of Marketing Pang, Kim, Amblee, and Jeong 2010 The Intercepencous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM volume eview texts and rating valences in mixed?  Chairejee 2011 The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales European Journal of Marketing Month Marketing European Journal of Marketing Month Marketing Parketing Parketin	Koo	2015	Focused on interactional effects of valence, tie strength, and type of	European Journal of Marketing
Colliander  Conspicuous pointical brand interactions on social network sites  European Journal of Marketing Presi, Saridakis, and Hartmans  2014  The impact of text product reviews on sales  European Journal of Marketing Presi, Saridakis, and Hartmans  2014  Qu. Wang, Wang, and Zhang  Risselada, De Vries, and Verstappen  2018  Rogers, Daunt, Morga, and Beynon  2017  Examining the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Examining the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Examining the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evaning the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evaning the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evaning the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evaning the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evaning the existence of double jeopardy and negative double  Evanopan Journal of Marketing  European Journal of Marketin	Lopez and Sicilia	2013		European Journal of Marketing
Presi, Saridakis, and Hartmans 2014 User-generated content behaviour of the dissatisfied service customer 2016 Send-for-review decisions, brand equity, and pricing European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Programance 2013 Implications of online social activities for e-tailers' business European Journal of Marketing Programance 2018 The impact of social influence on the perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews 2018 The impact of social influence on the perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews 2018 European Journal of Marketing 2019 European Journal of Advertising 2019 European Journal of 2019 European Journal of 2019 European Journal of 2019 European Journal of 2019 Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites 2019 Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in Social networ		2018	Conspicuous political brand interactions on social network sites	European Journal of Marketing
Qiu and Leszcyc         2016         Send-for-review decisions, brand equity, and pricing         European Journal of Marketing           Qu, Wang, Wang, and Zhang         2013         Implications of online social activities for e-tailers' business performance         European Journal of Marketing           Risselada, De Vries, and Verstappen         2018         The impact of social influence on the perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews         European Journal of Marketing between product review existence of double jeopardy and negative double jeopardy within Twitter         European Journal of Marketing Durnal of Marketing potential study           The impact of social influence of aggregated ratings on purchase decisions: an event-related potential study         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Price with a thousand words? The interplay between product-review texts and rating valence.         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and brand outcomes         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and brand outcomes         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and brand outcomes         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and brand outcomes         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and brand outcomes         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence and European Journal of Marketing         European Journal of Marketing European Journal of Marketing Influence of sense of the Quality of e-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-customer-to-custom	Moon, Park, and Kim	2014	The impact of text product reviews on sales	European Journal of Marketing
Implications of online social activities for e-tailers' business performance				
Risselada, De Vries, and Verstappen 2018 The impact of social influence on the perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews  Rogers, Daunt, Morga, and Beynon 2017 Examining the existence of double jeopardy and negative double jeopardy within Twitter  Shen and Luan 2018 Influence of aggregated ratings on purchase decisions: an event-related potential study  Tsang and Prendergast 2009 Is a "star" worth a thousand words? The interplay between product-review texts and rating valences  Wallace, Buil, and Chernatony 2017 Consumer's Self-congruence with a "Liked" brand Cognitive network influence and brand outcomes  Yang, Kim, Amblee, and Jeong 2010 The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales  Wom valence is mixed?  The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales  Furopean Journal of Marketing Industrial Marketing Purchase of the quality of e-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities  Chatterjee 2011 Dires of new product recommending and referral behaviour on social network sites  Chu and Kim 2011 Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites  Chu, Lien, and Cao 2018 Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in word in entworking sites  Chu, Lien, and Cao 2019 Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Lee and Youn 2009 Social influence of sense of belonging.  Lee and Youn 2009 Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Goulie Social Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Goulie Social Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Goulie Social Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Goulie Social Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Coo 2014 Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Coo 2014 Social influence of sense of belonging: Chu, Lien, and Coo	Qiu and Leszcyc	2016		European Journal of Marketing
Rogers, Daunt, Morga, and Beynon 2018   Examining the existence of double jeopardy and negative double jeopardy within Twitter   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy within Twitter   Influence of aggregated ratings on purchase decisions: an event-related periodical study   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy within Twitter   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy within Twitter   European Journal of Marketing potential study   Sa "star" worth a thousand words? The interplay between product-review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy within Twitter   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy in the product-review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing jeopardy jeopardy jeopardy jeopardy and negative double jeopardy and negative for participation jeopardy and negative for jeopardy and negative for jeopardy and negative for jeopardy and negative for jeopard judge of jeopardy and negative double jeopardy and negative for jeopard jeopardy within Twitter jeopardy within Twi	Qu, Wang, Wang, and Zhang	2013	performance	European Journal of Marketing
Spen and Luan   2018   Influence of aggregated ratings on purchase decisions: an event-related potential study   Sa "star" worth a thousand words? The interplay between product review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and rating valences   European Journal of Marketing review texts and consequence with a "Liked" brand Cognitive network influence and brand outcomes   European Journal of Marketing review texts and consequences of the quality of e-customer-to-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities   European Journal of Marketing representations of the quality of e-customer-to-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities   International Journal of Advertising representations of the quality of e-customer-to-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities   International Journal of Advertising representations of the quality of e-customer-to-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities   International Journal of Advertising representations of the quality of e-customer-to-customer	Risselada, De Vries, and Verstappen	2018	consumer reviews	European Journal of Marketing
Tsang and Prendergast  2009 Is a "star" worth a thousand words? The interplay between product review texts and rating valences  Consumers' self-congruence with a "Liked" brand Cognitive network influence and brand outcomes  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  European Journal of Marketing Industrial Marketing Industrial Marketing Management International of Advertising Industrial Marketing Management International Journal of Advertising International Journal of International Journal of Advertising (WOM) in social networking sites  Chu and Kim  2011 Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth in advertising research  Chu, Lien, and Cao  2012 The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in advertising research  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Electronic word-of-mouth deventures and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, Advertising  Eucopean Journal of Advertising  Determinants of politic persuasion international Journal of Advertising  European Journal of minute and word in mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement in price negotiation: the roles of culture production of international Jo	Rogers, Daunt, Morga, and Beynon	2017	jeopardy within Twitter	European Journal of Marketing
Wallace, Buil, and Chernatony  2017  Consumers' self-congruence with a "Liked" brand Cognitive network influence and brand outcomes  Yang, Kim, Amblee, and Jeong  Zhang, Ma, and Cartwright  2010  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of WOM valence is mixed?  The heterogeneous effect of WOM on product sales: why the effect of European Journal of Marketing MOM valence is mixed?  The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales  European Journal of Marketing dangering of e-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities  Chatterjee  2011  Chu and Kim  2011  Chu and Kim  2011  Chu and Kim  2011  Chu and Kim  2018  The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in advertising advertising research  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth in advertising  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth in advertising  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of product reviews on the internet: the drivers and outcomes of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014  Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Levy and Gvili  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, international Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, international Journal of Advertising  Drivers of the preceived usefulness of product reviews on the internet: one of culture, international Journal of Advertising  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, international Journal of Advertising  Drivers of the pre	Shen and Luan	2018	potential study	European Journal of Marketing
Yang, Kim, Amblee, and Jeong  Zhang, Ma, and Cartwright  Zhang, Ma, and Zhang, Malagament  Zhang, Ma, and Zhang, Malagament  Zhang, Malagament  Zhang, Ma, and Zhang, Malagament  Zhang, Ma	Tsang and Prendergast	2009	review texts and rating valences	European Journal of Marketing
Zhang, Ma, and Cartwright   2013   The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales   European Journal of Marketing	Wallace, Buil, and Chernatony	2017		European Journal of Marketing
Zhang, Ma, and Cartwright   2013   The Impact of Online User Reviews on Cameras Sales   European Journal of Marketing   Bruhn, Schnebelen, and Schäfer   2013   Antecedents and consequences of the quality of e-customer-to-customer interactions in B2B brand communities   Management   Industrial Marketing   Management   Management   Industrial Marketing   Management   Management   International Journal of   Advertising   Management   Management   Management   Management   Management   Management   Management   Management   Material Marketing   Management   Management   Material Marketing   Management   Management   Material Marketing   Management   Management   Management   Material Marketing	Yang, Kim, Amblee, and Jeong	2010		European Journal of Marketing
Bruhn, Schnebelen, and Schäfer  Chatterjee  2011  Chatterjee  2011  Drivers of new product recommending and referral behaviour on social International Journal of network sites  Chu and Kim  2011  Chu and Kim  2011  Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites  Chu and Kim  2018  Chu, Lien, and Cao  Chu, Lien, and Cao  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, ened for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Ekm, Cheong, and Kim  2014  Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2019  Drivers of new product interactions in B2B brand communities  Advertising  Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Electronic word of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Lee and Youn  2009  Diline shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Preaming out loud on Pinterest; New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of Int	Zhang, Ma, and Cartwright	2013		European Journal of Marketing
Chu and Kim  2011  Chu and Kim  2011  Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites  Chu and Kim  2018  The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in advertising esearch  Chu, Lien, and Cao  Chu, Lien, and Cao  Chu, Lien, and Cao  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015  Electronic word-of-mouth in advertising  Electronic word-of-mouth in International Journal of Advertising  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014  Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2009  Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Levy and Gvili  2019  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Phillips Miller and McQuarrie  2014  Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persussion  International Journal of Internatio	<u> </u>		Antecedents and consequences of the quality of e-customer-to-	Industrial Marketing
Chu and Kim  2011 Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites  Chu and Kim  2018 The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in advertising research  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015 User-generated product reviews on the internet: the drivers and outcomes of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014 Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2009 Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Levy and Gvili  2019 Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM Okazaki  2009 Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  2014 Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion International Journal of Advertising International Journal of International Journal of Advertising International Journal of International Journal of Advertising International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  Phillips Miller and McQuarries  2014 Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion International Journal of Advertising  Phillips Miller and McQuarries  2014 Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion International Journal of Internation	Chatterjee	2011	Drivers of new product recommending and referral behaviour on social	International Journal of
Chu and Kim  2018 The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in advertising research  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015 User-generated product reviews on the internet: the drivers and outcomes of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014 Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2009 Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Dolline shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Okazaki  2009 Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  Phillins Miller and McQuarries  2014 Dreaming out load on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  Phillins Miller and McQuarries  1014 Dreaming out load on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of	Chu and Kim	2011	Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth	International Journal of
Chu, Lien, and Cao  2019  Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer engagement on Chinese travellers' eWOM  Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015  User-generated product reviews on the internet: the drivers and outcomes of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014  Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2009  Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Levy and Gvili  2019  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Okazaki  2009  Presenting users: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  Phillins Miller and McQuarries  2014  Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of International Journal of	Chu and Kim	2018	The current state of knowledge on electronic word-of-mouth in	International Journal of
Kim, Cheong, and Kim  2015  User-generated product reviews on the internet: the drivers and outcomes of the perceived usefulness of product reviews  Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo  2014  Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter  Lee and Youn  2009  Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Levy and Gvili  2019  Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Okazaki  2009  Okazaki  2009  Phillins Miller and McQuarrie  2014  Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International Journal of International Journal of Advertising  Phillins Miller and McQuarrie  2014  Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of		2019	Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) on WeChat: examining the influence of sense of belonging, need for self-enhancement, and consumer	International Journal of
Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo     2014     Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand communications on Twitter     International Journal of Advertising       Lee and Youn     2009     Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement     International Journal of Advertising       Levy and Gvili     2019     Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM     International Journal of Advertising       Okazaki     2009     Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet     International Journal of Advertising       Phillips Miller and McQuarrie     2014     Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion     International Journal of International Journ	Kim, Cheong, and Kim	2015	User-generated product reviews on the internet: the drivers and	
Lee and Youn  2009 Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence consumer product judgement  Levy and Gvili  2019 Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Okazaki  2009 Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  Phillips Miller and McQuarrie  2014 Dreaming out load on Pinterset: New forms of indirect persuasion  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of International J	Kwon, Kim, Sung, and Yoo	2014	Brand followers: Consumer motivation and attitude towards brand	International Journal of
Levy and Gvili  2019 Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture, involvement and eWOM  Okazaki  2009 Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus mobile internet  Phillips Miller and McQuarrie  2014 Dreaming out load on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuacion  International Journal of Advertising  International Journal of	Lee and Youn	2009	Electronic word of mouth (eWOM): How eWOM platforms influence	International Journal of
Okazaki 2009 Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus International Journal of Advertising  Phillips Miller and McQuarrie 2014 Dreaming out load on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion International Journal of	Levy and Gvili	2019	Online shopper engagement in price negotiation: the roles of culture,	International Journal of
Phillips Miller and McQuarrie 2014 Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion International Journal of	Okazaki	2009	Social influence model and electronic word of mouth: PC versus	International Journal of
Advertising	Phillips, Miller, and McQuarrie	2014	Dreaming out loud on Pinterest: New forms of indirect persuasion	International Journal of

B 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2010		International Journal of
Prendergast, Ko, and Yuen Steyn, Ewing, Heerden, Pitt, and	2010	Online word of mouth and consumer purchase intentions  From whence it came understanding source effects in consumer-	Advertising International Journal of
Windisch	2011	generated advertising	Advertising
Strutton, Taylor, and Thompson	2011	Investigating generational differences in e-WOM behaviours: For advertising purposes, does X = Y?	International Journal of Advertising
Breazeale	2009	Word of Mouse - An Assessment of Electronic Word-of-Mouth Research	International Journal of Marketing Research
Decker and Trusov	2010	Estimating aggregate consumer preferences from online product reviews	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Dhar and Weinberg	2016	Measurement of interactions in non-linear marketing models: The effect of critics' ratings and consumer sentiment on movie demand	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Dholakia, Bagozzi, and Pearo	2004	A social influence model of consumer participation in network- and small-group-based virtual communities	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Eelen, Özturan, and Verlegh	2017	The differential impact of brand loyalty on traditional and online word of mouth: The moderating roles of self-brand connection and the desire to help the brand	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Heimbach and Hinz	2016	The impact of content sentiment and emotionality on content virality	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Hervas-Drane	2015	Recommended for you: The effect of word of mouth on sales concentration	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Hollenbeck and Kaikati	2012	Consumers' use of brands to reflect their actual and ideal selves on Facebook	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Hsu and Lawrence	2016	The role of social media and brand equity during a product recall crisis: A shareholder value perspective	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Kannan and Li	2017	Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Karniouchina	2011	Impact of star and movie buzz on motion picture distribution and box office revenue	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Kostyra, Reiner, Natter, and Klapper	2015	Decomposing the Effects of Online Customer Reviews on Brand, Price, and Product Attributes	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Kostyra, Reiner, Natter, and Klapper	2016	Decomposing the effects of online customer reviews on brand, price, and product attributes	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Kwortnik and Ross	2007	The role of positive emotions in experiential decisions	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Langan, Besharat, and Varki	2017	The effect of review valence and variance on product evaluations: An	International Journal of
Legoux, Larocque, Laporte, Belmati, and	2015	examination of intrinsic and extrinsic cues  The effect of critical reviews on exhibitors' decisions: Do reviews	Research in Marketing International Journal of
Boquet Marchand	2015	affect the survival of a movie on screen?  The power of an installed base to combat lifecycle decline: The case of	Research in Marketing International Journal of
Walenand	2013	video games  Not all digital word of mouth is created equal: Understanding the	Research in Marketing
Marchand, Hennig-Thurau, and Wiertz	2017	respective impact of consumer reviews and microblogs on new product success	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Moldovan, Goldenberg, and Chattopadhyay	2011	The different roles of product originality and usefulness in generating word-of-mouth	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Moon and Kamakura	2017	A picture is worth a thousand words: Translating product reviews into a product positioning map	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Mukhopadhyay and Chung	2016	Preference instability, consumption and online rating behavior	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Nejad, Amini, and Sherrell	2015	The profit impact of revenue heterogeneity and assortativity in the presence of negative word-of-mouth	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Onishi and Manchanda	2012	Marketing Activity, Blogging and Sales	International Journal of
Pauwels, Akeshirli, and Lackman	2016	Like the ad or the brand? Marketing stimulates different electronic	Research in Marketing International Journal of
		word-of-mouth content to drive online and offline performance	Research in Marketing International Journal of
Peluso, Bonezzi, Angelis, and Rucker	2016	Compensatory word of mouth: Advice as a device to restore control  Each can help or hurt: Negative and positive word of mouth in social	Research in Marketing International Journal of
Relling, Schnittka, Sattler, and Johnen	2016	network brand communities	Research in Marketing International Journal of
Saboo, Kumar, and Ramani	2015	Evaluating the impact of social media activities on human brand sales	Research in Marketing
Stephen and Lehmann	2016	How word-of-mouth transmission encouragement affects consumers' transmission decisions, receiver selection, and diffusion speed	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Van Lear and De Ruyter	2010	In stories we trust: How narrative apologies provide cover for competitive vulnerability after integrity-violating blog posts	International Journal of Research in Marketing
Keh, Ji, Wang, Sy-Changco, and Singh	2015	Online movie ratings: a cross-cultural, emerging Asian markets perspective	International Marketing Review
Park and Jeon	2018	The impact of mixed eWOM sequence on brand attitude change: cross- cultural differences	International Marketing Review
O'Brien	2011	The emergence of the social media empowered consumer	Irish Marketing Review
Campbell, Pitt, Parent, and Berthon	2011	Understanding consumer conversations around ads in a Web 2.0 world If You Blog, Will They Follow? Using Online Media to Set the	Journal of Advertising
Fernando, Suganthi, and Sivakumaran	2014	Agenda for Consumer Concerns on "Greenwashed" Environmental Claims	Journal of Advertising
Hung, Li, and Tse	2011	Interpersonal trust and platform credibility in a Chinese multibrand online community: Effects on Brand Variety Seeking and Time Spent	Journal of Advertising
Kareklas, Muehling, and Weber	2015	Reexamining Health Messages in the Digital Age: A Fresh Look at Source Credibility Effects	Journal of Advertising
Lawrence, Fournier, and Brunel	2013	When Companies Don't Make the Ad: A Multimethod Inquiry into the Differential Effectiveness of Consumer-Generated Advertising	Journal of Advertising

Minton, Lee, Orth, Kim, and Kahle	2012	Sustainable Marketing and Social Media: A Cross-Country Analysis of	Journal of Advertising
Muniz and Schau	2012	Motives for Sustainable Behaviors  Vigilante Marketing and Consumer-Created Communications	Journal of Advertising  Journal of Advertising
San Jose-Cabezudo and Camarero-	2012	Determinants of Opening-Forwarding E-mail Messages	Journal of Advertising
Izquierdo	2012	What Drives Advertising Success on Facebook? An Advertising-	Volume of Fid voluming
Brettel, Reich, Gavilanes, and Flatten	2015	Effectiveness Model Measuring the Effects on Sales Of "Likes" and Other Social-Network Stimuli	Journal of Advertising Research
Campbell, Cohen, and Ma	2014	Advertisements Just Aren't Advertisements Anymore: A New Typology for Evolving Forms of Online "Advertising"	Journal of Advertising Research
Campbell, Pitt, Parent, and Berthon	2011	Tracking Back-Talk in Consumer-Generated Advertising: An analysis of Two Interpretative approaches	Journal of Advertising Research
Christodoulides, Jevons, and Blackshaw	2011	The Voice of the Consumer Speaks Forcefully in Brand Identity: User- Generated Content Forces Smart Marketers to listen	Journal of Advertising Research
Christodoulides, Jevons, and Bonhomme	2012	Memo to Marketers: Quantitative Evidence for Change: How User- Generated Content Really Affects Brands	Journal of Advertising Research
Colliander and Dahlen	2011	Following the Fashionable Friend: The Power of Social Media Weighing Publicity Effectiveness of Blogs versus online Magazines	Journal of Advertising Research
Corstjens and Umblijs	2012	The Power of Evil the Damage of Negative Social Media Strongly outweigh Positive Contributions	Journal of Advertising Research
Craig, Greene, and Versaci	2015	E-Word of Mouth: Early Predictor of Audience Engagement How Pre- Release "E-WOM" Drives Box-Office Outcomes of Movies	Journal of Advertising Research
Dickinson-Delaporte and Kerr	2014	Agency-Generated Research of Consumer-Generated Content the Risks, Best Practices, and Ethics	Journal of Advertising Research
Fulgoni and Lipsman	2015	Digital Word of Mouth and Its Offline Amplification A Holistic Approach to Leveraging and Amplifying All Forms of WOM	Journal of Advertising Research
Graham and Havlena	2007	Finding the "Missing Link": Advertising's Impact on Word of Mouth, Web Searches, and Site Visits	Journal of Advertising Research
Harrison	2013	Digging Deeper Down into the Empirical Generalization of Brand Recall Adding Owned and Earned Media to Paid-Media Touchpoints	Journal of Advertising Research
Huang, Shen, Lin, and Chang	2007	Bloggers' Motivations and Behaviors: A Model	Journal of Advertising Research
Hung and Li	2007	The Influence of eWOM on Virtual Consumer Communities: Social Capital, Consumer Learning, and Behavioral Outcomes	Journal of Advertising Research
Hutton and Fosdick	2011	The Globalization of Social Media Consumer Relationships with Brands Evolve in the Digital Space	Journal of Advertising Research
Jayanti	2010	A Netnographic Exploration: Listening to Online Consumer Conversations	Journal of Advertising Research
Keller	2007	Unleashing the Power of Word of Mouth: Creating Brand Advocacy to Drive Growth	Journal of Advertising Research
Keller and Fay	2012	Word-of-Mouth Advocacy A New Key to Advertising Effectiveness	Journal of Advertising Research
Kozinets	2006	Click to Connect: Netnography and Tribal Advertising How Credible is E-Word of Mouth Across Digital-Marketing	Journal of Advertising Research
Levy and Gvili	2015	Channels? The Roles of Social Capital, Information Richness, and Interactivity	Journal of Advertising Research
Li	2011	The Interactive Web Toward a new Discipline	Journal of Advertising Research
Li and Zhan	2011	Online Persuasion: How the Written Word Drives WoM Evidence from Consumer-Generated Product Reviews	Journal of Advertising Research
Lipsman, Mudd, Rich, and Bruich	2012	The Power of "Like": How Brands Reach (and Influence) Fans Through Social-Media Marketing	Journal of Advertising Research
Moran, Muzellec, and Nolan	2014	Consumer Moments of Truth in the Digital Context: How "Search" and "E-Word of Mouth" Can Fuel Consumer Decision Making	Journal of Advertising Research
Nagy and Midha	2015	The Value of Earned Audiences: How Social Interactions Amplify TV Impact What Programmers and Advertisers Can Gain from Earned Social Impressions	Journal of Advertising Research
Nail	2007	Visibility versus Surprise: Which Drives the Greatest Discussion of Super Bowl Advertisements?	Journal of Advertising Research
Nelson-Field, Riebe, and Sharp	2012	What's Not to "Like?" Can a Facebook Fan Base Give a Brand the Advertising reach it Needs?	Journal of Advertising Research
Niederhoffer, Mooth, Wiesenfeld, and Gordon	2007	The Origin and Impact of CPG New-Product Buzz: Emerging Trends and Implications	Journal of Advertising Research
Okazaki	2009	The Tactical Use of Mobile Marketing: How Adolescents' Social Networking Can Best Shape Brand Extensions	Journal of Advertising Research
Phelps, Lewis, Mobilio, Perry, and Raman	2004	Viral Marketing or Electronic Word-of-Mouth Advertising: Examining Consumer Responses and Motivations to Pass Along Email	Journal of Advertising Research
Rappaport	2010	Listening Solutions: A Marketer's Guide to Software and Services	Journal of Advertising Research
Riegner	2007	Word of Mouth on the Web: The Impact of Web 2.0 on Consumer Purchase Decisions	Journal of Advertising Research
Shi and Wojnicki	2014	Money Talks to Online Opinion Leaders What Motivates Opinion Leaders to Make Social-Network Referrals?	Journal of Advertising Research
Siefert, Kothuri, Jacobs, Levine, Plummer, and Marci	2009	Winning the Super "Buzz" Bowl: How Biometrically-Based Emotional Engagement Correlates with Online Views and Comments for Super Bowl Advertisements	Journal of Advertising Research
Spotts, Purvis, and Patnaik	2014	How Digital Conversations Reinforce Super Bowl Advertising the Power of Earned Media Drives Television Engagement	Journal of Advertising Research
Thorbjornsen, Ketelaar, and Dahlen	2015	How Do Teaser Advertisements Boost Word of Mouth about New Products? For Consumers, the Future Is More Exciting Than the Present	Journal of Advertising Research
Tran and Strutton	2013	What Factors Affect Consumer Acceptance of In-Game Advertisements? Click "Like" to Manage Digital Content for Players	Journal of Advertising Research
Bagozzi, Bergami, Marzocchi, and Morandin	2012	Customer–Organization Relationships: Development and Test of a Theory of Extended Identities	Journal of Applied Psychology

Posed Clarks and C. 1	2014	The emergence and impact of consumer brand empowerment in online	I and a f Day of Day
Boyd, Clarke, and Spekman	2014	social networks: A proposed ontology	Journal of Brand Management
Cova and Paranque	2012	Value creation versus destruction: The relationship between consumers, marketers and financiers	Journal of Brand Management
De Vries and Carlson	2014	Examining the drivers and brand performance implications of customer engagement with brands in the social media environment	Journal of Brand Management
Dwyer	2012	Inferring brand proximities from user-generated content	Journal of Brand Management
Foster, West, and Francescucci	2011	Exploring social media user segmentation and online brand profiles	Journal of Brand Management
Kucuk	2010	Negative Double Jeopardy revisited: A longitudinal analysis	Journal of Brand Management
Lee, Lee, Taylor, and Lee	2011	Do online brand communities help build and maintain relationships with consumers? A network theory approach	Journal of Brand Management
Siano, Vollero, and Palazzo	2011	Exploring the role of online consumer empowerment in reputation building: Research questions and hypotheses	Journal of Brand Management
Wallace, Buil, and Chernatony	2012	Facebook 'friendship' and brand advocacy	Journal of Brand Management
Wang, Butt, and Wei	2011	My identity is my membership: A longitudinal explanation of online brand community members' behavioral characteristics	Journal of Brand Management
Ballantine and Stephenson	2011	Help me, I'm fat! Social support in online weight loss networks	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Black	2011	Sorry not today: Self and temporary consumption denial	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Catterall and Maclaran	2001	Researching consumers in virtual worlds: A cyberspace odyssey	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Chen, Kim, and Lin	2015	The effects of affective and cognitive elaborations from Facebook posts on consumer attitude formation	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Cosenza, Solomon, and Kwon	2015	Credibility in the blogosphere: A study of measurement and influence of wine blogs as an information source	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Harris and Dennis	2011	Engaging customers on Facebook: Challenges for e-retailers	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Harvey, Stewart, and Ewing	2011	Forward or delete: What drives peer-to-peer message propagation across social networks?	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Heinonen	2011	Consumer activity in social media: Managerial approaches to consumers' social media behavior	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Key, Boostrom, Adjei, and Campbell	2013	Watch out: Themes in timepiece communities of counterfeit consumption	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Liang and Scammon	2011	E-Word-of-Mouth on health social networking sites: An opportunity for tailored health communication	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Lyons and Henderson	2005	Opinion leadership in a computer-mediated environment	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Meissner, Heinzle, and Decker	2013	Not worth the extra cost? Diluting the differentiation ability of highly rated products by altering the meaning of rating scale levels	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Narvanen, Kartastenpaa, and Kuusela	2013	Online lifestyle consumption community dynamics: A practice-based analysis	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Pehlivan, Sarican, and Berthon	2011	Mining messages: Exploring consumer response to consumer- vs. firm-generated ads	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Racherla, Mandiwalla, and Connolly	2012	Factors affecting consumers' trust in online product reviews	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Schindler and Bickart	2012	Perceived helpfulness of online consumer reviews: The role of message content and style	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Thomas	2004	Building the buzz in the hive mind	Journal of Consumer Behavior
Ziegele and Weber	2015	Example, please! Comparing the effects of single customer reviews	Journal of Consumer Behavior
		and aggregate review scores on online shoppers' product evaluations	
Hardey	2010	Consuming Professions User-review websites and health services	Journal of Consumer Culture
Hewer and Brownlie	2013	Spaces of hope, enlivenment and entanglement: Explorations in the spatial logic of celebrity culinary brands	Journal of Consumer Culture
Pecoraro and Uusitalo	2014	Conflicting values of ethical consumption in diverse worlds – A cultural approach	Journal of Consumer Culture
Ahuja, Michels, Walker, and Weissbuch	2007	Teen perceptions of disclosure in buzz marketing	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Felix	2012	Brand communities for mainstream brands: the example of the Yamaha R1 brand community	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Flurry, Swimberghe, and Parker	2014	Examining brand communities among children and adolescents: an exploratory study	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Karakaya and Barnes	2010	Impact of online reviews of customer care experience on brand or company selection	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Moore	2011	Interactive media usage among millennial consumers	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Mosteller and Mathwick	2014	Reviewer online engagement: the role of rank, well-being, and market helping behavior	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Park and Cho	2012	Social network online communities: information sources for apparel shopping	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Patino, Pitta, and Quinones	2012	Social media's emerging importance in market research	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Thakur, Summey, and John	2013	A perceptual approach to understanding user-generated media behavior	Journal of Consumer Marketing
Malbon	2013	Taking Fake Online Consumer Reviews Seriously	Journal of Consumer Policy
Riefa	2008	"To Be or Not to Be an Auctioneer?" Some Thoughts on the Legal Nature of Online "eBay" Auctions and the Protection of Consumers	Journal of Consumer Policy
Berger	2014	Word of mouth and interpersonal communication: A review and directions for future research	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Buechel and Berger	2017	Microblogging and the Value of Undirected Communication	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Chen and Kirmani	2015	Posting strategically: The consumer as an online media planner	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Eisingerich, Chun, Liu, Jia, and Bell	2015	Why recommend a brand face-to-face but not on Facebook? How word-of-mouth on online social sites differs from traditional word-of-mouth	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Gershoff, Mukherjee, and Mukhopadhyay	2003	Consumer Acceptance of Online Agent Advice: Extremity and Positivity Effects	Journal of Consumer Psychology
	2012	*	Journal of Consumer
He and Bond	2013	Word-of-mouth and the forecasting of consumption enjoyment	Psychology

Huang, Burtch, Hong, and Polman		Effects of multiple psychological distances on construal and consumer	Journal of Consumer
	2016	evaluation: A field study of online reviews	Psychology
Mafael	2019	How Regulatory Orientation and Feelings of Gratitude Shape Online Review Helpfulness	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Packard and Wooten	2013	Compensatory knowledge signaling in consumer word-of-mouth	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Schlosser	2011	Can including pros and cons increase the helpfulness and persuasiveness of online reviews? The interactive effects of ratings and arguments	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Shoham, Moldovan, and Steinhart	2016	Positively useless: irrelevant negative information enhances positive impressions	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Zinkhan, Kwak, Morrison, and Peters	2003	Web-Based Chatting: Consumer Communication in Cyberspace	Journal of Consumer Psychology
Arsel and Bean	2013	Taste Regimes and Market-Mediated Practice	Journal of Consumer Research
Arvidsson and Caliandro Belk	2016	Brand Public Extended Self in a Digital World	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research
Berger and Iyengar	2013	Communication Channels and Word of Mouth: How the Medium Shapes the Message	Journal of Consumer Research
Chen	2017	Social Acceptance and Word of Mouth: How the Motive to Belong Leads to Divergent WOM with Strangers and Friends	Journal of Consumer Research
Chen and Berger	2016	How Content Acquisition Method Affects Word of Mouth	Journal of Consumer Research
Chen, Duan, and Zhou	2017	The Interplay Between Free Sampling and Word of Mouth in the Online Software Market	Journal of Consumer Research
De Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein	2015	Navigating by the Stars: Investigating the Actual and Perceived Validity of Online User Ratings	Journal of Consumer Research
De Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein	2016	Star Wars: Response to Simonson, Winer/ Fader, and Kozinets	Journal of Consumer Research
Dolbec and Fischer	2015	Refashioning a Field? Connected Consumers and Institutional Dynamics in Markets Why Is the Crowd Divided? Attribution for Dispersion in Online Word	Journal of Consumer Research
He and Bond	2015	of Mouth	Journal of Consumer Research
Humphreys and Wang	2018	Automated Text Analysis for Consumer Research	Journal of Consumer Research
Jayanti and Singh	2010	Pragmatic Learning Theory: An Inquiry-Action Framework for Distributed Consumer Learning in Online Communities	Journal of Consumer Research
Kozinets	2016	Amazonian Forests and Trees: Multiplicity and Objectivity in Studies of Online Consumer-Generated Ratings and Reviews, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein	Journal of Consumer Research
Kronrod and Danziger	2013	"Wii Will Rock You!" The Use and Effect of Figurative Language in Consumer Reviews of Hedonic and Utilitarian Consumption	Journal of Consumer Research
Kupor and Tormala	2018	When Moderation Fosters Persuasion: The Persuasive Power of Deviatory Reviews	Journal of Consumer Research
Mathwick, Wiertz, and De Ruyter	2008	Social Capital Production in a Virtual P3 Community	Journal of Consumer Research
McGraw, Warren, and Kan McQuarrie, Miller, and Phillips	2015	Humorous Complaining The Megaphone Effect: Taste and Audience in Fashion Blogging	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research
wicquarre, winer, and rinings	2013		Journal of Consumer Research
Moore	2012	Some Things Are Better Left Unsaid: How Word of Mouth Influences	Journal of Consumer Research
Moore Muniz and O'Guinn	2012	the Storyteller Brand Community	Journal of Consumer Research  Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau	2001 2005	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer	2001 2005 2015	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive	2001 2005 2015 2017	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn  Muniz and Schau  Parmentier and Fischer  Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive  Russell and Schau  Scaraboto and Fischer	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn  Muniz and Schau  Parmentier and Fischer  Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive  Russell and Schau  Scaraboto and Fischer	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013	the Storyteller  Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter,	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005	the Storyteller  Brand Community  Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community  Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation  Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products  When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation  Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets  We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context  Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein  When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities  Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit,	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016	the Storyteller  Brand Community  Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community  Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation  Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products  When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation  Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets  We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context  Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein  When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013	the Storyteller  Brand Community  Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community  Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation  Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products  When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation  Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets  We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space  Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context  Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein  When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities  Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media  Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer-Created Complaint Web Sites  Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels Ward and Ostrom	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013 2017 2006	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer- Created Complaint Web Sites Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention Virtual communities' antecedents and marketing dynamism: An empirical study of tourists visiting Varanasi	Journal of Consumer Research
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels Ward and Ostrom Di Pietro and Pantano	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013 2006 2013	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer- Created Complaint Web Sites Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention Virtual communities' antecedents and marketing dynamism: An	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice Journal of Direct, Data, and
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels Ward and Ostrom Di Pietro and Pantano Duhan and Singh	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013 2017 2006 2013	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer- Created Complaint Web Sites Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention Virtual communities' antecedents and marketing dynamism: An empirical study of tourists visiting Varanasi Customer Communities Herald New Ground Rules for Successful	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice Journal of Direct, Data, and
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels Ward and Ostrom Di Pietro and Pantano Duhan and Singh Foux	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013 2017 2006 2013 2014 2005	the Storyteller  Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer- Created Complaint Web Sites Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention Virtual communities' antecedents and marketing dynamism: An empirical study of tourists visiting Varanasi Customer Communities Herald New Ground Rules for Successful Marketing Measuring the diffusion of marketing messages across a social	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice
Muniz and O'Guinn Muniz and Schau Parmentier and Fischer Rozenkrants, Wheeler, and Shive Russell and Schau Scaraboto and Fischer Schau and Gilly Schlosser Simonson Thomas, Price, and Schau Villarroel Ordenes, Ludwig, De Ruyter, Grewal, and Wetzels Ward and Ostrom Di Pietro and Pantano Duhan and Singh Foux Rogers, Chapman, and Giosas Vorvoreanu, Boisvenue, Wojtalewicz,	2001 2005 2015 2017 2014 2013 2003 2005 2016 2013 2017 2006 2013 2014 2005 2012	the Storyteller Brand Community Religiosity in the Abandoned Apple Newton Brand Community Things Fall Apart: The Dynamics of Brand Audience Dissipation Self-Expression Cues in Product Rating Distributions: When People Prefer Polarizing Products When Narrative Brands End: The Impact of Narrative Closure and Consumption Sociality on Loss Accommodation Frustrated Fatshionistas: An Institutional Theory Perspective on Consumer Quests for Greater Choice in Mainstream Markets We Are What We Post? Self-Presentation in Personal Web Space Posting versus Lurking: Communicating in a Multiple Audience Context Imperfect Progress: An Objective Quality Assessment of the Role of User Reviews in Consumer Decision Making, A Commentary on de Langhe, Fernbach, and Lichtenstein When Differences Unite: Resource Dependence in Heterogeneous Consumption Communities Unveiling What Is Written in the Stars: Analyzing Explicit, Implicit, and Discourse Patterns of Sentiment in Social Media Complaining to the Masses: The Role of Protest Framing in Customer- Created Complaint Web Sites Social network influences on young tourists: An exploratory analysis of determinants of the purchasing intention Virtual communities' antecedents and marketing dynamism: An empirical study of tourists visiting Varanasi Customer Communities Herald New Ground Rules for Successful Marketing Measuring the diffusion of marketing messages across a social network Social media marketing analytics: A case study of the public's	Journal of Consumer Research Journal of Direct, Data, and Digital Marketing Practice Journal of Direct, Data, and

		The Effect of Disclosure of Third-Party Influence on an Opinion	Journal of Interactive
Carr and Hayes	2014	Leader's Credibility and Electronic Word of Mouth in Two-Step Flow	Advertising
Cheong and Morrison	2008	Consumers' Reliance on Product Information and Recommendations Found in UGC	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Chu and Kamal	2008	The effect of perceived blogger credibility and argument quality on message elaboration and brand attitudes: An exploratory study	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Daugherty, Eastin, and Bright	2010	Exploring consumer motivations for creating user-generated content	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Goldsmith and Horowitz	2006	Measuring Motivations for Online Opinion Seeking	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Grant, Botha, and Kietzmann	2015	Branded Flash Mobs: Moving Toward a Deeper Understanding of Consumers' Responses to Video Advertising	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Hayes and King	2014	The Social Exchange of Viral Ads: Referral and Coreferral of Ads Among College Students	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Lopez and Sicilia	2014	eWOM as Source of Influence: The Impact of Participation in eWOM and Perceived Source Trustworthiness on Decision Making	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Morrison, Cheong, and McMillan	2013	Posting, Lurking, and Networking: Behaviors and Characteristics of Consumers in the Context of User-Generated Content	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Muk and Chung	2014	Driving Consumers to Become Fans of Brand Pages: A Theoretical Framework	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Porter and Golan	2006	From Subservient Chickens to Brawny Men: A Comparison of Viral Advertising to Television Advertising	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Shan and King	2015	The Effects of Interpersonal Tie Strength and Subjective Norms on Consumers' Brand-Related eWOM Referral Intentions	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Steyer, Garcia-Bardidia, and Quester	2006	Online Discussion Groups as Social Networks: An Empirical Investigation of Word-of-Mouth on the Internet	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Tsai and Men	2013	Motivations and Antecedents of Consumer Engagement With Brand Pages on Social Networking Sites	Journal of Interactive Advertising
Vilpponen, Winter, and Sundqvist	2006	Electronic word-of-mouth in online environments: exploring referral	Journal of Interactive
Wang, Zhang, Li, and Zhu	2010	network structure and adoption behavior  Why do moviegoers go to the theater? The role of prerelease media	Advertising Journal of Interactive
Xia and Bechwati	2008	publicity and online word of mouth in driving movie going behavior Word of Mouse: The Role of Cognitive Personalization in Online	Advertising Journal of Interactive
Aletia, Pallant, Tuan, and Van Laer	2019	Consumer Reviews Tweeting with the Stars: Automated Text Analysis of the Effect of	Advertising  Journal of Interactive Marketing
Balague and De Valck	2019	Celebrity Social Media Communications on Consumer Word of Mouth Using Blogs to Solicit Consumer Feedback: The Role of Directive	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Barcelos, Dantas, and Sénécal	2017	Questioning Versus No Questioning Watch Your Tone: How a Brand's Tone of Voice on Social Media	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Barcelos, Bantas, and Schecar	2017	Influences Consumer Responses  Does a Virtual Like Cause Actual Liking? How Following a Brand's	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Beukeboom, Kerkhof, and De Vries	2015	Facebook Updates Enhances Brand Evaluations and Purchase Intention	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Bickart and Schindler Bolton and Saxena-Iyer	2001	Internet Forums as Influential Sources of Consumer Information Interactive Services: A Framework, Synthesis and Research Directions	Journal of Interactive Marketing Journal of Interactive Marketing
Brown, Broderick, and Lee	2007	Word of Mouth Communication Within Online Communities:	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Bruce, Haruvy, and Rao	2004	Conceptualizing the Online Social Network Seller Rating, Price, and Default in Online Auctions	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Chakravarty, Liu, and Mazumdar	2010	The Differential Effects of Online Word-of-Mouth and Critics' Reviews on Pre-release Movie Evaluation	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Chen, Fay, and Wang	2011	The Role of Marketing in Social Media: How Online Consumer Reviews Evolve	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Chiou and Cheng	2003	Should a company have message boards on its web sites?	Journal of Interactive Marketing
De Vries, Gensler, and Leeflang	2012	Popularity of Brand Posts on Brand Fan Pages: An Investigation of the Effects of Social Media Marketing	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Dellarocas, Zhang, and Awad	2007	Exploring the Value of Online Product Reviews in Forecasting Sales: The Case of Motion Pictures	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Dhar and Chang	2009	Does Chatter Matter? The Impact of User-Generated Content on Music Sales	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Dholakia	2005	The usefulness of bidders' reputation ratings to sellers in online auctions	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Dwyer	2007	Measuring the value of electronic word of mouth and its impact in consumer communities	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Ertimur and Gilly	2012	So Whaddya Think? Consumers Create Ads and Other Consumers Critique Them	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Feng and Papatla	2011	Advertising: Stimulant or Suppressant of Online Word of Mouth?	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Feng and Papatla	2012	Is Online Word of Mouth Higher for New Models or Redesigns? An Investigation of the Automobile Industry	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Gensler, Volckner, Liu-Thompkins, and Wiertz	2013	Managing Brands in the Social Media Environment	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Guan and Lam	2019	Product Rating Statistics as Consumer Search Aids	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Hautz, Fueller, Hutter, and Thuerridl	2014	Let Users Generate Your Video Ads? The Impact of Video Source and Quality on Consumers' Perceptions and Intended Behaviors	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Hayes, King, and Ramirez	2016	Brands, Friends, & Viral Advertising: A Social Exchange Perspective on the Ad Referral Processes	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Hennig-Thurau, Gwinner, Walsh, and		Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: what	l v
Gremler	2004	motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the internet?	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Gremler Huang, Su, Zhou, and Liu	2004		Journal of Interactive Marketing  Journal of Interactive Marketing

	2017	Emotional Support, Perceived Corporate Ownership and Skepticism	Y 1 CY
Johnson and Lowe	2015	toward Out-groups in Virtual Communities  Advertising and Word-of-Mouth Effects on Pre-launch Consumer	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Kim and Hanssens	2017	Interest and Initial Sales of Experience Products	Journal of Interactive Marketing
King, Racherla, and Bush	2014	What We Know and Don't Know About Online Word-of-Mouth: A Review and Synthesis of the Literature	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Koch and Benlian	2015	Promotional Tactics for Online Viral Marketing Campaigns: How Scarcity and Personalization Affect Seed Stage Referrals	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Labrecque	2014	Fostering Consumer–Brand Relationships in Social Media Environments: The Role of Parasocial Interaction	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Labrecque, Vor Dem Esche, Mathwick, Novak, and Hofacker	2013	Consumer Power: Evolution in the Digital Age	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Langley, Hoeve, Ortt, Pals, and Van Der Vecht	2014	Patterns of Herding and their Occurrence in an Online Setting	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Liu, Xie, and Zhang	2019	It's Not Just What You Say, But How You Say It: The Effect of Language Style Matching on Perceived Quality of Consumer Reviews	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Liu-Thompkins and Rogerson	2012	Rising to Stardom: An Empirical Investigation of the Diffusion of User-generated Content	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Mafael, Gottschalk, and Kreis	2016	Examining Biased Assimilation of Brand-related Online Reviews	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Malthouse, Haenlein, Skiera, Wege, and	2013	Managing Customer Relationships in the Social Media Era:	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Zhang Mathwick	2002	Introducing the Social CRM House Understanding the online consumer: a typology of online relational	
		norms and behavior  Interactions in virtual customer environments: implications for product	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Nambisan and Baron Ordovas de Almeida, Dholakia,	2007	support and customer relationship management The Mixed Effects of Participant Diversity and Expressive Freedom in	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Hernandez, and Mazzon	2014	Online Peer-to-Peer Problem Solving Communities  How Much Can You Trust Online Information? Cues for Perceived	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Pan and Chiou	2011	Trustworthiness of Consumer-generated Online Information	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Park and Lee	2009	Antecedents of Online Reviews' Usage and Purchase Influence: An Empirical Comparison of U.S. and Korean Consumers	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Peters, Chen, Kaplan, Ognibeni, and Pauwels	2013	Social Media Metrics — A Framework and Guidelines for Managing Social Media	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Purnawirawan, De Pelsmacker, and Dens	2012	Balance and Sequence in Online Reviews: How Perceived Usefulness Affects Attitudes and Intentions	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Purnawirawan, Eisend, De Pelsmacker, and Dens	2015	A Meta-analytic Investigation of the Role of Valence in Online Reviews	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Quesenberry and Coolsen	2019	Drama Goes Viral: Effects of Story Development on Shares and Views of Online Advertising Videos	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Scarpi	2010	Does Size Matter? An Examination of Small and Large Web-Based Brand Communities	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Schamari and Schaefers	2015	Leaving the Home Turf: How Brands Can Use Webcare on Consumer- generated Platforms to Increase Positive Consumer Engagement	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Sen and Lerman	2007	Why are you telling me this? An examination into negative consumer reviews on the web	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Seraj	2012	We Create, We Connect, We Respect, Therefore We Are: Intellectual, Social, and Cultural Value in Online Communities	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Shehu, Bijmolt, and Clement	2016	Social, and Cutural varie in Online Communities  Effects of Likeability Dynamics on Consumers' Intention to Share  Online Video Advertisements	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Smith, Fischer, and Yongjian	2012	How Does Brand-related User-generated Content Differ across	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Smith, Menon, and Sivakumar	2005	YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter?  Online peer and editorial recommendations, trust, and choice in virtual	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Srivastava and Kalro	2019	markets Enhancing the Helpfulness of Online Consumer Reviews: The Role of	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Thompson, Loveland, and Fombelle	2019	Latent (Content) Factors Thematic Discrepancy Analysis: A Method to Gain Insights into	Journal of Interactive Marketing
• •		Lurkers and Test for Non-Response Bias A Walk in Customers' Shoes: How Attentional Bias Modification	
Van Laer, De Ruyter, and Cox	2013	Affects Ownership of Integrity-violating Social Media Posts Of "Likes" and "Pins": The Effects of Consumers' Attachment to	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Van Meter, Grisaffe, and Chonko	2015	Social Media Online Damage Control: The Effects of Proactive Versus Reactive	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Van Noort and Willemsen	2011	Webcare Interventions in Consumer-generated and Brand-generated Platforms	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Van Noort, Voorveld, and Reijmersdal	2012	Interactivity in Brand Web Sites: Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Responses Explained by Consumers' Online Flow Experience	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Vuylsteke, Wen, Baesens, and Poelmans	2010	Consumers' Search for Information on the Internet: How and Why China Differs from Western Europe	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Wang, Yu, and Wei	2012	Social Media Peer Communication and Impacts on Purchase Intentions: A Consumer Socialization Framework	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Yadav, De Valck, Hennig-Thurau, Hoffman, and Spann	2013	Social Commerce: A Contingency Framework for Assessing Marketing Potential	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Yun, Park, and Ha	2008	Influence of cultural dimensions on online interactive review feature implementations: A comparison of Korean and U.S. retail web sites	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Zablocki, Makri, and Houston	2019	Emotions Within Online Reviews and their Influence on Product	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Zhang and Jiang	2014	Attitudes in Austria, USA and Thailand Increasing Price Transparency: Implications of Consumer Price Posting for Consumers' Haggling Behavior and a Seller's Pricing	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Zhao and Renard	2018	Strategies Viral Promotional Advergames: How Intrinsic Playfulness and the	Journal of Interactive Marketing
Znao anu Kenaru	2010	Extrinsic Value of Prizes Elicit Behavioral Responses	Journal of Interactive Marketing

		The Wisdom of Consumer Crowds Collective Innovation in the Age of	
Kozinets, Hemetsberger, and Schau	2008	Networked Marketing	Journal of Macromarketing
Loane, Webster, and D'Alessandro	2015	Identifying Consumer Value Co-created through Social Support within Online Health Communities	Journal of Macromarketing
Berger, Humphreys, Ludwig, Moe, Netzer, and Schweidel	2019	Uniting the Tribes: Using Text for Marketing Insight	Journal of Marketing
Bleier, Harmeling, and Palmatier	2019	Creating Effective Online Customer Experiences	Journal of Marketing
Colicev, Malshe, Pauwels, and O'Conner	2018	Improving Consumer Mindset Metrics and Shareholder Value Through Social Media: The Different Roles of Owned and Earned Media	Journal of Marketing
De Vries, Gensler, and Leeflang	2017	Effects of Traditional Advertising and Social Messages on Brand- Building Metrics and Customer Acquisition	Journal of Marketing
Herhausen, Ludwig, Grewal, Wulf, and Schoegel	2019	Detecting, Preventing, and Mitigating Online Firestorms in Brand Communities	Journal of Marketing
Ho-Dac, Carson, and Moore	2013	The Effects of Positive and Negative Online Customer Reviews: Do Brand Strength and Category Maturity Matter?	Journal of Marketing
Hoffman and Novak	1996	Marketing in Hypermedia Computer-Mediated Environments: Conceptual Foundations	Journal of Marketing
Huang, Lurie, and Mitra	2009	Searching for Experience on the Web: An Empirical Examination of Consumer Behavior for Search and Experience Goods	Journal of Marketing
Hughes, Swaminathan, and Brooks	2019	Driving Brand Engagement Through Online Social Influencers: An Empirical Investigation of Sponsored Blogging Campaigns	Journal of Marketing
Kozinets	2001	Utopian Enterprise: Articulating the Meanings of Star Trek's Culture of Consumption	Journal of Marketing
Kozinets, De Valck, Wojnicki, and Wilner	2010	Networked Narratives: Understanding Word-of-Mouth Marketing in Online Communities	Journal of Marketing
Kübler, Pauwels, Yildirim, and Fandrich	2018	App Popularity: Where in the world are consumers most sensitive to price and user ratings	Journal of Marketing
Kupfer, Holte, Kübler, and Henning- Thurau	2018	The Role of the PartnerBrand's Social Media Power in Brand Alliances	Journal of Marketing
Lamberton and Stephen	2016	A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing research's evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future research	Journal of Marketing
Liu	2006	Word of Mouth for Movies: Its Dynamics and Impact on Box Office Revenue	Journal of Marketing
Ludwig, De Ruyter, Friedman, Brueggen, Wetzels, and Pfann	2013	More Than Words: The Influence of Affective Content and Linguistic Style Matches in Online Reviews on Conversion Rates	Journal of Marketing
Luo, Andrews, Song, and Aspara	2014	Group-Buying Deal Popularity	Journal of Marketing
Moon, Bergey, and Iacobucci	2010	Dynamic Effects Among Movie Ratings, Movie Revenues, and Viewer	Journal of Marketing
Nam and Kannan	2014	Satisfaction The Informational Value of Social Tagging Networks	Journal of Marketing
		Beyond the "Like" Button: The Impact of Mere Virtual Presence on	
Naylor, Lamberton, and West	2012	Brand Evaluations and Purchase Intentions in Social Media Settings	Journal of Marketing
Schau, Muniz, and Arnould	2009	How Brand Community Practices Create Value	Journal of Marketing
Schulze, Scholer, and Skiera	2014	Not All Fun and Games: Viral Marketing for Utilitarian Products	Journal of Marketing
Sridhar and Srinivasan	2012	Social Influence Effects in Online Product Ratings  Is Neutral Really Neutral? The Effects of Neutral User-Generated	Journal of Marketing
Tang, Fang, and Wang	2014	Content on Product Sales	Journal of Marketing
Tellis, MacInnis, Tirunillai, and Zhang	2019	What drives virality (sharing) of online digital content? The critical role of information, emotion, and brand prominence	Journal of Marketing
Trusov, Bucklin, and Pauwels	2009	Effects of Word-of-Mouth Versus Traditional Marketing: Findings from an Internet Social Networking Site	Journal of Marketing
Watson, Pocheptsova Ghosh, and Trusov	2018	Swayed by the Numbers: The Consequences of Displaying Product Review Attributes	Journal of Marketing
Yadav and Pavlou	2013	Marketing in Computer-Mediated Environments: Research Synthesis and New Directions	Journal of Marketing
You, Vadakkepatt, and Joshi	2015	A Meta-Analysis of Electronic Word-of-Mouth Elasticity	Journal of Marketing
Zhu and Zhang	2010	Impact of Online Consumer Reviews on Sales: The Moderating Role of Product and Consumer Characteristics	Journal of Marketing
Abendroth and Heyman	2013	Honesty is the best policy: The effects of disclosure in word-of-mouth marketing	Journal of Marketing Communications
Anghelcev	2015	Unintended effects of incentivizing consumers to recommend a favorite brand	Journal of Marketing Communications
Bailey	2004	Thiscompanysucks.com: the use of the Internet in negative consumer- to-consumer articulations	Journal of Marketing Communications
Barnes and Jacobsen	2014	Missed eWOM opportunities: A cross-sector analysis of online monitoring behavior	Journal of Marketing Communications
Casalo, Flavian, and Guinaliu	2008	Promoting Consumer's Participation in Virtual Brand Communities: A New Paradigm in Branding Strategy	Journal of Marketing Communications
Colliander and Erlandsson	2015	The blog and the bountiful: Exploring the effects of disguised product placement on blogs that are revealed by a third party	Journal of Marketing Communications
Daugherty and Hoffman	2014	eWOM and the importance of capturing consumer attention within social media	Journal of Marketing Communications
Donlan and Crowther	2014	Leveraging sponsorship to achieve consumer relationship objectives through the creation of 'marketing spaces': An exploratory study	Journal of Marketing Communications
Goodrich and De Mooij	2014	How 'social' are social media? A cross-cultural comparison of online and offline purchase decision influences	Journal of Marketing Communications
Groeger and Buttle	2014	Word-of-mouth marketing influence on offline and online communications: Evidence from case study research	Journal of Marketing Communications
Jepsen	2006	Information Search in Virtual Communities: Is it Replacing Use of Off-Line Communication?	Journal of Marketing Communications

	1	WOM and social media: Presaging future directions for research and	Journal of Marketing
Kimmel and Kitchen	2014	practice	Communications  Journal of Marketing
Lorenzon and Russell	2012	From apathy to ambivalence: How is persuasion knowledge reflected in consumers' comments about in-game advertising?	Communications
Lueck	2015	Friend-zone with benefits: The parasocial advertising of Kim Kardashian	Journal of Marketing Communications
Mason	2008	Word of mouth as a promotional tool for turbulent markets	Journal of Marketing Communications
Pace, Balboni, and Gistri	2014	The effects of social media on brand attitude and WOM during a brand crisis: Evidences from the Barilla case	Journal of Marketing Communications
Pfeffer, Zorbach, and Carley	2014	Understanding online firestorms: Negative word-of-mouth dynamics in social media networks	Journal of Marketing Communications
Reichelt, Sievert, and Jacob	2014	How credibility affects eWOM reading: The influences of expertise, trustworthiness, and similarity on utilitarian and social functions	Journal of Marketing Communications
Toder-Alon, Brunel, and Fournier	2014	Word-of-mouth rhetorics in social media talk	Journal of Marketing Communications
Uzunoglu and Oksuz	2014	New opportunities in social media for ad-restricted alcohol products: The case of 'Yeni Rakı'	Journal of Marketing Communications
Van Noort, Angteunis, and Van Reijmersdal	2012	Social connections and the persuasiveness of viral campaigns in social network sites: Persuasive intent as the underlying mechanism	Journal of Marketing Communications
Yeh and Choi	2011	MINI-lovers, maxi-mouths: An investigation of antecedents to eWOM intention among brand community members	Journal of Marketing Communications
Archer-Brown, Piercy, and Joinson	2013	Examining the information value of virtual communities: Factual versus opinion-based message content	Journal of Marketing Management
Bianchi, Andrews, Wiese, and Fazal-E-Hasan	2017	Consumer intentions to engage in s-commerce: a cross-national study	Journal of Marketing Management
Burton and Khammash	2010	Why do people read reviews posted on consumer-opinion portals?	Journal of Marketing Management
Buttle and Groeger	2017	Who says what to whom in what channel? A rules theoretic perspective on word-of-mouth marketing	Journal of Marketing Management
Canhoto and Clark	2013	Customer service 140 characters at a time: The users' perspective	Journal of Marketing Management
Cluley and Brown	2015	The dividualised consumer: sketching the new mask of the consumer	Journal of Marketing Management
Cova and White	2010	Counter-brand and alter-brand communities: The impact of Web 2.0 on tribal marketing approaches	Journal of Marketing Management
Croft	2013	Blessed are the geeks: An ethnographic study of consumer networks in social media, 2006–2012	Journal of Marketing Management
Floh, Koller, and Zauner	2013	Taking a deeper look at online reviews: The asymmetric effect of valence intensity on shopping behaviour	Journal of Marketing Management
Grant, Clarke, and Kyriazis	2007	A review of factors affecting online consumer search behaviour from an information value perspective	Journal of Marketing Management
Hamilton and Hewer	2010	Tribal mattering spaces: Social-networking sites, celebrity affiliations, and tribal innovations	Journal of Marketing Management
Harwood and Garry	2010	It's Mine!' - Participation and ownership within virtual co-creation environments	Journal of Marketing Management
Hewer and Brownlie	2010	On market forces and adjustments: acknowledging consumer creativity through the aesthetics of 'debadging'	Journal of Marketing Management
Huang	2010	Social contagion effects in experiential information exchange on bulletin board systems	Journal of Marketing Management
Jones, Temperley, and Lima	2009	Corporate reputation in the era of Web 2.0: the case of Primark	Journal of Marketing Management
Jung, Ineson, and Green	2013	Online social networking: Relationship marketing in UK hotels	Journal of Marketing Management
Kim, Choi, Qualls, and Han	2008	It takes a marketplace community to raise brand commitment: the role of online communities	Journal of Marketing Management
Kozinets, Scaraboto, and Parmentier	2018	Evolving netnography: how brand autonetnography, a netnographic sensibility, and more-than-human netnography can transform your research	Journal of Marketing Management
Laing, Keeling, and Newholm	2011	Virtual communities come of age: Parallel service, value, and propositions offered in communal online space	Journal of Marketing Management
Liang, Ekinci, Occhiocupo, and Whyatt	2013	Antecedents of travellers' electronic word-of-mouth communication	Journal of Marketing Management
Lugosi and Quinton	2018	More-than-human netnography	Journal of Marketing Management
Oakes, Dennis, and Oakes	2013	Web-based forums and metaphysical branding	Journal of Marketing Management
O'Sullivan	2010	Dangling conversations: Web-forum use by a symphony orchestra's audience members	Journal of Marketing Management
Palmer and Huo	2013	A study of trust over time within a social network mediated environment	Journal of Marketing Management
Palmer and Koenig-Lewis	2011	The effects of pre-enrollment emotions and peer group interaction on students' satisfaction	Journal of Marketing Management
Phillips and Broderick	2014	Has Mumsnet changed me? SNS influence on identity adaptation and consumption	Journal of Marketing Management
Quinton	2013	The community brand paradigm: A response to brand management's dilemma in the digital era	Journal of Marketing Management
Reid and Duffy	2018	A netnographic sensibility: developing the netnographic/social listening boundaries	Journal of Marketing Management
Rokka, Karlsson, and Tienari	2014	Balancing acts: Managing employees and reputation in social media	Journal of Marketing Management
			,

Calculate Proster Mallila and When	2017	'Retweet for a Chance to': an analysis of what triggers consumers to	Journal of Marketing
Soboleva, Burton, Mallik, and Khan	2017	engage in seeded eWOM on Twitter	Management
Valos, Maplestone, Polonsky, and Ewing	2017	Integrating social media within an integrated marketing communication decision-making framework	Journal of Marketing Management
Valos, Polonsky, Mavondo, and Lipscomb	2015	Senior marketers' insights into the challenges of social media implementation in large organisations: assessing generic and electronic orientation models as potential solutions	Journal of Marketing Management
Villegas	2017	From the self to the screen: a journey guide for auto-netnography in online communities	Journal of Marketing Management
Wolny and Mueller	2013	Analysis of fashion consumers' motives to engage in electronic word- of-mouth communication through social media platforms	Journal of Marketing Management
Yang, Lin, Carlson, and Ross	2015	Brand engagement on social media: will firms' social media efforts influence search engine advertising effectiveness?	Journal of Marketing Management
Akpinar and Berger	2017	Valuable Virality	Journal of Marketing Research
Anderson and Simester	2014	Reviews Without a Purchase: Low Ratings, Loyal Customers, and Deception	Journal of Marketing Research
Ansari, Essegaier, and Kohli	2000	Internet Recommendation Systems	Journal of Marketing Research
Babic Rosario, Sotgiu, De Valck, and Bijmolt	2016	The Effect of Electronic Word of Mouth on Sales: A Meta-Analytic Review of Platform, Product, and Metric Factors	Journal of Marketing Research
Baker, Donthu, and Kumar	2016	Investigating How Word-of-Mouth Conversations About Brands Influence Purchase and Retransmission Intentions	Journal of Marketing Research
Barasch and Berger	2014	Broadcasting and Narrowcasting: How Audience Size Affects What People Share	Journal of Marketing Research
Berger and Milkman	2012	What Makes Online Content Viral?	Journal of Marketing Research
Berger and Schwartz	2011	What drives immediate and ongoing word of Mouth?	Journal of Marketing Research
Bond, He, and Wen	2019	Speaking for "Free": Word of Mouth in Free- and Paid-Product Settings	Journal of Marketing Research
Borah and Tellis	2016	Halo (Spillover) Effects in Social Media: Do Product Recalls of One Brand Hurt or Help Rival Brands?	Journal of Marketing Research
Bruce, Foutz, and Kolsarici	2012	Dynamic Effectiveness of Advertising and Word of Mouth in Sequential Distribution of New Products	Journal of Marketing Research
Chen and Lurie	2013	Temporal Contiguity and Negativity Bias in the Impact of Online Word of Mouth	Journal of Marketing Research
Chen, Lans, and Phan	2017	Uncovering the Importance of Relationship Characteristics in Social Networks: Implications for Seeding Strategies	Journal of Marketing Research
Chen, Wang, and Xie	2011	Online Social Interactions: A Natural Experiment on Word of Mouth Versus Observational Learning	Journal of Marketing Research
Chevalier and Mayzlin	2006	The Effect of Word of Mouth on Sales: Online Book Reviews	Journal of Marketing Research
Chica and Rand	2017	Building Agent-Based Decision Support Systems for Word-of-Mouth Programs: A Freemium Application	Journal of Marketing Research
Chung and Rao	2012	A General Consumer Preference Model for Experience Products: Application to Internet Recommendation Services	Journal of Marketing Research
De Angelis, Bonezzi, Peluso, Rucker, and Costabile	2012	On Braggarts and Gossips: A Self- Enhancement Account of Word-of- Mouth Generation and Transmission	Journal of Marketing Research
Dubois, Bonezzi, and Angelis	2016	Sharing with Friends Versus Strangers: How Interpersonal Closeness Influences Word-of-Mouth Valence	Journal of Marketing Research
Dubois, Rucker, and Tormala	2011	From Rumors to Facts, and Facts to Rumors: The Role of Certainty Decay in Consumer Communications	Journal of Marketing Research
Gelper, Peres, and Eliashberg	2018	Talk Bursts: The Role of Spikes in Pre-release Word-of-Mouth Dynamics	Journal of Marketing Research
Gong, Zhang, Zhao, and Jiang	2017	Tweeting as a Marketing Tool: A Field Experiment in the TV Industry	Journal of Marketing Research
Grewal and Stephen	2019	In Mobile We Trust: The Effects of Mobile Versus Nonmobile Reviews on Consumer Purchase Intentions	Journal of Marketing Research
Grewal, Stephen, and Coleman	2019	When Posting About Products on Social Media Backfires: The Negative Effects of Consumer Identity Signaling on Product Interest	Journal of Marketing Research
Hamilton, Schlosser, and Chen	2017	Who's Driving This Conversation? Systematic Biases in the Content of Online Consumer Discussions	Journal of Marketing Research
Hollenbeck	2018	Online Reputation Mechanisms and the Decreasing Value of Chain Affiliation	Journal of Marketing Research
Homburg, Ehm, and Artz	2015	Measuring and Managing Consumer Sentiment in an Online Community Environment	Journal of Marketing Research
Kozinets	2002	The Field Behind the Screen: Using Netnography for Marketing Research in Online Communities	Journal of Marketing Research
Lee and Bradlow	2011	Automated marketing research: Using online customer reviews	Journal of Marketing Research
Liu, Steenkamp, and Zhang	2018	Agglomeration as a Driver of the Volume of ElectronicWord of Mouth in the Restaurant Industry	Journal of Marketing Research
Lovett, Peres, and Shachar	2013	On Brands and Word of Mouth	Journal of Marketing Research
Luo, Chen, Han, and Park	2010	Dilution and Enhancement of Celebrity Brands Through Sequential Movie Releases	Journal of Marketing Research
Melumad, Inman, and Pham	2019	Selectively emotional: How smartphone use changes user-generated content	Journal of Marketing Research
Mochon, Johnson, Schwartz, and Ariely Moe and Trusov	2017 2011	What Are Likes Worth? A Facebook Page Field Experiment The Value of Social Dynamics in Online Product Ratings Forums	Journal of Marketing Research Journal of Marketing Research
Naylor, Lamberton, and Norton	2011	Seeing ourselves in others: Reviewer ambiguity, egocentric anchoring,	Journal of Marketing Research
Packard and Berger	2017	and Persuasion  How Language Shapes Word of Mouth's Impact	Journal of Marketing Research
Paharia, Avery, and Keinan	2014	Positioning Brands Against Large Competitors to Increase Sales	Journal of Marketing Research
Peng, Agarwal, Hosanagar, and Iyengar	2018	Network Overlap and Content Sharing on Social Media Platforms	Journal of Marketing Research
Schweidel and Moe	2014	Listening in on Social Media: A Joint Model of Sentiment and Venue Format Choice	Journal of Marketing Research

		The Effects of Traditional and Cooks Found Madia and Color A Co. 1	
Stephen and Galak	2012	The Effects of Traditional and Social Earned Media on Sales: A Study of a Microlending Marketplace	Journal of Marketing Research
Tirunillai and Tellis	2014	Mining Marketing Meaning from Online Chatter: Strategic Brand Analysis of Big Data Using Latent Dirichlet Allocation	Journal of Marketing Research
Wang and Chaudhry	2018	When and How Managers' Responses to Online Reviews Affect Subsequent Reviews	Journal of Marketing Research
Yin, Bond, and Zhang	2017	Keep Your Cool or Let It Out: Nonlinear Effects of Expressed Arousal on Perceptions of Consumer Reviews	Journal of Marketing Research
Ying, Feinberg, and Wedel	2006	Leveraging Missing Ratings to Improve Online Recommendation Systems	Journal of Marketing Research
Zhao and Xie	2011	Effects of Social and Temporal Distance on Consumers' Responses to Peer Recommendations	Journal of Marketing Research
Zhu, Dholakia, Chen, and Algesheimer	2012	Does Online Community Participation Foster Risky Financial Behavior?	Journal of Marketing Research
Barry and Gironda	2018	A dyadic examination of inspirational factors driving B2B social media influence	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Barry and Graca	2018	Humor effectiveness in social video engagement	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Bruce and Solomon	2013	Managing for media anarchy: a corporate marketing perspective	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Carvalho and Fernandes	2018	Understanding Customer Brand Engagement with Virtual Social Communities: A Comprehensive Model of Drivers, Outcomes and Moderators	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Dabholkar	2006	Factors influencing consumer choice of a "rating web site": an experimental investigation of an online interactive decision aid	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Fujita, Harrigan, and Soutar	2018	Capturing and co-creating student experiences in social media: A social identity theory perspective	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Kim and Chandler	2018	How social community and social publishing influence new product launch: The case of Twitter during the Playstation 4 and Xbox One launches	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Kuo and Nakhata	2019	The Impact of Electronic Word-of-Mouth on Customer Satisfaction	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Pihlaja, Saarijarvi, Spence, and Yrjola	2017	From electronic WOM to social WOM: Bridging the trust deficit	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Porter, Donthu, and Baker	2012	Gender differences in trust formation in virtual communities	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Ramirez, Gau, Hadjimarcou, and Xu	2018	User-generated content as word-of-mouth	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Syrdal and Briggs	2018	Engagement with Social Media Content: A Qualitative Exploration	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Thakur, Hale, and Summey	2018	What Motivates Consumers to Partake in Cyber shilling?	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Wilk, Harrigan, and Soutar	2018	Navigating online brand advocacy (OBA): An exploratory analysis	Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice
Chauhan and Pillai	2013	Role of content strategy in social media brand communities: a case of higher education institutes in India	Journal of Product and Brand Management
De Maeyer	2012	Impact of online consumer reviews on sales and price strategies: a review and directions for future research	Journal of Product and Brand Management
Dessart, Veloutsou, and Morgan-Thomas	2015	Consumer engagement in online brand communities: a social media perspective	Journal of Product and Brand Management
Hollebeek and Chen	2014	Exploring positively- versus negatively-valenced brand engagement: a conceptual model	Journal of Product and Brand Management
Ullrich and Brunner	2015	Negative online consumer reviews: effects of different responses	Journal of Product and Brand Management
Wallace, Buil, and Chernatony	2014	Consumer engagement with self-expressive brands: brand love and WOM outcomes	Journal of Product and Brand Management
Droge, Stanko, and Pollitte	2010	Lead Users and Early Adopters on the Web: The Role of New Technology Product Blogs	Journal of Product Innovation Management
Fueller, Matzler, and Hoppe	2008	Brand Community Members as a Source of Innovation	Journal of Product Innovation Management
Ashley and Leonard	2009	Betrayed by the Buzz? Covert Content and Consumer-Brand Relationships	Journal of Public Policy and Marketing
Lin, Lu, and Wu	2012	The Effects of Visual Information in eWOM Communication	Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing
Duan, Gu, and Whinston	2008	The Dynamics of Online Word-of-Mouth and Product Sales – An Empirical Investigation of the Movie Industry	Journal of Retailing
Floyd, Freling, Alhoqail, Cho, and Freling	2014	How Online Product Reviews Affect Retail Sales: A Meta-analysis	Journal of Retailing
Jin, Hu, and He	2014	The Recent versus The Out-Dated: An Experimental Examination of the Time-Variant Effects of Online Consumer Reviews	Journal of Retailing
Khare, Labrecque, and Asare	2011	The Assimilative and Contrastive Effects of Word-of-Mouth Volume: An Experimental Examination of Online Consumer Ratings	Journal of Retailing
Minnema, Bijmolt, Gensler, and Wiesel	2016	To keep or not to keep: Effects of online customer reviews on product returns	Journal of Retailing
Moon and Song	2015	The Roles of Cultural Elements in International Retailing of Cultural Products: An Application to the Motion Picture Industry	Journal of Retailing
Nejad, Amini, and Babakus	2015	Success Factors in Product Seeding: The Role of Homophily	Journal of Retailing
Pan and Zhang	2011	Born Unequal: A Study of the Helpfulness of User-Generated Product Reviews	Journal of Retailing
Senecal and Nantel	2004	The influence of online product recommendations on consumers' online choices	Journal of Retailing

Wong Liv and Fong	2015	User Reviews Variance, Critic Reviews Variance, and Product Sales:	Journal of Data:
Wang, Liu, and Fang Ahani, Nilashi, Yadegaridehkordi,	2015	An Exploration of Customer Breadth and Depth Effects	Journal of Retailing
Sanzogni, Tarik, Knox, Samad, and Ibrahim	2019	Revealing customers' satisfaction and preferences through online review analysis: The case of Canary Islands hotels	Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services
Augusto and Torres	2018	Effects of brand attitude and eWOM on consumers' willingness to pay in the banking industry: Mediating role of consumer-brand identification and brand equity	Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services
Bambauer-Sachse and Mangold	2013	Do consumers still believe what is said in online product reviews? A persuasion knowledge approach	Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services
Bone, Fombelle, Ray, and Lemon	2015	How Customer Participation in B2B Peer-to-Peer Problem-Solving Communities Influences the Need for Traditional Customer Service	Journal of Service Research
Cho	2014	Service Quality and Price Perceptions by Internet Retail Customers: Linking the Three Stages of Service Interaction	Journal of Service Research
Dholakia, Blazevic, Wiertz, and Algesheimer	2009	Communal Service Delivery How Customers Benefit from Participation in Firm-Hosted Virtual P3 Communities	Journal of Service Research
Hennig-Thurau, Malthouse, Friege, Gensler, Lobschat, Rangaswamy, and Skiera	2010	The Impact of New Media on Customer Relationships	Journal of Service Research
Libai, Bolton, Bügel, De Ruyter, Gotz, Risselada, and Stephen	2010	Customer-to-Customer Interactions: Broadening the Scope of Word of Mouth Research	Journal of Service Research
López-López, Ruiz-de-Maya, and Warlop	2014	When Sharing Consumption Emotions with Strangers Is More Satisfying Than Sharing Them with Friends	Journal of Service Research
Verhoef, Reinartz, and Krafft	2010	Customer Engagement as a New Perspective in Customer Management	Journal of Service Research
Harwood and Garry	2015	An investigation into gamification as a customer engagement experience environment	Journal of Services Marketing
Keeling, Khan, and Newholm	2013	Internet forums and negotiation of healthcare knowledge cultures	Journal of Services Marketing
Magnini	2011	The implications of company-sponsored messages disguised as word- of-mouth	Journal of Services Marketing
Ramanathan and Ramanathan	2013	Investigating the impact of resource capabilities on customer loyalty: a structural equation approach for the UK hotels using online ratings	Journal of Services Marketing
Shen	2014	Recommendations as personalized marketing: insights from customer experiences	Journal of Services Marketing
Tuzovic	2010	Frequent (flier) frustration and the dark side of word-of-web: exploring online dysfunctional behavior in online feedback forums	Journal of Services Marketing
Yang, Peterson, and Cai	2003	Services quality dimensions of Internet retailing: an exploratory analysis	Journal of Services Marketing
Choudhury and Harrigan	2014	CRM to social CRM: the integration of new technologies into customer relationship management	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Huang, Zhang, Liu, and Liang	2014	The effect of online and offline word-of-mouth on new product diffusion	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Poch and Martin	2015	Effects of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation on user-generated content	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Roy, Butaney, Sekhon, and Butaney	2014	Word-of-mouth and viral marketing activity of the on-line consumer: the role of loyalty chain stages theory	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Schau and Muniz	2006	A tale of tales: The Apple Newton narratives	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Smith	2011	Digital marketing strategies that Millennials find appealing, motivating, or just annoying	Journal of Strategic Marketing
Adjei, Noble, and Noble	2010	The influence of C2C communications in online brand communities on customer purchase behavior	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Beckers, Van Doorn, and Verhoef	2018	Good, better, engaged? The effect of company-initiated customer engagement behavior on shareholder value	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Gruner, Homburg, and Lukas	2014	Firm-hosted online brand communities and new product success	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Hanson, Jiang, and Dahl	2019	Enhancing consumer engagement in an online brand community via user reputation signals: A multi-method analysis	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Hennig-Thurau, Wiertz, and Feldhaus	2015	Does Twitter Matter? An Investigation of the Impact of Microblogging Word of Mouth on Consumers' Adoption of New Products	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Motyka, Grewal, Aguirre, Mahr, De Ruyter, and Wetzels			
Song Moon Chan and Hauston	2018	The Emotional Review–Reward Effect: How Do Reviews Increase Impulsivity?	Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Song, Moon, Chen, and Houston	2018 2017	Impulsivity? When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady		Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and	2017	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady	2017	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership:	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani	2017 2017 2012	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani Cadario Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas,	2017 2017 2012 2013	Impulsivity? When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady  Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani  Cadario  Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas, Pfeiffer, Libai, Sen, Shi, and Verlegh Hartmann, Manchanda, Nair, Bothner, Dodds, Godes, Hosanagar, and	2017 2017 2012 2013 2005	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic  The Firm's Management of Social Interactions  Modeling social interactions: Identification, empirical methods and policy implications  How consumers use product reviews in the purchase decision process	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters Marketing Letters
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady  Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani  Cadario  Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas, Pfeiffer, Libai, Sen, Shi, and Verlegh Hartmann, Manchanda, Nair, Bothner, Dodds, Godes, Hosanagar, and Tucker	2017 2017 2012 2013 2005 2008	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic  The Firm's Management of Social Interactions  Modeling social interactions: Identification, empirical methods and policy implications  How consumers use product reviews in the purchase decision process  Brand-embedded interaction: a dynamic and personalized interaction	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters Marketing Letters Marketing Letters
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady  Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani  Cadario  Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas, Pfeiffer, Libai, Sen, Shi, and Verlegh  Hartmann, Manchanda, Nair, Bothner, Dodds, Godes, Hosanagar, and Tucker  Jang, Prasad, and Ratchford	2017 2017 2012 2013 2005 2008	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic  The Firm's Management of Social Interactions  Modeling social interactions: Identification, empirical methods and policy implications  How consumers use product reviews in the purchase decision process	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters Marketing Letters Marketing Letters Marketing Letters Marketing Letters
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady  Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani  Cadario  Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas, Pfeiffer, Libai, Sen, Shi, and Verlegh Hartmann, Manchanda, Nair, Bothner, Dodds, Godes, Hosanagar, and Tucker  Jang, Prasad, and Ratchford  Kim and Slotegraaf	2017 2017 2012 2013 2005 2008 2012 2015	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic  The Firm's Management of Social Interactions  Modeling social interactions: Identification, empirical methods and policy implications  How consumers use product reviews in the purchase decision process  Brand-embedded interaction: a dynamic and personalized interaction for co-creation  The unrealized value of incentivized eWOM recommendations  How do firms make money selling digital goods online?	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters
Wilson, Giebelhausen, and Brady  Kusumasondjaja, Shanka, and Marchegiani  Cadario  Godes, Mayzlin, Chen, Das, Dellarocas, Pfeiffer, Libai, Sen, Shi, and Verlegh Hartmann, Manchanda, Nair, Bothner, Dodds, Godes, Hosanagar, and Tucker  Jang, Prasad, and Ratchford  Kim and Slotegraaf  Kim, Naylor, Sivadas, and Sugumaran Lambrecht, Goldfarb, Bonatti, Ghose,	2017 2017 2012 2013 2005 2008 2012 2015 2016	Impulsivity?  When marketing strategy meets culture: the role of culture in product evaluations  Negative word of mouth can be a positive for consumers connected to the brand  Credibility of online reviews and initial trust: The roles of reviewer's identity and review valence  The Impact of Online Word of Mouth on Television Show Viewership: An Inverted U-Shaped Temporal Dynamic  The Firm's Management of Social Interactions  Modeling social interactions: Identification, empirical methods and policy implications  How consumers use product reviews in the purchase decision process  Brand-embedded interaction: a dynamic and personalized interaction for co-creation  The unrealized value of incentivized eWOM recommendations	Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science Journal of Vacation Marketing Marketing Letters

			_
McAlister, Sonnier, and Shively	2012	The relationship between online chatter and firm value	Marketing Letters
Naik, Wedel, Bacon, Bodapati, Bradlow,	2000		
Kamakura, Kreulen, Lenk, Madigan, and	2008	Challenges and opportunities in high-dimensional choice data analyses	Marketing Letters
Montgomery		Do consumers who conduct online research also post online reviews?	
Punj	2013	A model of the relationship between online research and review	Marketing Letters
1 ung	2015	posting behavior	Marketing Betters
ci. c 1b.	2014	Electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) generation in new media	M 1 C T II
Shin, Song, and Biswas	2014	platforms: The role of regulatory focus and collective dissonance	Marketing Letters
Tang and Guo	2015	Digging for gold with a simple tool: Validating text mining in studying	Marketing Letters
Tang and Guo	2013	electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) communication	Marketing Letters
Algesheimer, Borle, Dholakia, and Singh	2010	The Impact of Customer Community Participation on Customer	Marketing Science
rageshemier, Borie, Bhotakia, and Bhigh	2010	Behaviors: An Empirical Investigation	Warketing Belence
Chintagunta, Gopinath, and	2010	The Effects of Online User Reviews on Movie Box Office	
Venkataraman	2010	Performance: Accounting for Sequential Rollout and Aggregation	Marketing Science
		Across Local Markets  Designing Ranking Systems for Hotels on Travel Search Engines by	
Ghose, Ipeirotis, and Li	2012	Mining User-Generated and Crowdsourced Content	Marketing Science
Godes and Mayzlin	2004	Using Online Conversations to Study Word-of-Mouth Communication	Marketing Science
		Firm-Created Word-of-Mouth Communication: Evidence from a Field	· ·
Godes and Mayzlin	2009	Test	Marketing Science
Godes and Silva	2012	Sequential and Temporal Dynamics of Online Opinion	Marketing Science
		Investigating the Relationship Between the Content of Online Word of	
Gopinath, Thomas, and Krishnamurthi	2014	Mouth, Advertising, and Brand Performance	Marketing Science
Jiang, Jerath, and Srinivasan	2011	Firm Strategies in the "Mid Tail" of Platform-Based Retailing	Marketing Science
Kuksov and Xie	2010	Pricing, Frills, and Customer Ratings	Marketing Science
Kuksov, Shachar, and Wang	2013	Advertising and Consumers' Communications	Marketing Science
Kumar, Bhaskaran, Mirchandani, and	2013	Creating a Measurable Social Media Marketing Strategy: Increasing	Marketing Science
Shah	2013	the Value and ROI of Intangibles and Tangibles for Hokey Pokey	Marketing Beleffee
Ma, Sun, and Kekre	2015	The Squeaky Wheel Gets the Grease—An Empirical Analysis of	Marketing Science
		Customer Voice and Firm Intervention on Twitter	=
Mayzlin	2006	Promotional Chat on the Internet	Marketing Science
Moe and Schweidel	2012	Online Product Opinions: Incidence, Evaluation, and Evolution	Marketing Science
Netzer, Feldman, Goldenberg, and	2012	Mine Your Own Business: Market-Structure Surveillance Through	Marketing Science
Fresko		Text Mining	
Singh, Hilmer, and Wang	2011	Efficient Methods for Sampling Responses from Large-Scale Qualitative Data	Marketing Science
		A Dynamic Model of the Effect of Online Communications on Firm	
Sonnier, McAlister, and Rutz	2011	Sales	Marketing Science
		Motivation of user-generated content: Social connectedness moderates	
Sun, Dong, and McIntyre	2017	the effects of monetary rewards	Marketing Science
Timoshenko and Hauser	2019	Identifying Customer Needs from User-Generated Content	Marketing Science
m: :11: 1 m 11:	2012	Does Chatter Really Matter? Dynamics of User-Generated Content and	
Tirunillai and Tellis	2012	Stock Performance	Marketing Science
Van Der Lans, Van Bruggen, Eliashberg,	2010	A Viral Branching Model for Predicting the Spread of Electronic Word	Marketing Science
and Wierenga		of Mouth	
Wu, Che, Chan, and Lu	2015	The Economic Value of Online Reviews	Marketing Science
Xiong and Bharadwaj	2014	Prerelease Buzz Evolution Patterns and New Product Performance	Marketing Science
Zeithammer and Adams	2010	The Sealed-Bid Abstraction in Online Auctions	Marketing Science
Zhao, Yang, Narayan, and Zhao	2013	Modeling Consumer Learning from Online Product Reviews	Marketing Science
Corciolani, Gistri, and Pace	2016	Exploring the palm oil crisis through the lens of different social media:	Mercati e Competitività
	1	An analysis of Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter contents Creative Strategies in Social Media Marketing: An Exploratory Study	_
Ashley and Tuten	2015	of Branded Social Content and Consumer Engagement	Psychology and Marketing
	<del>                                     </del>	Determinants and Outcomes of Online Brand Tribalism: Exploring	
Badrinarayanan, Sierra, and Taute	2014	Communities of Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Games	Psychology and Marketing
Sammayanan, Storia, and Taute	2017	(MMORPGs)	1 5,011010g) and Warketing
D 11 1 101 1	2010	Under the influence of a blogger: The role of	B 11 134 13
Balabanis and Chatzopolou	2019	information-seeking goals and issue involvement	Psychology and Marketing
Berthon, Pitt, and Des Autels	2011	Unveiling Videos: Consumer-Generated Ads as Qualitative Inquiry	Psychology and Marketing
Boon	2013	A Qualitative Study of Consumer-Generated Videos about Daily Deal	Psychology and Marketing
		Web sites	
Dinhopl, Gretzel, and Whelan	2015	Labeling as a Social Practice in Online Consumption Communities	Psychology and Marketing
Gong and Li	2017	Engaging fans on microblog: the synthetic influence of parasocial	Psychology and Marketing
		interaction and source characteristics on celebrity endorsement	
Hall, Gough, and Seymour Smith	2013	Stake Management in Men's Online Cosmetics Testimonials	Psychology and Marketing
Hartmann, Wiertz, and Arnould	2015	Exploring Consumptive Moments of Value-Creating Practice in	Psychology and Marketing
Herrmann, Hildebrand, Sprott, and	<del>                                     </del>	Online Community Option Framing and Product Feature Recommendations: Product	<del>-</del>
Spangenberg	2013	Configuration and Choice	Psychology and Marketing
Huang and Chen	2006	Herding in Online Product Choice	Psychology and Marketing
		Conflict Culture and Conflict Management in Consumption	
Husemann, Ladstaetter, and Luedicke	2015	Communities	Psychology and Marketing
Westing Vising and N. J. J.	2017	Health Communities as Permissible Space: Supporting Negotiation to	Developed and A. C. C.
Keeling, Laing, and Newholm	2015	Balance Asymmetries	Psychology and Marketing
Lancar and Danter	2014	eWOM Watchdogs: Ego-Threatening Product Domains and the	Provided and and M. J. C.
Larson and Denton	2014	Policing of Positive Online Reviews	Psychology and Marketing
März Schuhach and Schumann	2017	"Why Would I Read a Mobile Review?" Device Compatibility	Develology and Madratina
März, Schubach, and Schumann	2017	Perceptions and Effects on Perceived Helpfulness	Psychology and Marketing
Meenaghan, McLoughlin, and	2013	New Challenges in Sponsorship Evaluation Actors, New Media, and	Psychology and Marketing
McCormack	2013	the Context of Praxis	1 5 jenology and Marketing

Marshar and Wardelds	2010	Creating visual narrative art for decoding stories that consumers and	Develode on and Madestine
Megehee and Woodside	2010	brands tell	Psychology and Marketing
O'Sullivan	2015	The Market Maven Crowd: Collaborative Risk-Aversion and Enhanced Consumption Context Control in an Illicit Market	Psychology and Marketing
Park and Park	2013	The Effect of Low- versus High-Variance in Product Reviews on Product Evaluation	Psychology and Marketing
Park, Shin, and Ju	2015	A Taxonomy of Social Networking Site Users: Social Surveillance and Self-surveillance Perspective	Psychology and Marketing
Saenger, Thomas, and Johnson	2013	Consumption-Focused Self-Expression Word of Mouth: A New Scale and Its Role in Consumer Research	Psychology and Marketing
Sibai, De Valck, Farrell, and Rudd	2015	Social Control in Online Communities of Consumption: A Framework for Community Management	Psychology and Marketing
Steinmann, Mau, and Schramm-Klein	2015	Brand Communication Success in Online Consumption Communities: An Experimental Analysis of the Effects of Communication Style and Brand Pictorial Representation	Psychology and Marketing
Stokburger-Sauer	2010	Brand Community: Drivers and Outcomes	Psychology and Marketing
Sweeney, Webb, Mazzarol, and Soutar	2014	Self-Determination Theory and Word of Mouth about Energy-Saving Behaviors: An Online Experiment	Psychology and Marketing
Teichmann, Stokburger-Sauer, Plank, and Strobl	2015	Motivational Drivers of Content Contribution to Company- Versus Consumer-Hosted Online Communities	Psychology and Marketing
Woodside, Sood, and Miller	2008	When consumers and brands talk: Storytelling theory and research in psychology and marketing	Psychology and Marketing
Wu	2013	In Search of Negativity Bias: An Empirical Study of Perceived Helpfulness of Online Reviews	Psychology and Marketing
Alon and Brunel	2006	Uncovering Rhetorical Methods of Word-of-Mouth Talk in an Online Community	Research in Consumer Behavior
Bajde	2013	(Micro)Financing to Give: Kiva as a Gift-Market Hybrid	Research in Consumer Behavior
Bradford, Grier, and Henderson	2012	Gifts and Gifting in Online Communities	Research in Consumer Behavior
Fyrberg-Yngfalk, Cova, Pace, and Skalen	2013	Control and Power in Online Consumer Tribes: The Role of Confessions	Research in Consumer Behavior
Huff	2011	Buying the Girlfriend Experience: An Exploration of the Consumption Experiences of Male Customers of Escorts	Research in Consumer Behavior
Kelleher, Whalley, and Helkkula	2011	Collaborative Value Co-Creation in Crowd-Sourced Online Communities – Acknowledging and Resolving Competing Commercial and Communal Orientations	Research in Consumer Behavior
Knudsen and Kjeldgaard	2014	Online Reception Analysis: Big Data in Qualitative Marketing Research	Research in Consumer Behavior
Kretz and De Valck	2010	"Pixelize me!": Digital storytelling and the creation of archetypal myths through explicit and implicit self-brand association in fashion and luxury blogs	Research in Consumer Behavior
Lindblad and Ostberg	2011	The Cultural Role of Stigmatized Youth Groups: The Case of the Partille Johnnys of Sweden	Research in Consumer Behavior
Schwob and De Valck	2010	Better understanding construction of the self in daily contingencies: an investigation of the materiality of consumption experiences in online discussion forums	Research in Consumer Behavior
Strandby and Askegaard	2013	Weddings as Waste	Research in Consumer Behavior
Weijo and Rintamaki	2014	Hold the Line! Exploring the Brand Community Coping Process	Research in Consumer Behavior
Hallier Willi, Melewar, and Broderick	2013	Virtual brand-communities using blogs as communication platforms and their impact on the two-step communication process: A research agenda	The Marketing Review
Brett, Olekalns, Friedman, Goates, Anderson, and Lisco	2007	Sticks and Stones: Language, Face, and Online Dispute Resolution	Academy of Management Journal
Avery, Resnick, and Zeckhauser	1999	The Market for Evaluations	American Economic Review
Chen, Harper, Konstan, and Li	2010	Social Comparisons and Contributions to Online Communities: A Field Experiment on MovieLens	American Economic Review
Lewis	2011	Asymmetric Information, Adverse Selection and Online Disclosure: The Case of eBay Motors	American Economic Review
Mayzlin, Dover, and Chevalier	2014	Promotional Reviews: An Empirical Investigation of Online Review Manipulation	American Economic Review
Berthon, Pitt, and Campbell	2008	Ad Lib: When customers create the ad	California Management Review
Brown and Morgan	2006	Reputation in Online Auctions: The Market for Trust	California Management Review
Kolk, Lee, and Van Dolen Porter, Donthu, MacElroy, and Wydra	2012 2011	A Fat Debate on Big Food? Unraveling blogosphere reactions How to Foster and Sustain Engagement in Virtual Communities	California Management Review  California Management Review
Sicilia and Palazon	2008	Brand communities on the internet: A case study of Coca-Cola's Spanish virtual community	Corporate Communications: An International Journal
Kozinets	1999	E-Tribalized Marketing? The Strategic Implications of Virtual Communities of Consumption	European Management Journal
Leeflang, Verhoef, Dahlstrom and Freundt	2014	Challenges and solutions for marketing in a digital era	European Management Journal
Sabate, Berbegal-Mirabent, Canabate, and Lebherz	2014	Factors influencing popularity of branded content in Facebook fan pages	European Management Journal
Aral	2013	What Would Ashton Do— and Does It Matter?	Harvard Business Review
Ariely	2007	The Customers' Revenge	Harvard Business Review
Armstrong and Hagel	1996	The real value of on-line communities	Harvard Business Review
Barwise and Meehan	2010	The One Thing You Must Get Right When Building a Brand	Harvard Business Review
Dholakia and Durham	2010	One Café Chain's Facebook Experiment	Harvard Business Review
Dye	2000	The Buzz on Buzz	Harvard Business Review
Fournier and Lee	2009	Getting Brand Communities Right	Harvard Business Review
Seijts Sevitt and Samuel	2014	When the Twitterverse Turns on You  How Pinterest Puts People in Stores	Harvard Business Review Harvard Business Review
Simester Simester	2013	When You Shouldn't Listen to Your Critics	Harvard Business Review

Simonson and Rosen	2014	What Marketers Misunderstand About Online Reviews	Harvard Business Review
Yang	2018	More Is Less: Only Moderate Polarized Online Product Reviews Can Affect Sales	International Journal of Business and Management
Eberle, Berens, and Li	2013	The Impact of Interactive Corporate Social Responsibility Communication on Corporate Reputation	Journal of Business Ethics
Gummerus, Liljander, and Sihlman	2015	Do Ethical Social Media Communities Pay Off? An Exploratory Study of the Ability of Facebook Ethical Communities to Strengthen Consumers' Ethical Consumption Behavior	Journal of Business Ethics
Lee, Van Dolen, and Kolk	2013	On the Role of Social Media in the 'Responsible' Food Business: Blogger Buzz on Health and Obesity Issues	Journal of Business Ethics
Meza and Park	2015	Organic Products in Mexico and South Korea on Twitter	Journal of Business Ethics
Alves Leal, Hor-Meyll, and de Paula Pessôa	2014	Influence of virtual communities in purchasing decisions: The participants' perspective	Journal of Business Research
Baldus, Voorhees, and Calantone	2015	Online brand community engagement: Scale development and validation	Journal of Business Research
Benedicktus	2011	The effects of 3rd party consensus information on service expectations and online trust	Journal of Business Research
Bianchi and Andrews	2015	Investigating marketing managers' perspectives on social media in Chile	Journal of Business Research
Braunsberger and Buckler	2011	What motivates consumers to participate in boycotts: Lessons from the ongoing Canadian seafood boycott	Journal of Business Research
Brodie, Ilic, Juric, and Hollebeek	2013	Consumer engagement in a virtual brand community: An exploratory analysis	Journal of Business Research
Capriello, Mason, Davis, and Crotts	2013	Farm tourism experiences in travel reviews: A cross-comparison of three alternative methods for data analysis	Journal of Business Research
Chan and Li	2013	Understanding consumer-to-consumer interactions in virtual communities: The salience of reciprocity	Journal of Business Research
Chang, Yu, and Lu	2015	Persuasive messages, popularity cohesion, and message diffusion in social media marketing	Journal of Business Research
Cheng and Ho	2015	Social influence's impact on reader perceptions of online reviews	Journal of Business Research
Chung	2011	Investigating the roles of online buzz for new product diffusion and its cross-country dynamics	Journal of Business Research
Elliot, Li, and Choi	2013	Understanding service quality in a virtual travel community environment	Journal of Business Research
Filieri	2015	What makes online reviews helpful? A diagnosticity-adoption framework to explain informational and normative influences in e-WOM	Journal of Business Research
Fong and Burton	2008	A cross-cultural comparison of electronic word-of-mouth and country- of-origin effects	Journal of Business Research
Gebauer, Fueller, and Pezzei	2013	The dark and the bright side of co-creation: Triggers of member behavior in online innovation communities	Journal of Business Research
Gruen, Osmonbekov, and Czaplewski	2006	eWOM: The impact of customer-to-customer online know-how exchange on customer value and loyalty	Journal of Business Research
Gunawan and Huarng	2015	Viral effects of social network and media on consumers' purchase intention	Journal of Business Research
Gupta and Harris	2010	How e-WOM recommendations influence product consideration and quality of choice: A motivation to process information perspective	Journal of Business Research
Hall-Phillips, Park, Chung, Anaza, and Rathod	2015	I (heart) social ventures: Identification and social media engagement	Journal of Business Research
Hamby, Daniloski, and Brinberg	2015	How consumer reviews persuade through narratives	Journal of Business Research
Healy and McDonagh	2013	Consumer roles in brand culture and value co-creation in virtual communities	Journal of Business Research
Hu, Liu, Tripathy, and Yao	2011	Value relevance of blog visibility	Journal of Business Research
Jeong, Paek, and Lee	2013	Corporate social responsibility effects on social network sites  Emotional expressions in online user reviews: How they influence	Journal of Business Research
Kim and Gupta	2012	consumers' product evaluations  Do social media marketing activities enhance customer equity? An	Journal of Business Research
Kim and Ko	2012	empirical study of luxury fashion brand	Journal of Business Research
Lee, Lee, Kim, and Lee	2013	The moderating role of socio-semantic networks on online buzz diffusion  Internet blogs as a tourism marketing medium: A case study	Journal of Business Research  Journal of Business Research
Lin and Huang Lin, Wu, Li, and Cheng	2006	A fair reputation system for use in online auctions	Journal of Business Research  Journal of Business Research
Manes and Tchetchik	2013	The role of electronic word of mouth in reducing information	Journal of Business Research
		asymmetry: An empirical investigation of online hotel booking	
Martin and Lueg Megehee and Spake	2013 2012	Modeling word-of-mouth usage Consumer enactments of archetypes using luxury brands	Journal of Business Research Journal of Business Research
Nambisan and Watt	2011	Managing customer experiences in online product communities	Journal of Business Research
Nelson and Otnes	2005	Exploring cross-cultural ambivalence: a netnography of intercultural wedding message boards	Journal of Business Research
Park and Lee	2009	Information direction, website reputation and eWOM effect: A moderating role of product type	Journal of Business Research
Raïes, Mühlbacher, and Gavard-Perret	2015	Consumption community commitment: Newbies' and longstanding members' brand engagement and loyalty	Journal of Business Research
Townsend, Neal, and Morgan	2019	The impact of the mere presence of social media share icons on product interest and valuation	Journal of Business Research
Tsang and Zhou	2005	Newsgroup participants as opinion leaders and seekers in online and offline communication environments	Journal of Business Research
Vallaster and Von Wallpach	2013	An online discursive inquiry into the social dynamics of multi- stakeholder brand meaning co-creation	Journal of Business Research
Wang, Ting, and Wu	2013	Discovering interest groups for marketing in virtual communities: An integrated approach	Journal of Business Research
	1		1

Weijo, Hietanen, and Mattila	2014	New insights into online consumption communities and netnography	Journal of Business Research
Weinberg and Davis	2005	Exploring the WOW in online-auction feedback	Journal of Business Research
Wu	2015	Facebook users' intentions in risk communication and food-safety issues	Journal of Business Research
Wu, Chen, Chen, and Cheng	2014	Perceived value, transaction cost, and repurchase-intention in online shopping: A relational exchange perspective	Journal of Business Research
Yang and Mai	2010	Experiential goods with network externalities effects: An empirical study of online rating system	Journal of Business Research
Zaglia	2013	Brand communities embedded in social networks	Journal of Business Research
Zhang, Craciun, and Shin	2010	When does electronic word-of-mouth matter? A study of consumer product reviews	Journal of Business Research
Zhou, Zhang, Su, and Zhou	2012	How do brand communities generate brand relationships? Intermediate mechanisms	Journal of Business Research
Aperjis and Johari	2010	Optimal Windows for Aggregating Ratings in Electronic Marketplaces	Management Science
Aral and Walker	2011	Creating Social Contagion Through Viral Product Design: A Randomized Trial of Peer Influence in Networks	Management Science
Archak, Ghose, and Ipeirotis	2011	Deriving the Pricing Power of Product Features by Mining Consumer Reviews	Management Science
Chen and Xie	2008	Online Consumer Review: Word-of-Mouth as a New Element of Marketing Communication Mix	Management Science
Choi, Bell, and Lodish	2012	Traditional and IS-Enabled Customer Acquisition on the Internet	Management Science
Das and Chen	2007	Yahoo! For Amazon: Sentiment extraction from small talk on the web The Digitization of Word of Mouth: Promise and Challenges of Online	Management Science
Dellarocas	2003	Feedback Mechanisms	Management Science
Dellarocas	2006	Strategic Manipulation of Internet Opinion Forums: Implications for Consumers and Firms	Management Science
Dellarocas and Wood	2008	The Sound of Silence in Online Feedback: Estimating Trading Risks in the Presence of Reporting Bias	Management Science
Ghose, Ipeirotis, and Li	2014	Examining the Impact of Ranking on Consumer Behavior and Search Engine Revenue	Management Science
Gopinath, Chintagunta, and Venkataraman	2013	Blogs, Advertising, and Local-Market Movie Box-Office Performance	Management Science
Lee, Hosanagar, and Tan	2015	Do I Follow My Friends or the Crowd? Information Cascades in Online Movie Ratings	Management Science
Lehman, Kovács, and Carroll	2014	Conflicting Social Codes and Organizations: Hygiene and Authenticity in Consumer Evaluations of Restaurant	Management Science
Lu, Jerath, and Singh	2014	The Emergence of Opinion Leaders in a Networked Online Community: A Dyadic Model with Time Dynamics and a Heuristic for Fast Estimation	Management Science
Porter and Donthu	2008	Cultivating Trust and Harvesting Value in Virtual Communities	Management Science
Shriver, Nair, and Hofstetter	2013	Social Ties and User-Generated Content: Evidence from an Online Social Network	Management Science
Sun	2012	How Does the Variance of Product Ratings Matter?	Management Science
Ba and Pavlou	2002	Evidence of the effect of trust building technology in electronic markets: price premiums and buyer behavior	MIS Quarterly
Chau and Xu	2012	Business intelligence in blogs: understanding consumer interactions and communities	MIS Quarterly
Dewan and Ramaprasad	2014	Social media, traditional media, and music sales	MIS Quarterly
Duan, Gu, and Whinston	2009	Informational cascades and software adoption on the internet: an empirical investigation	MIS Quarterly
Ghose	2009	Internet exchanges for used goods: an empirical analysis of trade patterns and adverse selection	MIS Quarterly
Jabr and Zheng	2014	Know yourself and know your enemy: an analysis of firm recommendations and consumer reviews in a competitive environment	MIS Quarterly
Li and Hitt	2010	Price effects in online product reviews: an analytical model and empirical analysis	MIS Quarterly
Ludwig, De Ruyter, Mahr, Wetzels, Brüggen, and De Ruyck	2014	Take their word for it: the symbolic role of linguistic style matches in user communities	MIS Quarterly
Mudambi and Schuff	2010	What makes a helpful online review? A study of customer reviews on amazon.com	MIS Quarterly
Oestreicher-Singer and Zalmanson	2013	Content or community? A digital business strategy for content providers in the social age	MIS Quarterly
Orlikowski and Scott	2015	The algorithm and the crowd: considering the materiality of service innovation	MIS Quarterly
Ou, Pavlou, and Davison	2014	Swift guanxi in online marketplaces: the role of computer-mediated communication technologies	MIS Quarterly
Ren, Harper, Drenner, Terveen, Kiesler, Riedl, and Kraut	2012	Building member attachment in online communities: applying theories of group identity and interpersonal bonds	MIS Quarterly
Sahoo, Singh, and Mukhopadhyay	2012	A hidden Markov model for collaborative filtering	MIS Quarterly
Scott and Orlikowski	2014	Entanglements in practice: performing anonymity through social media	MIS Quarterly
Ye, Gao, and Viswanathan	2014	Strategic behavior in online reputation systems: evidence from revoking on ebay	MIS Quarterly
Yin, Bond, and Zhang	2014	Anxious or Angry? Effects of Discrete Emotions on the Perceived Helpfulness of Online Reviews	MIS Quarterly
Gallaugher and Ransbotham	2010	Social Media and Customer Dialog Management at Starbucks	MIS Quarterly Executive
Hansen and Sia	2015	Hummel's Digital Transformation Toward Omnichannel Retailing: Key Lessons Learned	MIS Quarterly Executive
Mandviwalla and Watson	2014	Generating Capital from Social Media	MIS Quarterly Executive
Piccoli and Ott	2014	Impact of Mobility and Timing on User-Generated Content	MIS Quarterly Executive

Dellarocas	2010	Online Reputation Systems: How to Design One That Does What You	MIT Sloan
		Need The Determinants of Online Review Informativeness: Evidence from	
Fradkin, Grewal, and Holz	2018	Field Experiments on Airbnb	MIT Sloan
Hoffman and Fodor	2010	Can You Measure the ROI of Your Social Media Marketing	MIT Sloan MIT Sloan
Malhotra, Kubowicz Malhotra, and See Malhotra, Kubowicz Malhotra, and See	2012	How to Get Your Messages Retweeted  How to Create Brand Engagement on Facebook	MIT Sloan
McWilliam	2000	Building stronger brands through online communities	MIT Sloan
Tripp and Gregoire	2011	When Unhappy Customers Strike Back on the Internet	MIT Sloan
Miller, Fabian, and Lin	2009	Strategies for online communities	Strategic Management Journal
Kim, Sung, and Kang	2014	Brand followers' retweeting behavior on Twitter: How brand relationships influence brand electronic word-of-mouth	Computers in Human Behavior
Luan, Shan, Wang, and Xiao	2019	How easy-to-process information influences consumers over time: Online review vs. brand popularity	Computers in Human Behavior
Ye, Law, Gu, and Chen	2011	The influence of user-generated content on traveler behavior: An empirical investigation on the effects of e-word-of-mouth to hotel online bookings	Computers in Human Behavior
Abrahams, Jiao, Fan, Wang, and Zhang	2013	What's buzzing in the blizzard of buzz? Automotive component isolation in social media postings	Decision Support Systems
Abrahams, Jiao, Wang, and Fan	2012	Vehicle defect discovery from social media	Decision Support Systems
Ba and Wang Bai	2013	Digital health communities: The effect of their motivation mechanisms  Predicting consumer sentiments from online text	Decision Support Systems
		Finding disseminators via electronic word of mouth message for	Decision Support Systems
Bao and Chang	2014	effective marketing communications	Decision Support Systems
Bao and Chang	2014	Why Amazon uses both the New York Times Best Seller List and customer reviews: An empirical study of multiplier effects on product sales from multiple earned media	Decision Support Systems
Bauer and Nanopoulos	2014	Recommender systems based on quantitative implicit customer feedback	Decision Support Systems
Bock, Lee, Kuan, and Kim	2012	The progression of online trust in the multi-channel retailer context and the role of product uncertainty	Decision Support Systems
Cai, Lau, Liao, Li, Leung, and Ma	2014	Object typicality for effective Web of Things recommendations	Decision Support Systems
Cao, Duan, and Gan	2011	Exploring determinants of voting for the "helpfulness" of online user reviews: A text mining approach	Decision Support Systems
Castillo, Meer, and Castellanos	2018	ExUP recommendations: Inferring user's product metadata preferences from single-criterion rating systems	Decision Support Systems
Chang, Tsai, Wong, Wang, and Cho	2015	The effects of response strategies and severity of failure on consumer attribution with regard to negative word-of-mouth	Decision Support Systems
Cheung and Lee	2012	What drives consumers to spread electronic word of mouth in online consumer-opinion platforms	Decision Support Systems
Cheung and Thadani	2012	The impact of electronic word-of-mouth communication: A literature analysis and integrative model	Decision Support Systems
Cheung, Xiao, and Liu	2014	Do actions speak louder than voices? The signaling role of social information cues in influencing consumer purchase decisions	Decision Support Systems
De Valck, Van Bruggen, and Wierenga	2009	Virtual communities: A marketing perspective	Decision Support Systems
Du, Huang, and Li	2013	Can online trading survive bad-mouthing? An experimental	Decision Support Systems
Duan, Gu, and Whinston	2008	investigation  Do online reviews matter?—An empirical investigation of panel data	Decision Support Systems
Fang, Guo, and Zhang	2015	Multi-faceted trust and distrust prediction for recommender systems	Decision Support Systems
Gao, Hu, and Bose	2016	Follow the herd or be myself? An analysis of consistency in behavior	Decision Support Systems
Garfinkel, Gopal, Pathak, and Yin	2008	of reviewers and helpfulness of their reviews  Shopbot 2.0: Integrating recommendations and promotions with	Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems
Goes, Tu, and Tung	2013	comparison shopping  Seller heterogeneity in electronic marketplaces: A study of new and	Decision Support Systems
		experienced sellers in eBay	
Gu, Tang, and Whinston	2013	The influence of online word-of-mouth on long tail formation  Optimal decision making for online referral marketing	Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems
Guo	2012	Optimal decision making for online referral marketing  Alike people, alike interests? Inferring interest similarity in online	** *
Han, Wang, Crespi, Park, and Cuevas	2015	Social networks The time-varying nature of social media sentiments in modeling stock	Decision Support Systems
Ho, Damien, Gu, and Konana	2017	returns Understanding the determinants of online review helpfulness: A meta-	Decision Support Systems
Hong, Xu, Wang, and Fan	2017	Manipulation in digital word-of-mouth: A reality check for book	Decision Support Systems
Hu, Bose, Gao, and Liu	2011	Manipulation in digital word-of-mount: A reality check for book reviews  Manipulation of online reviews: An analysis of ratings, readability,	Decision Support Systems
Hu, Bose, Koh, and Liu	2012	and sentiments	Decision Support Systems
Hu, Koh, and Reddy	2014	Ratings lead you to the product, reviews help you clinch it? The mediating role of online review sentiments on product sales	Decision Support Systems
Hu, Liu, and Sambamurthy	2011	Fraud detection in online consumer reviews	Decision Support Systems
Jiang, Chen, Nunamaker, and Zimbra	2014	Analyzing firm-specific social media and market: A stakeholder-based event analysis framework	Decision Support Systems
Josang, Ismail, and Boyd	2007	A survey of trust and reputation systems for online service provision	Decision Support Systems
Klang	2001	Who do you trust? Beyond encryption, secure e-business	Decision Support Systems
Ku, Wei, and Hsiao	2012	To whom should I listen? Finding reputable reviewers in opinion-sharing communities	Decision Support Systems
Lau, Li, and Liao	2014	Social analytics: Learning fuzzy product ontologies for aspect-oriented sentiment analysis	Decision Support Systems
Lee, Lee, and Shin	2011	The long tail or the short tail: The category-specific impact of eWOM on sales distribution	Decision Support Systems
Lee, Yang, Tsai, and Lai	2014	Discovering content-based behavioral roles in social networks	Decision Support Systems

Li and Du 2014   Series on the merce for word of rowed for word of-mouth marketing in online social blogs   Decision Support Systems   Li and Lia 2014   A social approach marketing in online social blogs   Decision Support Systems   Li and Lia 2013   Policy   Decision Support Systems   Li and Will 2012   Admitted to the control of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Who is tallying? An antalogy based aninion landon identification	
Li and Lai Li and Li Li and Shale Deriving market intelligence from microthogs Li and Shale Li Chene Libor, and Li and Li Li Li and Li				
La and Lia				
James   2010   Admission mechanism for social advertising over microblogs   Decision Support Systems			the micro-blogosphere	
Li and Wu  14. Chen, Lou, and Lin  2014  2015  2014  2015  2014  2016  2014  2016  2017  2018  2018  2019  2018  2019  2019  2018  2019  2				
Addition and forecast   Li, Wang, and Liang   2014   Commerce   Decision Support Systems   Decision			Using text mining and sentiment analysis for online forums hotspot	**
Li, Wing, and Liang 2014 A multi-theoretical lerner-based approach to social network-based Link, Wit, and Lian 2013 Special Properties of the commendation of special network-based approach to social network-based Link, Wit, and Lian 2013 Special Probabilities Markin Featuration with Social Relations and Decision Support Systems Decision Support Systems Properties of Informational Relations on Commendation (Commendation Commendation) Properties of Informational Relations on Commendation (Commendation) Properties of Informational Relations on Commendation (Commendation) Properties on Commendation (Commendation) P				
Lin	, ,		A multi-theoretical kernel-based approach to social network-based	
Lin, Wu, and Lin  Lin, Wu, and Lin  Lin, Wu, and Lin  Lin, Wu, and Lin  Lin, Lo, Luo, Schatzberg, and Sia  2013  Ngo-Ye and Sinha  2014  Ngo-Ye and Sinha  2014  Piramuthu, Kapone, Zhou, and Manw  2012  Ngu, Pang, and Lin  2012  Ngu, Pang, and Lin  2013  Reyes and Rosso  2012  Reyes and Rosso  2015  Reyes and Rosso  2016  Reyes and Rosso  2017  Making objects described in merchant rating: Content analysis of consumer Pockison Support Systems Decision Support Systems Syste				
Lau, Lao, Schatzberg, and Sian  Lao, Lao, Schatzberg, and Sian  2013  Lao, Lao, Schatzberg, and Sian  2014  Ngo-Ye and Sinha  O'Leary  2011  Printmuchn, Kapoor, Zhou, and Manw  2012  Qiu, Pang, and Lim  2016  Qiu, Pang, and Lim  2017  Qiu, Pang, and Lim  2018  2018  Ages and Rosso  2011  Sandovykh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2019  Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2015  Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2015  Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2016  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalam  2017  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalam  2018  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalam  2018  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalam  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2014  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2015  Van Dam, and Farsinera  2016  Wang, San, Ma, Xu, and Gu  Wijahoven and Bloemen  2017  Wang, San, and Sun  2018  Wijahoven and Bloemen  2014  Wijahoven and Bloemen  2014  Wijahoven and Slon  2015  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2016  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2017  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2018  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2018  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalam  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2011  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2017  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2011  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2011  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2011  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2012  Faceted product search powered by the Senantic Web  2015  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2016  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2017  Sondowskh, Sundaram, and Printmutha  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2010  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2017  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2018  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2019  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2010	Lin	2014	commerce	Decision Support Systems
Decision Support Systems   Decision Support Sy	Liu, Wu, and Liu	2013	Item Contents for Recommendation	Decision Support Systems
Ngo-Ye and Sinha O'Leary 2011 Blog mining-review and extensions: "From each according to his opening of the property of the pr	Luo, Luo, Schatzberg, and Sia	2013	Impact of informational factors on online recommendation credibility: The moderating role of source credibility	Decision Support Systems
Piramuthu, Kapoor, Zhou, and Maw 2012 Effects of conflicting aggregated rating on eWOM review credibility and diagnosticity. The moderating role of review valence of review review belptitudes. The review of review review belptitudes of review valence of review val	Ngo-Ye and Sinha	2014	The influence of reviewer engagement characteristics on online review	Decision Support Systems
Piramuthu, Kapoor, Zhou, and Maiw   2012   Input online review data and related bias in ecommender systems   Decision Support Systems   Qiu, Pang, and Lim   2012   2008	O'Leary	2011	Blog mining-review and extensions: "From each according to his	Decision Support Systems
Qu. Zhang, and Lin  Qu. Zhang, and Li  Decision Support Systems  Decision Suppo	Piramuthu, Kapoor, Zhou, and Mauw	2012		Decision Support Systems
Qu. Zhang, and Li         2008         Determinants of online merchant rating: Content analysis of consumer         Decision Support Systems           Reyes and Rosso         2012         Making objective decisions from subjective data: Detecting trony in cistomer roviews         Decision Support Systems           Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu         2015         Do decision-making structure and sequence exist in health online social networks support decision-making?         Decision Support Systems           Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu         2015         Do decision-making structure and sequence exist in health online social networks.         Decision Support Systems           Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu         2015         Do decision-making structure and sequence exist in health online social networks.         Decision Support Systems           Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan         2015         Colline profiling and clustering of Facebook users         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Chan, and Sun         2015         Tarnstition of electronic word-of-mouth services from web to mobile context. A trust transfer perspective         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu         2014         Stentimen classification. The contribution of ensemble learning         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Bang, and Liu         2016         Store survival in online markerplace. An empirical investigation         Decision Support Systems           Wu, Wu, San, and Yang	Qiu, Pang, and Lim	2012		Decision Support Systems
Reyes and Rosso  2012  Making objective descisons from subjective data: Detecting irony in Customer reviews  Number of Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Priamuthu  2015  Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Priamuthu  2015  Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Priamuthu  2015  Seiring, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan  2018  Sephalining and predicting online review helpfulness: The role of content and reviewer-related signals  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Sys	Qu, Zhang, and Li	2008	Determinants of online merchant rating: Content analysis of consumer comments about Yahoo merchants	Decision Support Systems
Rui, Liu, and Whinston   2013   Whose and What Chatter Matters? The Effect of Tweets on Movie   Sales   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales   Sales   Sales   Sales   Decision Support Systems   Sales	Reyes and Rosso	2012	Making objective decisions from subjective data: Detecting irony in	Decision Support Systems
Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu   2015   So online social networks support decision-making?   Decision Support Systems   Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu   2015   Social networks?   Do decision-making structure and sequence exist in health online   Decision Support Systems   Social networks?   Social networks.   Social networks.   Social networks.   Social networks   Soci	Rui, Liu, and Whinston	2013		Decision Support Systems
Sacovykn, Sundaram, and Priamutna  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan  2018  Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan  2018  Explaining and predicting online review helpfulness: The role of content and reviewer-related signals  Van Dam and Van de Velden  2015  Online profiling and clustering of Facebook users  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Sys	Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu	2015	Do online social networks support decision-making?	Decision Support Systems
Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan 2018 Explaining and predicting online review helpfulness: The role of content and reviewer-teled signals Vandex, Van Dam, and Frasincar 2012 Faceted products each powered by the Senantic Web Wang, Shen, and Sun Wang, Shen, and Sun Wang, Shen, and Sun Wang, Shen, and Sun Wang, Shen, and Gu Wang, Shen, and Gu Wang, Shen, and Gu Wang, Shen, and Gu Wang, Shen, Shen Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu Wang, Shen, and Chau 2013 Sorie survival in online marketplace: An empirical investigation External validity of sentiment mining reports: Can current methods identify demographic biases, event biases, and manipulation of reviews? Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang 2013 User reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets Xu, Chen, and Santhanam 2015 Verewiew and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets Xu, Liao, Li, and Song 2011 Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Yang, Hu, and Zhang 2007 Grossumer auction market Ye, Cheng, and Fang 2013 Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Yu, Duan, and Cao 2013 Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-consumer-t auction market Yu, Duan, and Cao 2014 Elearning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-consumer-t auction market Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung 2014 Filtering trust opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A pecision Support Systems Decision Support Systems Dec	Sadovykh, Sundaram, and Piramuthu	2015		Decision Support Systems
Van Dam and Van de Velden         2015         Online profiling and clustering of Facebook users         Decision Support Systems           Vandic, Van Dam, and Frasincar         2012         Faceted product search powered by the Semantic Web         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Shen, and Sun         2013         Faceted product search powered by the Semantic Web         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu         2014         Sentiment classification: The contribution of ensemble learning         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Wang, Fang, and Chau         2013         Store survival in online marketylace: An empirical investigation         Decision Support Systems           Wij, Wang, Sang, and Liu         2016         Towards building a high-quality microblog-specific Chinese sentiment lexicon         Decision Support Systems           Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang         2013         User reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Chen, and Santhanam         2015         Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews? Presentation format and the role of product type         Decision Support Systems           Yang, Hu, and Zhang         2013         Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence         Decision Support Systems           Yoo, Sanders, and Moon         2013         Effects of a reputation fe	Siering, Muntermann, and Rajagopalan	2018	Explaining and predicting online review helpfulness: The role of	Decision Support Systems
Wang, Shen, and Sun         2013         Transition of electronic word-of-mouth services from web to mobile context. A trust transfer perspective perspective with the contribution of ensemble learning         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu         2014         Sentiment classification: The contribution of ensemble learning         Decision Support Systems           Wang, Wang, Fang, and Chau         2013         Store survival in online marketplace: An empirical investigation         Decision Support Systems           Will Huang, Song, and Liu         2016         Towards building a high-quality microblog-specific Chinese sentiment lexicon         Decision Support Systems           Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang         2013         User reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers willingness-to-pay in online markets         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Chen, and Santhanam         2015         Miling comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Liao, Li, and Song         2011         Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence         Decision Support Systems           Yang, Hu, and Zhang         2007         Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market         Decision Support Systems           Yo, Sanders, and Moon         2013         Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces         Decision			Online profiling and clustering of Facebook users	
Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu 2014 Sentement classification: The contribution of ensemble learning Wang, Sun, Ma, Xu, and Gu 2014 Wijnhoven and Bloemen 2014 Wijnhoven and Bloemen 2016 Wijnhoven and Bloemen 2016 Wu, Huang, Song, and Liu 2016 Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang 2013 Use reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets Xu, Chen, and Santhanam 2015 Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews? Yung, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang 2010 Wing, Wang, Anna Moon 2011 Wing Comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Yang, Hu, and Zhang 2013 Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online markets Ye, Cheng, and Fang 2013 Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Yu, Duan, and Cao 2013 Yu, Duan, and Cao 2014 Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung 2015 Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin 2016 Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin 2017 Zheng, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee 2018 Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee 2019 Zheng, Zhu, and Lin 2010 Zheng, Zhu, and Lin 2011 Zearling from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces A sentiment analysis approach Decision Support Systems Decision Support Sy				** *
Wang, Wang, Fang, and Chau         2013         Store survival in online marketplace: An empirical investigation         Decision Support Systems           Wijnhoven and Bloemen         2014         External validity of sentiment mining reports: Can current methods identify demographic biases, even biases, and manipulation of reviews?         Decision Support Systems           Wu, Huang, Song, and Liu         2016         Towards building a high-quality microblog-specific Chinese sentiment lexicon         Decision Support Systems           Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang         2013         User reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Chen, and Santhanam         2015         Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews?         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Liao, Li, and Song         2011         Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence         Decision Support Systems           Yang, Hu, and Zhang         2007         Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market         Decision Support Systems           Ye, Cheng, and Fang         2013         Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces         Decision Support Systems           Yo, Sanders, and Moon         2013         Exporting the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce         Decision Support Systems			context: A trust transfer perspective	
External validity of sentiment mining reports: Can current methods identify demographic biases, event biases, and manipulation of reviews?				
Becision Support Systems   Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang   2013   User reviews and uncertainty assessment: A two stage model of consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets   Decision Support Systems   Xu, Chen, and Santhanam   2015   Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews?   Presentation format and the role of product type   Decision Support Systems   Xu, Liao, Li, and Song   2011   Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive   Intelligence   Intelligence   Decision Support Systems   Yang, Hu, and Zhang   2007   Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market   Decision Support Systems   Ye, Cheng, and Fang   2013   Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces   Decision Support Systems   Ye, Ohang, and Cao   2013   Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce   Decision Support Systems   Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung   2014   Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning   Decision Support Systems   Decision Support Systems   Peedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated   Decision Support Systems   Decision			External validity of sentiment mining reports: Can current methods identify demographic biases, event biases, and manipulation of	
Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang         2013         consumers' willingness-to-pay in online markets         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Chen, and Santhanam         2015         Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews? Presentation format and the role of product type         Decision Support Systems           Xu, Liao, Li, and Song         2011         Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence         Decision Support Systems           Yang, Hu, and Zhang         2007         Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market         Decision Support Systems           Ye, Cheng, and Fang         2013         Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online market-places         Decision Support Systems           Yoo, Sanders, and Moon         2013         Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce of commerce         Decision Support Systems           Yu, Duan, and Cao         2013         The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach         Decision Support Systems           Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung         2014         Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks         Decision Support Systems           Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin         2013         Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision Support Systems         Decision Support Systems           Zheng, Zhu, and L	Wu, Huang, Song, and Liu	2016		Decision Support Systems
Xu, Chen, and Santhanam2015Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews? Presentation format and the role of product typeDecision Support SystemsXu, Liao, Li, and Song2011Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive IntelligenceDecision Support SystemsYang, Hu, and Zhang2007Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction marketDecision Support SystemsYe, Cheng, and Fang2013Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplacesDecision Support SystemsYoo, Sanders, and Moon2013Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerceDecision Support SystemsYu, Duan, and Cao2013The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approachDecision Support SystemsYu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung2014Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learningDecision Support SystemsZadeh and Sharda2014Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networksDecision Support SystemsZhang, Prater, and Lipkin2013Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approachDecision Support SystemsZheng, Zhu, and Lin2014Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic modelDecision Support SystemsZheng, Zhu, and Lin2013Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce:Decision Support SystemsZiegler and Golbeck2007Investigating interacti	Wu, Wu, Sun, and Yang	2013		Decision Support Systems
Yang, Hu, and Zhang  2007  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market  Ye, Cheng, and Fang  2013  Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces  Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce  Yu, Duan, and Cao  2013  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  2014  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  2014  Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Su	V. Cl. 10 1	2015	Will video be the next generation of e-commerce product reviews?	Decision Comment Contact
consumer auction market Ye, Cheng, and Fang 2013 Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Yoo, Sanders, and Moon 2013 Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce commerce Commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda 2014 Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Decision Support Systems Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Decision Support Systems Pedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Decision Support Systems Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic model Decision Support Systems Decision Support Systems Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach  Ziegler and Golbeck 2007 Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity Decision Support Systems Decision Support Systems Two Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Decision Support Systems Two Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Decision Support Systems Decision Support Systems Two Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Decision Support Systems Decision Suppo	Xu, Chen, and Santhanam	2013	1 rescritation format and the role of product type	Decision Support Systems
Yoo, Sanders, and Moon  2013 Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e- commerce  Yu, Duan, and Cao  2013 The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  2014 Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning  Zadeh and Sharda  2014 Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks  Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin  2013 Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach  Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  2014 Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision- making: A heuristic-systematic model  Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi- supervised approach  Zingler and Golbeck  2007 Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity  Decision Support Systems  2016 Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android  3017 Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android  3018 Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer  2019 Electronic Commerce Resea and product type matter?  What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review  Electronic Commerce Resea Electronic Commerce Resea Electronic Commerce Resea	., ,		Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive	
Yoo, Sanders, and Moon  2013 Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e- commerce  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  Zadeh and Sharda  2014 Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning  Decision Support Systems  Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Pecision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decis	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song	2011	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-	Decision Support Systems
Yu, Duan, and Cao2013The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approachDecision Support SystemsYu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung2014Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learningDecision Support SystemsZadeh and Sharda2014Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networksDecision Support SystemsZhang, Prater, and Lipkin2013Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approachDecision Support SystemsZhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee2014Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic modelDecision Support SystemsZheng, Zhu, and Lin2013Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approachDecision Support SystemsZiegler and Golbeck2007Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarityDecision Support SystemsZimbra, Saranges, Jindal2017Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. AndroidDecision Support SystemsBae and Lee2011Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviewsElectronic Commerce ResearedTsao and Hsieh2015What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of reviewElectronic Commerce ResearedWang, Wang, and Yao2019What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of reviewElectronic Commerce Researed	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang	2011	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market  Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in	Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems
Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung2014Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learningDecision Support SystemsZadeh and Sharda2014Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networksDecision Support SystemsZhang, Prater, and Lipkin2013Feedback reviews and bidding in online acutions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approachDecision Support SystemsZhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee2014Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic modelDecision Support SystemsZheng, Zhu, and Lin2013Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approachDecision Support SystemsZiegler and Golbeck2007Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarityDecision Support SystemsZimbra, Saranges, Jindal2017Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. AndroidDecision Support SystemsBae and Lee2011Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviewsElectronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?Wang, Wang, and Yao2019What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristicsElectronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song  Yang, Hu, and Zhang  Ye, Cheng, and Fang	2011 2007 2013	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-	Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems
Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin2013Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approachDecision Support SystemsZhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee2014Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic modelDecision Support SystemsZheng, Zhu, and Lin2013Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approachDecision Support SystemsZiegler and Golbeck2007Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarityDecision Support SystemsZimbra, Saranges, Jindal2017Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. AndroidDecision Support SystemsBae and Lee2011Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviewsElectronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?Tsao and Hsieh2015What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of reviewElectronic Commerce Research and product type matter?Wang, Wang, and Yao2019What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of reviewElectronic Commerce Research and product type matter?	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon	2011 2007 2013 2013	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market  Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces  Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A	Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems  Decision Support Systems
Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision- making: A heuristic-systematic model	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning	Decision Support Systems
Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  Zheng, Zhu, and Lin  Ziegler and Golbeck  Ziegler and Golbeck  Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal  Bae and Lee  Zol1  Tsao and Hsieh  Zol15  Zol16  Zol17  Zol17  Zol17  Zol18  Zol18  Zol17  Zol18  Zol18  Zol19  Zol10	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks	Decision Support Systems
Zheng, Zhu, and Lin     2013     Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach     Decision Support Systems       Ziegler and Golbeck     2007     Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity     Decision Support Systems       Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal     2017     Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of ioS vs. Android     Decision Support Systems       Bae and Lee     2011     Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews     Electronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?       Tsao and Hsieh     2015     eWOM persuasiveness: do eWOM platforms and product type matter?     Electronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?       Wang, Wang, and Yao     2019     What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics     Electronic Commerce Researed and product type matter?	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2014	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market  Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces  Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning  Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks  Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach	Decision Support Systems
Ziegler and Golbeck     2007     Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity     Decision Support Systems       Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal     2017     Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android     Decision Support Systems       Bae and Lee     2011     Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews     Electronic Commerce Researed       Tsao and Hsieh     2015     eWOM persuasiveness: do eWOM platforms and product type matter?     Electronic Commerce Researed       Wang, Wang, and Yao     2019     What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics     Electronic Commerce Researed	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2014	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic–systematic model	Decision Support Systems
Bae and Lee 2011 Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews Electronic Commerce Research Wang, Wang, and Yao 2019 What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review Electronic Commerce Research Electronic Comm	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2014 2013	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic—systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-	Decision Support Systems
Tsao and Hsieh  2015 eWOM persuasiveness: do eWOM platforms and product type matter?  Wang, Wang, and Yao  2019 What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics  Electronic Commerce Research	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song  Yang, Hu, and Zhang  Ye, Cheng, and Fang  Yoo, Sanders, and Moon  Yu, Duan, and Cao  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  Zadeh and Sharda  Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin  Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  Zheng, Zhu, and Lin	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2014 2013	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence  Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market  Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces  Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce  The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach  Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning  Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks  Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach  Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic model  Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce:  Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach  Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity	Decision Support Systems
Wang, Wang, and Yao  2015 and product type matter?  What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics  Electronic Commerce Research Commerce Resea	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee Zheng, Zhu, and Lin Ziegler and Golbeck	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2013 2014 2013 2014	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic–systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of	Decision Support Systems
Wang, Wang, and Yao  2019 What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics  Electronic Commerce Research	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song  Yang, Hu, and Zhang  Ye, Cheng, and Fang  Yoo, Sanders, and Moon  Yu, Duan, and Cao  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda  Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin  Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  Zheng, Zhu, and Lin  Ziegler and Golbeck  Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2013 2014 2013 2017	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic—systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer	Decision Support Systems
Collecting appropriate self-or in collect continue describe continue Direction D	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song Yang, Hu, and Zhang Ye, Cheng, and Fang Yoo, Sanders, and Moon Yu, Duan, and Cao Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung Zadeh and Sharda Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee Zheng, Zhu, and Lin Ziegler and Golbeck Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal Bae and Lee	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2013 2014 2013 2014 2017 2017	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic-systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews eWOM persuasiveness: do eWOM platforms and product type matter?	Decision Support Systems
Chang and Wong  Selecting appropriate sellers in online auctions through a multi- attribute reputation calculation method  Selecting appropriate sellers in online auctions through a multi- and Applications	Xu, Liao, Li, and Song  Yang, Hu, and Zhang  Ye, Cheng, and Fang  Yoo, Sanders, and Moon  Yu, Duan, and Cao  Yu, Shen, Miao, An, and Leung  Zadeh and Sharda  Zhang, Prater, and Lipkin  Zhang, Zhao, Cheung, and Lee  Zheng, Zhu, and Lin  Ziegler and Golbeck  Zimbra, Saranges, Jindal  Bae and Lee  Tsao and Hsieh	2011 2007 2013 2013 2013 2014 2014 2013 2014 2013 2014 2017 2017 2017	Mining comparative opinions from customer reviews for Competitive Intelligence Effects of a reputation feedback system on an online consumer-to-consumer auction market Learning from other buyers: The effect of purchase history records in online marketplaces Exploring the effect of e-WOM participation on e-Loyalty in e-commerce The impact of social and conventional media on firm equity value: A sentiment analysis approach Filtering trust opinions through reinforcement learning Modeling brand post popularity dynamics in online social networks Feedback reviews and bidding in online auctions: An integrated hedonic regression and fuzzy logic expert system approach Examining the influence of online reviews on consumers' decision-making: A heuristic—systematic model Capturing the essence of word-of-mouth for social commerce: Assessing the quality of online e-commerce reviews by a semi-supervised approach Investigating interactions of trust and interest similarity Movie Aspects, Tweet Metrics, and Movie Revenues: The Influence of iOS vs. Android Gender differences in consumers' perception of online consumer reviews eWOM persuasiveness: do eWOM platforms and product type matter? What makes a helpful online review? A meta-analysis of review characteristics	Decision Support Systems  Decision Comperce Research  Electronic Commerce Research

Г		Visualizing market structure through online product reviews: Integrate	Electronic Commerce Research
Chen, Kou, Shang, and Chen	2014	topic modeling, TOPSIS, and multi-dimensional scaling approaches	and Applications
Chen, Tang, Wu, and Jheng	2014	Predicting the influence of users' posted information for eWOM advertising in social networks	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Chu and Sung	2015	Using a consumer socialization framework to understand electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) group membership among brand followers on Twitter	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Clemons and Gao	2007	Consumer informedness and diverse consumer purchasing behaviors: Traditional mass-market, trading down, and trading out into the long tail	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Curty and Zhang	2013	Website features that gave rise to social commerce: a historical analysis	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Fang, Zhang, Bao, and Zhu	2013	Towards effective online review systems in the Chinese context: A cross-cultural empirical study	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Hsu and Lin	2014	What drives purchase intention for paid mobile apps? – An expectation confirmation model with perceived value	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Hsueh and Chen	2010	Sharing secure m-coupons for peer-generated targeting via eWOM communications	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Huang, Lin, and Lin	2008	Factors affecting pass-along email intentions (PAEIs): Integrating the social capital and social cognition theories	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Kim	2019	Does national culture explain consumers' reliance on online reviews?  Cross-cultural variations in the effect of online review ratings on consumer choice	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Koh, Hu, and Clemons	2010	Do online reviews reflect a product's true perceived quality? An investigation of online movie reviews across cultures	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Korfiatis, García-Bariocanal, and Sánchez-Alonso	2011	Evaluating content quality and helpfulness of online product reviews: The interplay of review helpfulness vs. review content	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Lee, Park, and Han	2008	The effect of negative online consumer reviews on product attitude: An information processing view	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Li, Lin, and Lai	2010	Identifying influential reviewers for word-of-mouth marketing	Electronic Commerce Research
Liu, He, Wang, Song, and Du	2012	Combining user preferences and user opinions for accurate	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Ma and Wei	2012	recommendation  Measuring the coverage and redundancy of information search services	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Mochalova and Nanopoulos	2014	on e-commerce platforms  A targeted approach to viral marketing	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Moody, Galletta, and Lowry	2014	When trust and distrust collide online: The engenderment and role of	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Park and Kim	2008	consumer ambivalence in online consumer behavior  The effects of consumer knowledge on message processing of	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Park and Lee	2008	electronic word-of-mouth via online consumer reviews eWOM overload and its effect on consumer behavioral intention	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
		depending on consumer involvement  The relationship between retailer-hosted and third-party hosted WOM	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Park, Gu, and Lee	2011	sources and their influence on retailer sales	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Peddibhotla	2012	Why different motives matter in sustaining online contributions  Can we get from liking to buying? Behavioral differences in hedonic	and Applications Electronic Commerce Research
Pöyry, Parvinen, and Malmivaara	2013	and utilitarian Facebook usage Perceived 'usefulness' of online consumer reviews: An exploratory	and Applications  Electronic Commerce Research
Racherla and Friske	2012	investigation across three services categories  Virtual travel communities and customer loyalty: Customer purchase	and Applications  Electronic Commerce Research
Sanchez-Franco and Rondan-Cataluña	2009	involvement and web site design	and Applications
Utz, Kerkhof, and Van den Bos	2011	Consumers rule: How consumer reviews influence perceived trustworthiness of online stores	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Wu, Li, and Kuo	2010	Reputation evaluation for choosing a trustworthy counterparty in C2C e-commerce	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Xu, Guo, Li, Lau, and Liao	2012	Discovering target groups in social networking sites: An effective method for maximizing joint influential power	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Yan, Wang, and Liu	2014	Research on the interactive effects of online scores	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Zhang, Bian, and Zhu	2012	Trust fraud: A crucial challenge for China's e-commerce market	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Zhou and Duan	2011	Online user reviews, product variety, and the long tail: An empirical investigation on online software downloads	Electronic Commerce Research and Applications
Albert, Aggarwal, and Hill	2014	Influencing customer's purchase intentions through firm participation in online consumer communities	Electronic Markets
Davis and Khazanchi	2008	An Empirical Study of Online Word of Mouth as a Predictor for Multi- product Category e-Commerce Sales	Electronic Markets
Helm	2000	Viral Marketing – Establishing Customer Relationships by Word-of- mouse	Electronic Markets
JONES AND RAFAELI	2000	Time to Split, Virtually: 'Discourse Architecture' and 'Community Building' Create Vibrant Virtual Publics	Electronic Markets
KOMIAK, KOMIAK, AND IMHOF	2008	Conducting International Business at eBay: The Determinants of Success of e-Stores	Electronic Markets
MACINNES	2005	Causes of Disputes in Online Auctions	Electronic Markets
Manthiou, Tang, and Bosselman	2014	Reason and reaction: the dual route of the decision-making process on Facebook fan pages	Electronic Markets
Peddibhotla	2013	How individuals choose topics to contribute at an online context	Electronic Markets
Zhang, Jansen, and Chowdhury	2011	Business engagement on Twitter: a path analysis	Electronic Markets

Huang and Yang	2011	The effects of electronic word-of-mouth messages, psychological endowment, and anticipated regret on online bidding behavior	Expert Systems with Applications
Ghose and Ipeirotis	2011	Estimating the helpfulness and economic impact of product reviews:	IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data
		Mining text and reviewer characteristics  Going Back in Time to Predict the Future - The Complex Role of the	Engineering
Stieglitz, Meske, Ross, and Mirbabaie	2018	Data Collection Period in Social Media Analytics	Information Systems Frontiers
Aggarwal, Gopal, Gupta, and Singh	2012	Putting Money Where the Mouths Are: The Relation Between Venture Financing and Electronic Word-of-Mouth	Information Systems Research
Chen, De, and Hu	2013	IT-Enabled Broadcasting in Social Media: An Empirical Study of Artists' Activities and Music Sales	Information Systems Research
Dewan and Ramaprasad	2012	Music Blogging, Online Sampling, and the Long Tail	Information Systems Research
Du, Das, Gopal, and Ramesh	2014	Optimal Management of Digital Content on Tiered Infrastructure Platforms	Information Systems Research
Forman, Ghose, and Wiesenfeld	2008	Examining the relationship between reviews and sales: The role of reviewer identity disclosure in electronic markets	Information Systems Research
Goes, Lin, and Au Yeung	2014	"Popularity Effect" in User-Generated Content: Evidence from Online Product Reviews	Information Systems Research
Gu, Konana, Raghunathan, and Chen	2014	The Allure of Homophily in Social Media: Evidence from Investor Responses on Virtual Communities	Information Systems Research
Gu, Park, and Konana	2012	The Impact of External Word-of-Mouth Sources on Retailer Sales of High-Involvement Products	Information Systems Research
He, Rui, and Whinston	2018	Social Media Strategies in Product-Harm Crises	Information Systems Research
Ho, Wu, and Tan	2017	Disconfirmation Effect on Online Rating Behavior: A Structural Model	Information Systems Research
Jiang and Guo Johnson, Safadi, and Faraj	2015	Design of Consumer Review Systems and Product Pricing The Emergence of Online Community Leadership	Information Systems Research Information Systems Research
Lappas, Sabnis, and Valkanas	2013	The Impact of Fake Reviews on Online Visibility: A Vulnerability	Information Systems Research
Zappus, Suoms, and randilas		Assessment of the Hotel Industry  Distinction and Status Production on User-Generated Content	mornadon Systems Research
Levina and Arriaga	2014	Platforms: Using Bourdieu's Theory of Cultural Production to Understand Social Dynamics in Online Fields	Information Systems Research
Li and Hitt	2008	Self-Selection and Information Role of Online Product Reviews	Information Systems Research
Liu, Feng, and Liao	2017	When Online Reviews Meet Sales Volume Information: Is More or Accurate Information Always Better?	Information Systems Research
Lu, Ba, Huang, and Feng	2013	Promotional Marketing or Word-of-Mouth? Evidence from Online Restaurant Reviews	Information Systems Research
Pavlou and Dimoka	2006	The nature and role of feedback text comments in online marketplaces: Implications for trust building, price premiums, and seller differentiation	Information Systems Research
Phang, Kankanhalli, and Tan	2015	What Motivates Contributors vs. Lurkers? An Investigation of Online Feedback Forums	Information Systems Research
Sabnis and Grewal	2015	Cable News Wars on the Internet: Competition and User-Generated Content	Information Systems Research
Yan and Tan	2014	Feeling Blue? Go Online: An Empirical Study of Social Support Among Patients	Information Systems Research
Yin, Mitra, and Zhang	2017	When Do Consumers Value Positive vs. Negative Reviews? An Empirical Investigation of Confirmation Bias in Online Word of Mouth	Information Systems Research
Zheng, Pavlou, and Gu	2014	Latent Growth Modeling for Information Systems: Theoretical Extensions and Practical Applications	Information Systems Research
Aljukhadar, Senecal, and Ouellette	2010	Can the Media Richness of a Privacy Disclosure Enhance Outcome? A Multifaceted View of Trust in Rich Media Environments	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Amblee and Bui	2008	Can Brand Reputation Improve the Odds of Being Reviewed On-Line?	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Amblee and Bui	2012	Harnessing the Influence of Social Proof in Online Shopping: The Effect of Electronic Word of Mouth on Sales of Digital Microproducts	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Baek, Ahn, and Choi	2012	Helpfulness of Online Consumer Reviews: Readers' Objectives and Review Cues	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Balasubramanian and Mahajan	2001	The Economic Leverage of the Virtual Community	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Baum and Spann	2014	The Interplay Between Online Consumer Reviews and Recommender Systems: An Experimental Analysis	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Benlian and Hess	2011	The Signaling Role of IT Features in Influencing Trust and Participation in Online Communities	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Casalo, Flavian, and Guinaliu	2011	Antecedents and Consequences of Consumer Participation in On-Line Communities: The Case of the Travel Sector	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Chang, Hsieh, and Lin	2013	Personality Traits That Lead Members of Online Brand Communities to Participate in Information Sending and Receiving	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Cheung, Luo, Sia, and Chen	2009	Credibility of Electronic Word-of-Mouth: Informational and Normative Determinants of On-line Consumer Recommendations	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Chu, Roh, and Park	2015	The Effect of the Dispersion of Review Ratings on Evaluations of Hedonic Versus Utilitarian Products	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Cui, Lui, and Guo	2012	The Effect of Online Consumer Reviews on New Product Sales	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Delort, Arunasalam, and Paris	2011	Automatic Moderation of Online Discussion Sites	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Fang	2014	Beyond the Credibility of Electronic Word of Mouth: Exploring eWOM Adoption on Social Networking Sites from Affective and Curiosity Perspectives	International Journal of Electronic Commerce

Constill Ind. 1871	2012	Product In continue to Outline Co. 1977 P. 1979	International Journal of
Garnefeld, Iseke, and Krebs	2012	Explicit Incentives in Online Communities: Boon or Bane?  Building Consumer-to-Consumer Trust in E-Finance Marketplaces: An	Electronic Commerce International Journal of
Greiner and Wang	2011	Empirical Analysis	Electronic Commerce
Hennig-Thurau and Walsh	2003	Electronic Word-of-Mouth: Motives for and Consequences of Reading Customer Articulations on the Internet	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Hill and Ready-Campbell	2011	Expert Stock Picker: The Wisdom of (Experts in) Crowds	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Huang and Korfiatis	2015	Trying Before Buying: The Moderating Role of Online Reviews in Trial Attitude Formation Toward Mobile Applications	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Kwon and Sung	2012	Shifting Selves and Product Reviews: How the Effects of Product Reviews Vary Depending on the Self-Views and Self-Regulatory Goals of Consumers	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Li, Berens, and De Maertelaere	2014	Corporate Twitter Channels: The Impact of Engagement and Informedness on Corporate Reputation	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Li, Huang, Tan, and Wei	2013	Helpfulness of Online Product Reviews as Seen by Consumers: Source and Content Features	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Li, Lin, and Chiu	2014	Enhancing Targeted Advertising with Social Context Endorsement	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Liang, Ho, Li, and Turban	2012	What Drives Social Commerce: The Role of Social Support and Relationship Quality	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Liu, Mehandjiev, and Xu	2013	Context Similarity Metric for Multidimensional Service Recommendation	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Luarn, Yang, and Chiu	2015	Why People Check in to Social Network Sites	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Muthitachareon, Barut, and Saeed	2014	The Role of Uncertainty Stemming from Product Monetary Value in Online Auctions: The Case of Search Goods	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Olbrich and Holsing	2012	Modeling Consumer Purchasing Behavior in Social Shopping Communities with Clickstream Data	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Pagani and Mirabello	2012	The Influence of Personal and Social-Interactive Engagement in Social TV Web Sites	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Park, Lee, and Han	2007	The Effect of Online Consumer Reviews on Consumer Purchasing Intention: The Moderating Role of Involvement	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Pentina, Gammoh, Zhang, and Mallin	2013	Drivers and Outcomes of Brand Relationship Quality in the Context of Online Social Networks	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Pousttchi and Hufenbach	2014	Engineering the Value Network of the Customer Interface and Marketing in the Data-Rich Retail Environment	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Purnawirawan, Dens, and De Pelsmacker	2013	Balance and Sequence in Online Reviews: The Wrap Effect	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Riedl, Blohm, Leimeister, and Krcmar	2013	The Effect of Rating Scales on Decision Quality and User Attitudes in Online Innovation Communities	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Shen, Huang, Chu, and Liao	2010	Virtual Community Loyalty: An Interpersonal-Interaction Perspective	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Shih, Lai, and Cheng	2013	Informational and Relational Influences on Electronic Word of Mouth:	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Xia	2013	An Empirical Study of an Online Consumer Discussion Forum Effects of Companies' Responses to Consumer Criticism in Social	International Journal of Electronic Commerce
Yang, Tang, Dai, Yang, and Jiang	2014	Media Identifying Implicit and Explicit Relationships Through User	International Journal of
Yen, Hsu, and Huang	2011	Activities in Social Media Good Soldiers on the Web: Understanding the Drivers of Participation	Electronic Commerce International Journal of
Davis and Agrawal	2018	in Online Communities of Consumption  Understanding the role of interpersonal identification in online review	Electronic Commerce International Journal of
He, Zha, and Li	2013	evaluation: An information processing perspective  Social media competitive analysis and text mining: A case study in the	Information Management International Journal of
Chang, Hsieh, and Tseng	2013	pizza industry  Online brand community response to negative brand events: the role of	Information Management Internet Research
Cheung, Lee, and Rabjohn	2008	group eWOM  The impact of electronic word-of-mouth: The adoption of online	Internet Research
Chiou, Hsiao, and Su	2014	opinions in online customer communities  Whose online reviews have the most influences on consumers in	Internet Research
Chung	2014	cultural offerings? Professional vs consumer commentators  Solving strategy for unintended criticism in online space: Consumer	Internet Research
Hsiao, Lu, and Lan	2013	response to firm crisis in online discussion forum  The influence of the components of storytelling blogs on readers' travel	Internet Research
	2013	intentions The effects of blogger recommendations on customers' online	Internet Research
Hsu, Lin, and Chiang		shopping intentions  The impact of electronic word of mouth on a tourism destination	
Jalilvand and Samiei	2012	choice Testing the theory of planned behavior (TPB)  Combined effects of valence and attributes of e-WOM on consumer	Internet Research
Jeong and Koo	2015	judgment for message and product: The moderating effect of brand community type	Internet Research
Kaiser and Bodendorf	2012	Mining consumer dialog in online forums	Internet Research
Kalampokis, Tambouris, and Tarabanis	2013	Understanding the predictive power of social media  The acceptance of blogs: using a customer experiential value	Internet Research
Keng and Ting	2009	perspective	Internet Research
Keng, Ting, and Chen	2011	Effects of virtual-experience combinations on consumer-related "sense of virtual community"	Internet Research
Ko, Yin, and Kuo	2008	Exploring individual communication power in the blogosphere  Recommendations from a virtual community as a catalytic agent of	Internet Research
Ku	2011	travel decisions	Internet Research

Ku	2012	Beyond price: how does trust encourage online group's buying	Internet Research
Lee, Cheung, Lim, and Sia	2006	intention? Understanding customer knowledge sharing in web-based discussion	Internet Research
, , ,		boards - An exploratory study  Consumer reviews: reviewer avatar facial expression and review	
Lee, Kim, and Peng	2013	valence The different effects of online consumer reviews on consumers'	Internet Research
Lee, Park, and Han	2011	purchase intentions depending on trust in online shopping malls: An advertising perspective	Internet Research
Liu, Chen, and Chiu	2013	Recommending quality book reviews from heterogeneous websites	Internet Research
McCarthy, Rowley, Ashworth, and Pioch	2014	Managing brand presence through social media: the case of UK football clubs	Internet Research
Shang, Chen, and Liao	2006	The value of participation in virtual consumer communities on brand loyalty	Internet Research
Shen, Chiou, and Kuo	2011	Remedies for information asymmetry in online transaction: An investigation into the impact of web page signals on auction outcome	Internet Research
Steffes and Burgee	2009	Social ties and online word of mouth	Internet Research
Tamimi and Sebastianelli	2015	The relative importance of e-tailer website attributes on the likelihood of online purchase	Internet Research
Sun, Youn, Wu, and Kuntaraporn	2006	Online word-of-mouth (or mouse): An exploration of its antecedents and consequences	Journal of Computer-Mediated Communications
Abbasi, Chen, and Nunamaker	2008	Stylometric Identification in Electronic Markets: Scalability and Robustness	Journal of Management Information Systems
Awad and Ragowsky	2008	Establishing Trust in Electronic Commerce Through Online Word of Mouth: Repair Examination Across Genders	Journal of Management Information Systems
Benlian, Titah, and Hess	2012	Differential Effects of Provider Recommendations and Consumer Reviews in E-Commerce Transactions: An Experimental Study	Journal of Management Information Systems
Chen, Xu, and Whinston	2011	Moderated Online Communities and Quality of User-Generated Content	Journal of Management Information Systems
Clemons, Gao, and Hitt	2006	When Online Reviews Meet Hyperdifferentiation: A Study of the Craft	Journal of Management
Dellarocas, Gao, and Narayan	2010	Beer Industry  Are Consumers More Likely to Contribute Online Reviews for Hit or	Information Systems Journal of Management
		Niche Products?	Information Systems Journal of Management
Geng, Whinston, and Zhang	2005	Health of Electronic Communities: An Evolutionary Game Approach  Comprehension and Assessment of Product Reviews: A Review-	Information Systems Journal of Management
Huang, Tan, Ke, and Wei	2014	Product Congruity Proposition  Credibility of Anonymous Online Product Reviews: A Language	Information Systems Journal of Management
Jensen, Averbeck, Zhang, and Wright	2013	Expectancy Perspective	Information Systems
Kuan, Zhong, and Chau	2014	Informational and Normative Social Influence in Group-Buying: Evidence from Self-Reported and EEG Data	Journal of Management Information Systems
Kumar, Venugopal, Qiu, and Kumar	2018	Detecting Review Manipulation on Online Platforms with Hierarchical Supervised Learning	Journal of Management Information Systems
Lee and Raghu	2014	Determinants of Mobile Apps' Success: Evidence from the App Store Market	Journal of Management Information Systems
Lee and Tan	2014	Effects of Different Types of Free Trials and Ratings in Sampling of Consumer Software: An Empirical Study	Journal of Management Information Systems
Li, Hitt, and Zhang	2011	Product Reviews and Competition in Markets for Repeat Purchase Products	Journal of Management Information Systems
Liu, Au, and Choi	2014	Effects of Freemium Strategy in the Mobile App Market: An Empirical Study of Google Play	Journal of Management Information Systems
Luo and Zhang	2013	How Do Consumer Buzz and Traffic in Social Media Marketing Predict the Value of the Firm?	Journal of Management Information Systems
Ma, Khansa, Deng, and Kim	2014	Impact of Prior Reviews on the Subsequent Review Process in	Journal of Management
Pathak, Garfinkel, Gopal, Venkatesan,		Reputation Systems	Information Systems Journal of Management
and Yin	2010	Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Recommender Systems on Sales	Information Systems Journal of Management
Porter, Devaraj, and Sun	2013	A Test of Two Models of Value Creation in Virtual Communities  Factors Affecting the Adoption of an Electronic	Information Systems  Journal of Management
Qahri-Saremi and Montazemi	2019	Word of Mouth Message: A Meta-Analysis	Information Systems
Park and Nicolau	2015	Asymmetric effects of online consumer reviews	Annals of Tourism Research
Bridges and Vasquez	2016	If nearly all Airbnb reviews are positive, does that make them meaningless?	Current Issues in Tourism
Gössling, Hall, and Andersson	2018	The manager's dilemma: A conceptualization of online review manipulation strategies	Current Issues in Tourism
Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan	2018	A Retrospective View of Electronic Word-of-mouth in Hospitality and Tourism Management	International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management
Afonso Dias, Perdigao Ribeiro, and Correia	2013	Online reviews of short-term visits: exploring sense of place	International Journal of Culture, Tourism, and Hospitality Research
Cheng and Jin	2019	What do Airbnb users care about? An analysis of online review comments	International Journal of Hospitality Management
Gursoy	2018	A critical review of determinants of information search behavior and utilization of online reviews in decision making process	International Journal of Hospitality Management
Xie, Zhang, and Zhang	2015	The business value of online consumer reviews and management	International Journal of
Ye, Law, and Gu	2009	response to hotel performance  The Impact of Online User Reviews on Hotel Room Sales	Hospitality Management International Journal of
. , ,		1	Hospitality Management

Burgess, Sellitto, Cox, and Buultjens	2014	Strategies for Adopting Consumer-generated Media in Small-sized to	International Journal of
	_	Medium-sized Tourism Enterprises	Tourism Research International Journal of
Ku	2014	Distributed Fascinating Knowledge Over an Online Travel Community	Tourism Research International Journal of
Leung, Law, and Lee	2011	The Perceived Destination Image of Hong Kong on Ctrip.com	Tourism Research
Leung, Wang, Wu, Bai, Stahura, and Xie	2012	A Social Network Analysis of Overseas Tourist Movement Patterns in Beijing: The Impact of the Olympic Games	International Journal of Tourism Research
Nusair, Bilgihan, and Okumus	2012	The Role of Online Social Network Travel Websites in Creating Social Interaction for Gen Y Travelers	International Journal of Tourism Research
Sigala	2012	Exploiting Web 2.0 for New Service Development: Findings and Implications from the Greek Tourism Industry	International Journal of Tourism Research
Tsaur, Wu, Yen, and Wu	2013	Promoting Relationship Marketing of Tour Leaders' Blog: The Role of Charisma	International Journal of Tourism Research
Berezan, Raab, Tanford, and Kim	2015	Evaluating Loyalty Constructs Among Hotel Reward Program Members Using eWOM	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Kim and Lee	2015	How do consumers process online hotel reviews? The Effects of eWOM consensus and sequence	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Lee and Cranage	2014	Toward Understanding Consumer Processing of Negative Online Word-Of-Mouth Communication: The Roles of Opinion Consensus and Organizational Response Strategies	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Leung, Bai, and Stahura	2015	The marketing effectiveness of social media in the hotel industry: a comparison of Facebook and Twitter	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Mkono	2013	Using net-based ethnography (netnography) to understand the staging and marketing of "authentic african" dining experiences to tourists at Victoria Falls	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Noone and McGuire	2014	Effects of price and user-generated content on consumers' repurchase evaluations of variably priced services	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Ye, Li, Wang, and Law	2014	The influence of hotel price on perceived service quality and value in e-tourism: an empirical investigation based on online traveler reviews	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research
Memarzadeh, Blum, and Adams	2015	The impact of positive and negative e-comments on business travelers' intention to purchase a hotel room	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology
Pantano and Di Pietro	2013	From e-tourism to f-tourism: emerging issues from negative tourists' online reviews	Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology
Dinçer and Alrawadieh	2017	Negative word of mouse in the hotel industry: A content analysis of online reviews on luxury hotels in Jordan	Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management
Liang, Choi, and Joppe	2018	Understanding repurchase intention of Airbnb consumers: perceived authenticity, electronic word-of-mouth, and price sensitivity	Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing
Cheng and Loi	2014	Handling Negative Online Customer Reviews: The Effects of Elaboration Likelihood Model and Distributive Justice	Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing
Schuckert, Liu, and Law	2015	Hospitality and Tourism Online Reviews: Recent Trends and Future Directions	Journal of Travel and Tourism  Marketing
Schuckert, Liu, and Law	2015	Stars, Votes, and Badges: How Online Badges Affect Hotel Reviewers	Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing
Abrate and Viglia	2017	Personal or Product Reputation? Optimizing Revenues in the Sharing Economy	Journal of Travel Research
Arsal, Woosnam, Baldwin, and Backman	2010	Residents as Travel Destination Information Providers: An Online Community Perspective	Journal of Travel Research
Ayeh, Au, and Law	2013	"Do We Believe in TripAdvisor?" Examining Credibility Perceptions and Online Travelers' Attitude toward Using User-Generated Content	Journal of Travel Research
Banyai and Glover	2012	Evaluating Research Methods on Travel Blogs	Journal of Travel Research
Bronner and De Hoog  Crotts, Mason, and Davis	2011	Vacationers and eWOM: Who Posts, and Why, Where, and What?  Measuring Guest Satisfaction and Competitive Position in the  Hospitality and Tourism Industry: An Application of Stance-Shift	Journal of Travel Research  Journal of Travel Research
Duverger	2013	Analysis to Travel Blog Narratives Curvilinear Effects of User-Generated Content on Hotels' Market	Journal of Travel Research
Filieri and McLeay	2013	Share: A Dynamic Panel-Data Analysis  E-WOM and Accommodation: An Analysis of the Factors That	Journal of Travel Research
Magnini, Crotts, and Zehrer	2011	Influence Travelers' Adoption of Information from Online Reviews Understanding Customer Delight: An Application of Travel Blog	Journal of Travel Research
Pan, MacLaurin, and Crotts	2007	Analysis Travel Blogs and the Implications for Destination Marketing	Journal of Travel Research
Sun, Ryan, and Pan	2015	Using Chinese Travel Blogs to Examine Perceived Destination Image:	Journal of Travel Research
Wang and Fesenmaier	2004	The Case of New Zealand  Modeling Participation in an Online Travel Community	Journal of Travel Research
Chaves, Gomes, and Pedron	2012	Analysing reviews in the Web 2.0: Small and medium hotels in	Tourism Management
Filieri, Alguezaui, and McLeay	2015	Portugal Why do travelers trust TripAdvisor? Antecedents of trust towards consumer-generated media and its influence on recommendation adoption and word of mouth	Tourism Management
Kim and Stepchenkova	2015	adoption and word of mouth  Effect of tourist photographs on attitudes towards destination: Manifest and latent content	Tourism Management
Li, Law, Vu, Rong, and Zhao	2015	Identifying emerging hotel preferences using Emerging Pattern Mining technique	Tourism Management
Litvin, Goldsmith, and Pan	2008	Electronic word-of-mouth in hospitality and tourism management	Tourism Management
Liu and Park	2015	What makes a useful online review? Implication for travel product websites	Tourism Management
Llodra-Riera, Martinez-Ruiz, Jimenez- Zarco, and Izquierdo-Yusta	2015	A multidimensional analysis of the information sources construct and its relevance for destination image formation	Tourism Management
Lui, Bartosiak, Piccoli, and Sadhya	2018	Online review response strategy and its effects on competitive	Tourism Management
, , <del>,</del>		performance	

		<b>.</b>	•
Luo and Zhong	2015	Using social network analysis to explain communication characteristics of travel-related electronic word-of-mouth on social networking sites	Tourism Management
Mariani, Borghi, and Gretzel	2019	Online reviews: Differences by submission device	Tourism Management
Munar and Jacobsen	2014	Motivations for sharing tourism experiences through social media	Tourism Management
Nieto, Hernández-Maestro, and Muñoz- Gallego	2014	Marketing decisions, customer reviews, and business performance: The use of the Toprural website by Spanish rural lodging establishments	Tourism Management
Papathanassis and Knolle	2011	Exploring the adoption and processing of online holiday reviews: A grounded theory approach	Tourism Management
Phillips, Zigan, Santos Silva, and Schegg	2015	The interactive effects of online reviews on the determinants of Swiss hotel performance: A neural network analysis	Tourism Management
Rong, Vu, Law, and Li	2012	A behavioral analysis of web sharers and browsers in Hong Kong using targeted association rule mining	Tourism Management
Sparks and Browning	2011	The impact of online reviews on hotel booking intentions and perception of trust	Tourism Management
Sparks, Perkins, and Buckley	2013	Online travel reviews as persuasive communication: The effects of content type, source, and certification logos on consumer behavior	Tourism Management
Stepchenkova and Zhan	2013	Visual destination images of Peru: Comparative content analysis of DMO and user-generated photography	Tourism Management
Tseng, Wu, Morrison, Zhang, and Chen	2015	Travel blogs on China as a destination image formation agent: A qualitative analysis using Leximancer	Tourism Management
Vermeulen and Seegers	2009	Tried and tested: The impact of online hotel reviews on consumer consideration	Tourism Management
Yan, Zhou, and Wu	2018	The influences of tourists' emotions on the selection of electronic word of mouth platforms	Tourism Management
Yang, Park, and Hu	2018	Electronic word of mouth and hotel performance: A meta-analysis	Tourism Management
Callarisa, García, Cardiff, and Roshchina	2012	Harnessing social media platforms to measure customer-based hotel brand equity	Tourism Management Perspectives
Jacobsen and Munar	2012	Tourist information search and destination choice in a digital age	Tourism Management Perspectives
Mkono, Markwell, and Wilson	2013	Applying Quan and Wang's structural model of the tourist experience: A Zimbabwean netnography of food tourism	Tourism Management Perspectives
Nguyen and Coudounaris	2015	The mechanism of online review management: A qualitative study	Tourism Management Perspectives
Radojevic, Stanisic, and Stanic	2015	Solo travellers assign higher ratings than families: Examining customer satisfaction by demographic group	Tourism Management Perspectives
Ukpabi and Karjaluoto	2018	What drives travelers' adoption of user-generated content? A literature review	Tourism Management Perspectives

## Web Appendix 3

## Research evolution and major milestones

In the late 1990s, before the transition of traditional (i.e., offline) WOM to the Internet became apparent, researchers highlighted the new WOM opportunities provided by online media and thus implied eWOM by noting various platforms and aggregations of consumers in cyberspace—for example, by using the term "virtual community of consumption" (Kozinets 1999, p. 253). Soon after 2000, scholars turned their attention to the motivation behind eWOM, leading to a proliferation of eWOM conceptual labels highlighting the consumer perspective (e.g., UGC, feedback). At that time, eWOM occurred primarily through online discussion platforms, which then gave way to the creation of cohesive consumer communities organized around brands or consumption activities (Kozinets 2002; McAlexander et al. 2002). Connecting with like-minded others online was a new experience that was an attraction in itself, and social benefit was the primary motivator of eWOM creation.

Halfway through the 2000s, a revolution occurred on social media platforms, and discussion forums and chat rooms lost their attraction. The new social networks (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter) afforded connections and communication differently. Whereas consumers typically formed strong social bonds in the early days of online communities, today they predominantly form publics (Arvidsson and Caliandro 2016; Hayes et al. 2016)—that is, looser gatherings of individuals temporarily linked through hashtags to give publicity to a shared interest, such as a brand, person, or cause (e.g., #BeliebersHelpBeliebers, Langley et al. 2014). Berger (2014) qualifies this trend as driven by self-interested impression management.

Over time, eWOM also became increasingly negative, as eWOM environments matured and consumers began using eWOM to signal their expertise (Godes and Silva 2012; Moe and Schweidel 2012). Not surprisingly, a wealth of research has analyzed "sentiment" and "valence." As rising Internet adoptions gave eWOM more reach, its buying influence substantially

increased by 2005 (Chen et al. 2011a), causing the research focus to shift to the consequences of eWOM on the bottom line. The easier access to review data and the ability to use product rankings as a proxy for online sales made terms such as "rating" and "review" more popular in the eWOM literature.

As the field continued to expand, researchers began combining key terms to develop unique conceptual labels<sup>1</sup> (e.g., "word-of-web recommendations within virtual consumer communities"; Dambrin and De Valck 2007, p. 451) to signal their specific topic of inquiry. In some studies, however, eWOM remained merely alluded to by mention of a specific type of platform that enables consumer-generated entries. Pühringer and Taylor (2008), for example, use "travel blogs" to signify tourists' eWOM. In acknowledging this practice, McQuarrie et al. (2013, p. 136) define "blogging as one instance of a larger phenomenon that includes online reviews ... and extends to the consumption of [many product categories]."

The existence of various conceptual labels shows an overwhelming interest in the eWOM phenomenon. At the same time, this inconsistent nomenclature (Marchand et al. 2017) causes confusion; for example, the drop of publications on eWOM in the last few years could be due to the usage of different labels (e.g., "social media") instead of decreasing academic interest.

Understanding related conceptual labels also informs meta-analytic work (Palmatier et al. 2006), in which the quest for empirical studies of the same phenomenon requires thoughtful consideration of construct labels. The proliferation of labels thus necessitates a discussion about what eWOM is and what it is not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As part of our content analysis, one coder first manually classified eWOM labels in a corpus. We then employed automated textual analysis to capture labels appearing in a publication's title, abstract, or keywords. We find that most of the 390 identified eWOM labels are used only a handful of times. This means that most eWOM labels are not catching on beyond a small number of academic articles.

## Web Appendix 4

## eWOM conceptual labels: aliases (1996–2019)

No.	eWOM Alias	
1	3rd party consensus rating	
2	ad eWOM	
3	aggregate consumer	
	preference	
4	aggregate polarity score	
5	aggregated rating	
6	amateur feedback	
7	amateur rating	
8	Amazon Like	
9	antibrand community	
10	average user grade	
11	average user review	
12	blog	
13	blog buzz	
14	blog conversation	
15	blog post	
16	blog reference	
17	blogger buzz	
18	blogger sentiment	
19	blogging	
20	blogosphere	
21	brand community	
22	brand evaluation	
23	brand eWOM	
24	brand public	
25	brand-embedded interaction	
26	brand-related user-generated content	
27	buyer-created information	
28	buzz	
29	buzz in online chatter	
30	buzz in social media	
31	buzz marketing	
32	C2C advocacy	
33	C2C communications in	
	online brand	
34	community CGM	
35	CGM content	
36	chatter	
37	commercial chatting	
38	community content	
39	community of consumption	
40	computer mediated	
41	communication	
41	consumer activity in social media	
42	consumer attitude	
43	consumer buzz	
44	consumer comment	

eWOM Alias		
consumer commentary		
consumer communication		
consumer conversation		
consumer evaluation		
consumer eWOM		
consumer feedback		
consumer interaction		
consumer media		
consumer narrative		
consumer online activity		
around new products		
consumer online product rating		
consumer online talk		
consumer online word of		
mouth		
consumer opinion posting		
consumer post on Facebook		
consumer posting		
consumer posts in social		
media outlets consumer price posting		
consumer product judgment		
consumer product narrative		
-		
consumer product review in the online market		
consumer rating		
consumer reaction		
consumer recommendation		
consumer response		
consumer review		
consumer sentiment		
consumer social interaction		
consumer storytelling		
consumer talk		
consumer text review		
consumer voice		
consumer word of mouth		
consumer-created		
communications		
consumer-created content		
consumer-created information		
consumer-driven eWOM		
consumer-generated anti-		
brand social networking site consumer-generated brand-		
related Pinterest page		
consumer-generated campaign		
consumer-generated content		
consumer-generated electronic word-of-mouth		

No.	eWOM Alias	
88	consumer-generated media	
89	consumer-generated media content	
90	consumer-generated message	
91	consumer-generated narrative	
92	consumer-generated online review	
93	consumer-generated platform	
94	consumer-generated product page	
95	consumer-generated product rating	
96	consumer-generated product review	
97	consumer-generated review	
98	consumer-generated word-of-	
	mouth	
99	consumers' voicing of discontent in blog posts	
100	consumer-to-consumer	
100	communication	
101	consumer-to-consumer interaction	
102	consumer-to-consumer	
103	narrative interaction consumer-to-consumer online	
103	communication	
104	consumer-to-consumer WOM conversation	
105	consumer-to-consumer word of mouth on the internet	
106	contagious commentary about products, services, brands, and ideas	
107	conversation Internet	
108	community crowd-based wisdom	
108		
110	customer expression customer feedback on the web	
110		
111	customer knowledge sharing	
112	customer opinions in social media	
113	customer rating	
114	customer referral	
115	customer referral intensity	
116	customer review	
117	customer-created complaint web site	
118	customer-generated brand	
446	message	
119	customer-generated opinion	
120	customer-to-customer know- how exchange	
121	desktop eWOM	
122	digital community	
123	digital conversation	
124	digital storytelling	
125	digital word of mouth	

No.	eWOM Alias		
126	digitized word of mouth		
127	discussion forums		
128	discussions among consumers		
129	dispersion		
130	e-buzz		
131	e-comment		
132	e-customer-to-customer		
	interaction in B2B brand		
133	communities earned audience		
134	earned media impressions on Facebook		
135	electronic consumer-to- consumer communication		
136	electronic referral		
137	electronic word of mouth		
138	e-referral		
139	evaluative judgment		
140	eWOM		
141	eWOM conversation		
142	eWOM instrument		
143	eWOM of user message		
144	eWOM recommendation		
145	eWOM review		
146	external WOM		
147	Facebook fan		
148	Facebook fan page		
149	Facebook Like		
150	Facebook-mediated WOM		
151	fashion blog		
152	feedback		
153	feedback mechanism		
154	feedback review		
155	feedback score		
156	firm's consumer buzz		
157	hype on Twitter		
158	internal WOM		
159	Internet user opinion		
160	Internet WOM		
161	Internet word-of-mouth		
162	communication Internet-enabled online word-		
163	of-mouth communications among consumers		
163	invite		
164	164 member-generated information		
165	microblog reaction		
166	microblogging		
167	microblogging word of mouth		
168	microblogosphere		
169	mobile eWOM		
170	mWOM		
171	negative (online) brand imagery		

No.	eWOM Alias		
172	new media		
173	numeric rating		
174	numeric review rating		
175	OCR		
176	online amateur review		
177	online articulation		
178	online attitude		
179	online blog posting		
180	online brand advocacy		
181	online brand evaluation		
182	online brand tribalism		
183	online buzz		
184	online buzz activity		
185	online C2C conversation		
186	online CGM		
187	online chatter		
188	online comment		
189	online comment about a		
190	product online communication		
191	online community of		
consumption			
192	online community post		
193	online complaining		
194	online consumer attitude		
195	online consumer content		
196	online consumer evaluation		
197	online consumer product review		
198	online consumer rating		
199	online consumer review		
200	online consumer voice		
201	online consumer-generated content		
202	online consumer-generated		
202	media		
203	online consumer-generated review		
204	online content		
205	online conversation		
206	online customer dialogue		
207	online discourse		
208	online discussion		
209	online feedback		
210	online feedback mechanism		
211	online forum		
212	online media		
213	online merchant review		
214	online message		
215	online message on products		
216	216 online opinion		
217	online opinion-sharing community		

No.	eWOM Alias		
218	online peer influence		
219	online posting		
220	online product rating		
221	online product review		
222	online product testimonial		
223	online recommendation		
224	online referral		
225	online reputation		
226	online review rating		
227	online review score		
228	online review sentiment		
229	online score		
230	online social influence		
231	online social interaction		
232	online social network		
233	online testimonial		
234	online text		
235	online user review		
236	online user-generated content		
237	online user-generated rating		
238	online user-generated review		
239	online user-generated WOM		
240	online viral marketing		
241	campaign		
241	online voice		
242	online WOM activity		
243	online WOM communication online WOM referral		
244	online word of mouth		
243	online word of mouth		
240	information		
247	online word-of-mouth via		
	consumer-generated product reviews		
248	opinion-sharing community		
249	OWOM		
250	peer comment		
251	peer communication about		
252	products via social media		
252	peer feedback		
253	peer information		
254	peer online opinion		
255	peer-to-peer community recommendation		
256	peer-to-peer message		
257	personalized referral		
258	person-to-person		
259	recommendation person-to-person word of		
233	person-to-person word of mouth advertising		
260	polarity score		
261	population buzz		
262	post-release buzz		
263	pre-release buzz		

No.	eWOM Alias	
264		
265	product comment	
	product rating	
266	product recommendation	
267	product reference in blogs	
268	product review	
269	product review information	
270	product-related word-of- mouth conversation	
271	promotional chat on the internet	
272	purchase eWOM	
273	qualified buzz	
274	quantified online consumer review	
275	rating	
276	rating of consumers	
277	rating of online consumer	
	review	
278	rating of online review	
279	ratings and comments by fellow consumers	
280	ratings from online forums	
281	recommendation on the	
282	Internet reference in blogs	
283	reputation	
284	reputation feedback	
285	reputation in social media	
286	_	
287	reputation system retailer-hosted WOM	
288	review	
289	review comment	
290	review from buyers	
291	review information	
292	review on products	
293	review post	
294	salience of valence	
295	score	
296	seller average reputation	
297	seller rating	
298	sentiment	
299	social data	
300	social data social discussion	
301	social earned media	
302	social influence	
303	social influence	
304	social media	
305	social media consumer	
306	conversation social media content	
307	social media content social media conversation	
308	social media conversation	
308	social media discussion social media peer	
	communication	
310	social media post	

No.	eWOM Alias	
311	social network	
312	social network site	
313	social network-based	
314	recommendation social publishing	
315	social referral within social	
313	network	
316	social sharing	
317	social tag metric	
318	social voice	
319	social word of mouth	
320	social-network referral	
321	social-network WOM	
322	star rating	
323	star review	
324	sWOM	
325	third-party review	
326	tourist-generated content	
327	tweet	
328	UGC	
329	unpaid brand impression on Facebook	
330	unpaid market communication	
331	user eWOM	
332	user feedback	
333	user opinion	
334	user post	
335	user rating	
336	user recommendation	
337	user review	
338	user WOM interaction	
339	user word of mouth	
340	user-contributed online	
341	user-created content	
342	user-generated advertising	
343	user-generated content	
344	user-generated content in the	
	form of eWOM	
345	user-generated feedback	
346	review user-generated media	
347	user-generated online product	
	review	
348	user-generated online review	
349	user-generated online word- of-mouth information	
350	user-generated product	
251	information on the Internet	
351	user-generated social media	
352	user-generated WOM	
353	3 user-generated word-of-mouth activity	
354	user-generated word-of-mouth	
255	interaction	
355	valence	

	Г		
No.	eWOM Alias		
356	variance		
357	viral ad		
358	viral advertising message on social networking site		
359	viral buzz		
360	viral marketing		
361	virtual community		
362	virtual community post		
363	virtual eWOM review		
364	virtual public		
365	virtual review		
366	virtual word of mouth		
367	volume		
368	web of things		
	recommendation		
369	web user comment on product		
370	web user WOM		
371	web-based brand community		
372	weblog post		
373	WOM communication in the		
	context of the Internet and online communities		
374	WOM information on the		
	Internet		
375	WOM referral		
376	word of mouse		
377	word of mouth by consumers		
378	word of mouth		
379	communication word of mouth in social media		
380	word of mouth marketing on		
300	online social blogs		
381	word of mouth on social-		
382	networking sites		
383	word of mouth on the Internet		
202	word of mouth within online communities		
384	word of web		
385	word-of-mouth activity		
386	word-of-mouth conversation		
387	word-of-mouth information		
388	word-of-mouth interaction		
389	word-of-mouth on online		
20.0	social sites		
390	word-of-web recommendation within virtual consumer		
	communities		

Web Appendix 5
Theoretical and methodological approaches used to study eWOM (1996-2019)

	eWOM Creation	eWOM Exposure	eWOM Evaluation
Investigated in	51% articles	31% articles	81% articles
Theories used to study the eWOM phenomenon	<ul> <li>Agglomeration theory (Marshall 1920)</li> <li>Uses and gratifications theory (Katz and Foulkes 1962)</li> <li>Theory of WOM involvement (Dichter 1966)</li> <li>Social network theory (Granovetter 1973)</li> <li>Theory of reasoned action (Ajzen and Fishbein 1980)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Accessibility–diagnosticity theory (Kanouse and Hanson 1972)</li> <li>Uncertainty reduction theory (Berger and Calabrese 1975)</li> <li>Conformity theory, a.k.a. information cascades theory (Akerlof 1980; Asch 1956)</li> <li>Technology acceptance model (Davis 1989)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Source credibility theory (Hovland et al. 1953)</li> <li>Attribution theory (Kelley 1967)</li> <li>Expectancy-disconfirmation theory (Anderson 1973)</li> <li>Flow theory (Csikszentmihalyi 1975)</li> <li>Social exchange theory (Emerson 1976)</li> <li>Prospect theory (Tversky and Kahneman 1974)</li> <li>Dual-process theory of information processing (Bettman and Park 1980; Petty and Cacioppo 1986)</li> <li>Social impact theory (Latané 1981)</li> <li>Media richness theory (Daft and Lengel 1986)</li> <li>Signaling theory (Boulding and Kirmani 1993; Urbany 1986)</li> <li>Actor-network theory (Latour 1990)</li> <li>Cognitive fit theory (Vessey and Galletta 1991)</li> <li>Regulatory focus theory (Higgins 1997)</li> <li>(Temporal) construal theory (Liberman and Trope 1998)</li> </ul>
Methodologies used to study the eWOM phenomenon	<ul> <li>6% Conceptual</li> <li>4% Experimental</li> <li>12% Qualitative</li> <li>25% Modeling</li> <li>4% Mixed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4% Conceptual</li> <li>2% Experimental</li> <li>8% Qualitative</li> <li>15% Modeling</li> <li>2% Mixed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>8% Conceptual</li> <li>14% Experimental</li> <li>13% Qualitative</li> <li>40% Modeling</li> <li>6% Mixed</li> </ul>

Note: This table reports the most common, landmark theoretical frameworks and methodological approaches applied in eWOM scholarship between 1996 and 2019. All percentages are calculated to the total number of articles (1,050).

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980). *Understanding attitudes and predicting behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Akerlof, G.A. (1980). A theory of social custom, of which unemployment may be one consequence. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 94(4), 749–775.
- Anderson, R.E. (1973). Consumer dissatisfaction: The effect of disconfirmed expectancy on perceived product performance. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *10*(1), 38–44.
- Asch, S.E. (1956). Studies of independence and conformity: A minority of one against a unanimous majority. *Psychological Monographs*, 70(416), 1–70.
- Berger, C., & Calabrese, R.J. (1975). Some explorations in initial interactions and beyond: Towards a developmental theory of interpersonal communication. *Human Communication Research*, 1, 99–112.
- Bettman, J.R., & Park, C.W. (1980). Effects of prior knowledge and experience and phase of the choice process on consumer decision processes: A protocol analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 7(3), 234–248.
- Boulding, W., & Kirmani, A. (1993). A consumer-side experimental examination of signaling theory: Do consumers perceive warranties as signals of quality? *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20(1), 111–123.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1975). Beyond boredom and anxiety. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Daft, R. & Lengel, R.H. (1986). Organizational information requirements, media richness, and structural design. *Management Science*, 32(5), 554–571.
- Davis, F.D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, *13*(3), 319–340.
- Dichter, E. (1966). How word-of-mouth advertising works. Harvard Business Review, 44(6), 147–166.
- Emerson, R.M. (1976). Social exchange theory. Annual Review of Sociology, 2(1), 335–362.
- Granovetter, M.S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78(6), 1360–1380.
- Higgins, E.T. (1997). Beyond pleasure and pain. American Psychologist, 52(12), 1280-300.
- Hovland, C.I., Janis, I.I., & Kelley, H.H. (1953). *Communication and Persuasion*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Kanouse, D.E. & Hanson, L.R. (1972). Negativity in evaluations. In *Attribution: Perceiving the causes of behavior*, NJ: General Learning Press.
- Katz, E., & Foulkes, D. (1962). On the use of the mass media as "escape": Clarification of a concept. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 26(3), 377-388.
- Kelley, H.H. (1967). *Attribution theory in social psychology*. In D. Levine (Ed.), Nebraska symposium of motivation, Vol. 15 (pp. 192-238). Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Latané, B. (1981). The Psychology of Social Impact. American Psychologist, 36(4), 343-356.
- Latour, B. (1990). Technology is society made durable. The Sociological Review, 38(1), 103-131.
- Liberman, N., & Trope, Y. (1998). The role of feasibility and desirability considerations in near and distant future decisions: A test of temporal construal theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75(1), 5–18.
- Marshall, A. (1920). Principles of economics, 8th ed. London: Macmillan.
- Petty, R.E., & Cacioppo, J.T. (1986). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. In Communication and persuasion (pp. 1–24). Springer.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, 185(4157), 1124–1131.
- Urbany, J. E. (1986). An experimental examination of the economics of information. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13(2), 257–271.
- Vessey, I., & Galletta, D. (1991). Cognitive fit: An empirical study of information acquisition. *Information Systems Research*, 2(1), 63–84.